Oka domains in Euclidean and projective spaces

Franc Forstnerič



Complex Analysis and Geometry XXVI Levico Terme, 20 September 2022

Oka manifolds

A complex manifold Y is called an **Oka manifold**¹ if maps $X \rightarrow Y$ from any Stein manifold (or a reduced Stein space) X satisfy the following conditions:

- every continuous map $f: X \to Y$ can be homotopically deformed to a holomorphic map $\tilde{f}: X \to Y$.
- If the map f: X → Y is holomorphic on a compact O(X)-convex set K and on a closed complex subvariety X' of X, then there is a homotopy from f to f consisting of maps which are holomorphic near K and close to f on K, and which agree with f on X'.
- The analogous approximation and (jet) interpolation properties hold for families of maps X → Y depending continuously on a parameter.

Observation: The Kobayashi–Royden pseudometric vanishes identically on an Oka manifold.

¹F. Forstnerič, Oka manifolds, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **347:17-18** (2009) MSC 2020: 32Q56 Oka principle and Oka manifolds ← □ ► ← ∂ ► ← ∃ ► ← ∃ ► → ∃ = → へ ()

Oka manifolds are like bitcoins — precious but hard to discover

- Oka 1939, Grauert 1958 Complex Lie groups and their homogeneous manifolds are Oka.
- Gromov 1989 Every elliptic complex manifold is an Oka manifold.

A complex manifold Y is said to be elliptic if it admits a holomorphic vector bundle $\pi: E \to Y$ and a **dominating holomorphic spray** $F: E \to Y$ such that for every point $y \in Y$ we have that $F(0_v) = y$ and

 $dF_{0_y}: T_{0_y}E \to T_yY$ maps the fibre E_y onto the tangent space T_yY .

- In particular, if Y admits complete holomorphic vector fields which span the tangent space at every point, then Y is elliptic and hence Oka.
- F. 2006 A complex manifold Y is Oka iff it satisfies the following

Convex approximation property: Every holomorphic map $K \to Y$ from a (neighbourhood of a) compact convex set K in a Euclidean space \mathbb{C}^n is a limit of entire maps $\mathbb{C}^n \to Y$.

Kusakabe's characterization of Oka manifolds

Y. Kusakabe 2021 A complex manifold Y is an Oka manifold if and only if every holomorphic map $f : K \to Y$ from (a neighbourhood of) a compact convex set $K \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ is the core map of a dominating holomorphic spray $F : K \times \mathbb{C}^n \to Y$ for some $n \ge \dim Y$:

$$F(\cdot, 0) = f \text{ and } \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \Big|_{z=0} F(\zeta, z) : \mathbb{C}^n \to T_{f(\zeta)} Y \text{ is surjective for every } \zeta \in K.$$

This is a restricted version of condition Ell_1 studied by Gromov in 1989. Kusakabe gave a short but ingenious proof that

$$Ell_1 \Longrightarrow CAP;$$

the rest was known before. However, ${\rm Ell}_1$ is often easier to verify than CAP. As an application, Kusakabe proved

The localization theorem for Oka manifolds:

If a complex manifold Y is a union of Zariski-open Oka domains $Y \setminus A_i$, with A_i a closed complex subvariety of Y, then Y is Oka.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Which domains in \mathbb{C}^n and $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ are Oka?

- Until Kusakabe's work the only known examples of Oka domains in Cⁿ were FB-domains, complements of complex hyperplanes, and complements of closed tame (in particular, algebraic) subvarieties of dimension ≤ n - 2.
- Kusakabe 2021 For every compact polynomially convex set $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ for n > 1, the complement $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus K$ is Oka.
- F. 2022 If $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is as above then $\mathbb{CP}^n \setminus K$ is Oka.

Furthermore, if Γ is a compact union of curves such that the complex curve $\widehat{K \cup \Gamma} \setminus K \cup \Gamma$ has at most finitely many irreducible components, then $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus K \cup \Gamma$ and $\mathbb{CP}^n \setminus K \cup \Gamma$ are Oka.

