

# Runge tubes

Franc Forstnerič

Univerza v Ljubljani



**Holomorphic Elliptic Geometry and Group Actions**  
**University of Bern, 7 September 2018**

# Runge cylinders in $\mathbb{C}^2$

It was an open question for a long time whether it is possible to embed  $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}$  as a **Runge domain**  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ , i.e., such that holomorphic polynomials are dense in  $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ . (Here,  $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ .)

Such hypothetical domains have been called **Runge cylinders** in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ .

The question arose in connection with the classification of Fatou components for Hénon maps by **E. Bedford and J. Smillie (1991)**.

This problem has recently been solved in the affirmative:

**Theorem (F. Bracci, J. Raissy, and B. Stensønes, 2017)**

*For every  $n \geq 2$  there exists a (non-polynomial) holomorphic automorphism of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with a parabolic fixed point at 0 whose basin of attraction is biholomorphic to  $\mathbb{C} \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^{n-1}$ .*

Note that the basin is always a Runge domain. The basin of an *attracting* fixed point is biholomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

# Existence and plentitude of Runge tubes

In this joint work with **Erlend Fornæss Wold (University of Oslo)** we give a simple proof of the following related result.

**Theorem (1; Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 2018)**

*Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Stein manifolds with  $\dim X < \dim Y$ , and assume that  $Y$  has the density property (in particular, we may take  $Y = \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $n > 1$ ).*

*Suppose that  $\theta : X \hookrightarrow Y$  is a holomorphic embedding with  $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ -convex image (this holds in particular if  $\theta$  is a proper holomorphic embedding), and let  $E \rightarrow X$  denote the normal bundle associated to  $\theta$ .*

*Then,  $\theta$  is approximable uniformly on compacts in  $X$  by holomorphic embeddings  $\tilde{\theta} : E \hookrightarrow Y$  whose images are Runge domains in  $Y$ .*

Recall that a locally closed subset  $Z$  of a complex manifold  $Y$  is said to be  $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ -convex if for every compact set  $K \subset Z$  its  $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ -convex hull

$$\widehat{K}_{\mathcal{O}(Y)} = \{y \in Y : |f(y)| \leq \sup_K |f| \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{O}(Y)\}$$

is compact and contained in  $Z$ .

# Runge tubes over open Riemann surfaces

It is known that every open Riemann surface,  $X$ , embeds properly holomorphically into  $\mathbb{C}^3$ , and some (all?) embed into  $\mathbb{C}^2$ .

Since every holomorphic vector bundle over an open Riemann surface is trivial by **Oka's theorem (1939)**, we get the following corollary.

## Corollary (Runge tubes over open Riemann surfaces)

*If  $X$  is an open Riemann surface which admits a proper holomorphic embedding into  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , then  $X \times \mathbb{C}$  admits a Runge embedding into  $\mathbb{C}^2$ .*

*For every open Riemann surface  $X$  and integer  $k \geq 2$ ,  $X \times \mathbb{C}^k$  admits a Runge embedding into  $\mathbb{C}^{k+1}$ , and more generally into any Stein manifold  $Y^{k+1}$  with the density property.*

In particular, to get a Runge embedding  $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ , we embed  $X = \mathbb{C}^*$  onto the algebraic curve  $\{zw = 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ .

# An example

The following example shows that it is in general impossible to **extend** a proper holomorphic embedding  $\theta : X \hookrightarrow Y$  to a holomorphic embedding  $E \hookrightarrow Y$  of the normal bundle  $E$  of  $\theta$ , even a non-Runge one.

## Example

For every pair of integers  $1 \leq k < n$  there exists a proper holomorphic embedding  $\theta : X = \mathbb{C}^k \hookrightarrow Y = \mathbb{C}^n$  such that every holomorphic map  $\mathbb{C}^{n-k} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \theta(\mathbb{C}^k)$  is degenerate (of rank  $< n - k$  at each point).

**Buzzard and Fornæss 1996 for  $k = 1, n = 2$ ; Forstnerič 1999; Borell and Kutzschebauch 2006.**

Since the normal bundle of  $\theta$  is the trivial bundle  $E = \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^{n-k} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k$  which admits nondegenerate holomorphic maps

$$\mathbb{C}^{n-k} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \setminus (\mathbb{C}^k \times \{0\}^{n-k}) = \mathbb{C}^k \times (\mathbb{C}^{n-k} \setminus \{0\}),$$

$\theta$  does not extend to a holomorphic embedding  $E = \mathbb{C}^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ .

# Runge tubes around algebraic submanifolds of $\mathbb{C}^n$

In spite of the above example, we have the following **extendibility result for affine algebraic submanifolds** of codimension  $\geq 2$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

## Theorem (2)

*Let  $\theta: X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  be an affine algebraic submanifold.*

*If  $n \geq \dim X + 2$ , then  $\theta$  extends to a holomorphic Runge embedding  $\tilde{\theta}: E \hookrightarrow Y$  of the normal bundle  $E$  of  $\theta$ .*

*In particular, if  $A \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) is a smooth affine algebraic curve then there is a holomorphic Runge embedding  $A \times \mathbb{C}^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  extending the inclusion map  $A = A \times \{0\}^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ .*

It is easily seen that the embedding  $\tilde{\theta}: E \hookrightarrow Y$  cannot be algebraic.

# The density property

**Varolin 2000** A complex manifold  $Y$  enjoys the **density property (DP)** if every holomorphic vector field on  $Y$  can be approximated by Lie combinations of  $\mathbb{C}$ -complete holomorphic vector fields.

Similarly, a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of holomorphic vector fields on  $Y$  enjoys DP if it is densely generated by the complete vector fields that it contains. If  $Y$  carries a holomorphic volume form  $\omega$ , then the density property for the Lie algebra of all holomorphic vector fields with vanishing  $\omega$ -divergence is called the **volume density property (VDP)** of  $(Y, \omega)$ .

**Andersén 1990; Andersén & Lempert 1992**  $\mathbb{C}^n$  enjoys DP for  $n > 1$ , and VDP for the volume form  $\omega = dz_1 \wedge dz_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n$  for  $n \geq 1$ .

In fact, **every polynomial holomorphic vector field on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is a finite sum of shear vector fields** given in suitable coordinates  $z = (z', z_n)$  by

$$V(z) = f(z') \frac{\partial}{\partial z_n}, \quad W(z) = f(z') z_n \frac{\partial}{\partial z_n},$$

where  $f \