In a recent joint work with E.F. Wold, we found surprisingly small Oka domains in Cⁿ (n > 1) at the limit of what is possible.

F. F. and E. F. Wold 2022 Oka domains in Euclidean spaces. https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.12883

Oka complements of closed convex sets

Theorem (1)

If E is a closed convex set with \mathscr{C}^1 boundary in \mathbb{C}^n for n > 1 such that $E \cap T_p^{\mathbb{C}} bE$ does not contain an affine real halfline for any $p \in bE$, then $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus E$ is an Oka domain. This holds in particular if E is strictly convex, i.e., bE does not contain any straight line segments.

There are many such examples of the form

$$E = \{(z', z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : \Im z_n \ge \phi(z', \Re z_n)\},\$$

where ϕ is a convex function of class \mathscr{C}^1 . If t > 0, the convex domain

$$\Omega_t^+ = \{\Im z_n > t\phi(z', \Re z_n)\}$$

does not contain any affine complex line, so it is hyperbolic (Barth 1980, Harris 1979, Bracci and Saracco 2009), while the domain

$$\Omega_t^- = \{\Im z_n < t\phi(z', \Re z_n)\}$$

is Oka. For t < 0 the picture is reversed, while at t = 0 the hyperplane $\{\Im z_n = 0\}$ splits \mathbb{C}^n in a pair of halfspaces which are neither Oka nor hyperbolic.

Oka domains below convex graphs

A convex function $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is **irreducible** if it is not of the form $\phi = \psi \circ P + I$ where $P : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is a linear projection with $m < n, \psi$ is a convex function on \mathbb{R}^m , and I is a linear function on \mathbb{R}^n .

Corollary

If ϕ is an irreducible convex function on $\mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$, then the domain

$$\Omega_{\phi} = \{ (z', z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : \Im z_n < \phi(z', \Re z_n) \}$$

is Oka. The same holds for domains $\Omega_{\phi} = \{(z', z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : \Im z_n < \phi(z')\}.$

Proof By Azagra (2013) the condition on ϕ implies that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a smooth strictly convex function $\psi : \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\phi - \epsilon < \psi < \phi$. Hence, the domain $\Omega_{\psi} = \{\Im z_n < \psi(z', \Re z_n)\}$ is Oka.

This gives an increasing sequence $\phi_1 < \phi_2 < \phi_3 < \cdots$ of smooth strictly convex functions on $\mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ converging uniformly to ϕ such that the sequence of Oka domains Ω_{ϕ_j} increases to Ω_{ϕ} as $j \to \infty$. Hence, Ω_{ϕ} is Oka.

A similar argument applies in the second case.

Complements of convex domains containing no lines

Example

Every concave wedge in \mathbb{C}^n for n > 1 of the form

$$\Im z_n < c |\Re z_n| + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (a_j |\Re z_j| + b_j |\Im z_j|)$$

for $c \ge 0$ and positive numbers $a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}, b_1, \ldots, b_{n-1}$ is Oka.

Corollary

If E is a closed convex set in \mathbb{C}^n for n > 1 which does not contain any affine real line, then $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus E$ is an Oka domain.

Proof There is a decreasing sequence $E_1 \supset E_2 \supset E_3 \supset \cdots$ of smoothly bounded strongly convex sets in \mathbb{C}^n such that $E = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j$.

By Theorem 1, the domain $\Omega_j = \mathbb{C}^n \setminus E_j$ is Oka for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Hence, $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus E = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \Omega_j$ is an increasing union of Oka domains, so it is Oka.

The main theorem

Theorem 1 follows from our main result, Theorem 2. Given a closed set $E \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, we denote by $\overline{E} \subset \mathbb{CP}^n$ its projective closure.

Theorem (2)

If E is a closed subset of \mathbb{C}^n for n > 1 and $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{CP}^n$ is a hyperplane such that $\overline{E} \cap \Lambda = \emptyset$ and \overline{E} is polynomially convex in $\mathbb{CP}^n \setminus \Lambda \cong \mathbb{C}^n$, then $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus E$ and $\mathbb{CP}^n \setminus \overline{E}$ are Oka domains.

This holds in particular if $\mathbb{CP}^n \setminus \overline{E}$ is a union of a connected family of projective hyperplanes. (Such a set is called **projectively convex**.)

The second part follows from the first one by observing that if K is a compact set in \mathbb{CP}^n and $\Lambda_t \subset \mathbb{CP}^n$ $(t \in [0, 1])$ is a path of hyperplanes not intersecting K, then $\bigcup_{t \in (0,1]} \Lambda_t$ does not intersect the polynomial hull of K in $\mathbb{CP}^n \setminus \Lambda_0$.

Fact: Every convex set $E \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ in Theorem 1 has projectively convex closure. In fact, $\mathbb{CP}^n \setminus \overline{E}$ is a union of hyperplanes parallel to the complex tangent spaces $T_p^{\mathbb{C}}bE$ for $p \in bE$. Hence, Theorem 2 implies Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 2

Let $H = \mathbb{CP}^n \setminus \mathbb{C}^n$ denote the hyperplane at infinity. Set $K = \overline{E}$. Choose a projective hyperplane $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{CP}^n$ with $K \cap \Lambda = \emptyset$.

Let $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ be affine coordinates on $\mathbb{CP}^n \setminus \Lambda \cong \mathbb{C}^n$ in which $H \setminus \Lambda = \{z_n = 0\}$. By the hypothesis, K is polynomially convex in these coordinates. It now suffices to prove the following result.

Theorem (3)

If K is a compact polynomially convex set in \mathbb{C}^n then $(\mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C}^*) \setminus K$ is Oka.

Assume that Theorem 3 holds. Then,

$$\mathbb{C}^n \setminus (E \cup \Lambda) = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n \setminus (H \cup E \cup \Lambda) = (\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n \setminus \Lambda) \setminus (H \cup E)$$

is Oka. Choose hyperplanes $\Lambda_0 = \Lambda, \Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$ in $\mathbb{CP}^n \setminus K$ close to Λ such that $\bigcap_{i=0}^n \Lambda_i = \emptyset$. Then, $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus (E \cup \Lambda_i)$ is Oka for every *i* and

$$\Omega = \mathbb{C}^n \setminus E = \bigcup_{i=0}^n \left(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus E \right) \setminus \Lambda_i$$

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ つ へ の

is a union of Zariski open Oka domains, so it is Oka.

Proof of Theorem 3

In view of Kusakabe's characterisation of Oka manifolds by Condition ${\rm Ell}_1,$ it suffices to prove the following.

Theorem (4)

Assume that

- K is a compact polynomially convex set in \mathbb{C}^n for some n > 1,
- L is a compact (polynomially) convex set in \mathbb{C}^N for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$, and
- $f: L \to \mathbb{C}^n$ is a holomorphic map such that

 $f(\zeta) \in (\mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C}^*) \setminus K$ holds for all $\zeta \in L$.

Then there is a holomorphic map $F: L \times \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ such that for every $\zeta \in L$,

 $F(\zeta, 0) = f(\zeta)$ and the map $F(\zeta, \cdot) : \mathbb{C}^n \to (\mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C}^*) \setminus K$ is injective.

It follows that

$$\Omega_{\zeta} = \{ F(\zeta, z) : z \in \mathbb{C}^n \} \subset (\mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C}^*) \setminus K$$

is a family of Fatou–Bieberbach domains depending holomorphically on $\zeta \in L$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Since the set $L \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ is polynomially convex, we may assume that the map f is defined on a Stein neighbourhood U of L which is a Runge in \mathbb{C}^N .

The graph

$$\Gamma = \{(\zeta, f(\zeta)) : \zeta \in U\}$$

is a closed Stein submanifold of the Stein domain $X = U \times \mathbb{C}^n$ which is a Runge in \mathbb{C}^{N+n} . The restricted graph

$$\Gamma_L = \{(\zeta, f(\zeta)) \in X : \zeta \in L\} \subset L \times \left((\mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C}^*) \setminus K \right)$$

is then polynomially convex in $\mathbb{C}^N \times \mathbb{C}^n$.

It follows that $(L \times K) \cup \Gamma_L$ is $\mathscr{O}(X)$ -convex and hence polynomially convex.

Proof of Theorem 4, part 2

Let $\pi: \mathbb{C}^N \times \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^N$ denote the projection. Consider the injective π -fibre preserving holomorphic map $\Phi = (\mathrm{Id}, \phi)$ of the form

$$\Phi(\zeta,z)=(\zeta,\phi(\zeta,z)) \ \ ext{for} \ \ (\zeta,z)\in \Omega$$

on a small Runge Stein neighbourhood $\Omega = \Omega' \cup \Omega''$ of $(L \times K) \cup \Gamma_L$ in $\mathbb{C}^N \times \mathbb{C}^n$ which equals the identity map on a neighbourhood Ω' of $L \times K$ and

$$\phi(\zeta, z) = f(\zeta) + \frac{1}{2}(z - f(\zeta)) = \frac{1}{2}f(\zeta) + \frac{1}{2}z$$

for (ζ, z) in a neighbourhood Ω'' of Γ_L . Thus, $\phi(\zeta, \cdot)$ is a contraction by the factor 1/2 around the point $f(\zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ for every $\zeta \in L$.

On a suitable neighbourhood Ω'' of Γ_L the map $\phi = \phi_{1/2}$ is connected to $\phi_0(\zeta, z) = z$ by the isotopy $\phi_t : \Omega'' \to \Omega''$ $(t \in [0, 1/2])$ given by

$$\phi_t(\zeta, z) = tf(\zeta) + (1-t)z.$$

On $\Omega' \supset L \times K$ we take the constant isotopy $\phi_t(\zeta, z) = \phi_0(\zeta, z) = z$ for all t. Clearly, the trace of the isotopy $\Phi_t = (\mathrm{Id}, \phi_t)$ for $t \in [0, 1/2]$ consists of Runge domains $\Phi_t(\Omega) \subset \Omega$.

Proof of Theorem 4, part 3

Varolin 2001 The Lie algebra of holomorphic (algebraic) vector fields on \mathbb{C}^n vanishing on $\mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \{0\}$ has the (algebraic) density property.

Hence, we can approximate Φ on $(L \times K) \cup \Gamma_L$ by a holomorphic map

$$\Psi: V \times \mathbb{C}^n \to V \times \mathbb{C}^n, \quad \Psi(\zeta, z) = (\zeta, \psi(\zeta, z)),$$

where $V \subset U$ is a neighbourhood of L, such that for every $\zeta \in V$ we have that

- $\psi(\zeta, \cdot) \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^n)$,
- $\psi(\zeta, z) = z$ for every $z = (z', 0) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \{0\}$, and
- $\psi(\zeta, f(\zeta)) = f(\zeta).$

Choose $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$0 < a < 1/2 < b < 1$$
 and $b^2 < a$.

If the approximation of ϕ by ψ is close enough then the estimate

$$||z - f(\zeta)|| \le |\psi(\zeta, z) - f(\zeta)|| \le b|z - f(\zeta)|$$
(1)

holds in a neighbourhood of the graph Γ_L of f, and ψ is arbitrarily close to the map $(\zeta, z) \mapsto z$ on a neighbourhood of $L \times K$.

This gives a sequence of holomorphic maps ψ_k of the same kind as ψ such that the estimate (1) holds for all of them on the same neighbourhood of Γ_L , and ψ_k converges to the map $(\zeta, z) \mapsto z$ on a neighbourhood of $L \times K$ as $k \to \infty$.

Consider the sequence of random iterations

$$\theta_k(\zeta,\cdot) = \psi_k(\zeta,\cdot) \circ \psi_{k-1}(\zeta,\cdot) \circ \cdots \circ \psi_1(\zeta,\cdot) \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^n)$$

Due to the condition $b^2 < a$ in the estimate (1) the attracting basin $B_{\zeta} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ of the sequence θ_k at the fixed point $f(\zeta)$ is biholomorphic to \mathbb{C}^n (Wold 2005).

If the convergence of the sequence ψ_k to the map $(\zeta, z) \mapsto z$ is fast enough on a neighbourhood of $L \times K$, then none of the basins B_{ζ} intersect K.

Furthermore, the condition $\psi_k(\zeta, (z', 0)) = (z', 0)$ for all $\zeta \in L$, $z' \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$, and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ensures that the basin B_{ζ} does not intersect $\mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \{0\}$.

This gives a holomorphic map $F: V \times \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ such that the image B_{ζ} of $F(\zeta, \cdot)$ is a Fatou–Bieberbach domain in $(\mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C}^*) \setminus K$ centred at $f(\zeta)$ for every $\zeta \in V$. Hence, Theorem 4 is proved.

Compact sets in \mathbb{CP}^n avoided by more general hypersurfaces

Theorem

Let K be a compact set in \mathbb{CP}^n (n > 1) and $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{CP}^n$ be a closed complex hypersurface with $K \cap \Lambda = \emptyset$ such that K is holomorphically convex in the Stein domain $\Omega = \mathbb{CP}^n \setminus \Lambda$. If Ω has the density property then $\mathbb{CP}^n \setminus K$ is an Oka domain.

This follows by a similar argument as above:

- the complement of K in $\mathbb{CP}^n \setminus \Lambda$ is Oka by Kusakabe's theorem,
- by moving Λ with automorphisms of \mathbb{CP}^n we find finitely many hypersurfaces $\Lambda_0 = \Lambda, \Lambda_1, \cdots, \Lambda_m$ not intersecting K such that $\bigcap_{i=0}^m \Lambda_i = \emptyset$ and $(\mathbb{CP}^n \setminus \Lambda_i) \setminus K$ is Oka for all *i*, and hence

$$\mathbb{CP}^n \setminus K = \bigcup_{i=0}^m (\mathbb{CP}^n \setminus \Lambda_i) \setminus K$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

is Oka by the localization theorem.

A corollary

Denote by

$$\mathscr{V}_k(\mathbb{CP}^n)\cong\mathbb{CP}^N$$
 with $N=\binom{n+k}{k}-1$

the space of degree k complex hypersurfaces in \mathbb{CP}^n . For any $\Lambda \in \mathscr{V}_k(\mathbb{CP}^n)$ the complement $\mathbb{CP}^n \setminus \Lambda$ is a closed affine manifold, hence a Stein manifold.

Corollary

Let B be an open connected set in $\mathscr{V}_k(\mathbb{CP}^n)$ $(k \ge 1, n \ge 2)$. If for some $\Lambda_0 \in B$ the domain $\mathbb{CP}^n \setminus \Lambda_0$ has the density property, then

$$\Omega(B) = \bigcup_{\Lambda \in B} \Lambda \ \subset \mathbb{CP}^n$$

is Oka. In particular, Λ_0 has a basis of open Oka neighbourhoods in \mathbb{CP}^n .

Problem

Which complex hypersurfaces in \mathbb{CP}^n have complements having the density property?

・ロト ・ 国 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

э

Examples and a conjecture

Example

If Λ₁,..., Λ_k ⊂ CPⁿ (n > 1, 1 ≤ k ≤ n) are hyperplanes in general position then ∪^k_{i=1} Λ_k has a basis of Oka neighbourhoods in CPⁿ.

Indeed, $\mathbb{CP}^n \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^k \Lambda_k$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^{n-k+1} \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^{k-1}$ with $n-k+1 \geq 1$. This domain has the density property (Varolin 2001), so the result follows from the previous corollary.

The proof fails for more than *n* hyperplanes. In particular, it is not known whether $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ for n > 1 has the density property.

• If Λ is a quadric hypersurface in \mathbb{CP}^n (n > 1) then $\mathbb{CP}^n \setminus \Lambda$ has the density property.

Conjecture

For a generic hypersurface $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{CP}^n$ (n > 1) of degree at most n the complement $\mathbb{CP}^n \setminus \Lambda$ has the density property.

すℋイハメ 少じひ

FOR YOUR ATTENTION

<□▶ <□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ = のへぐ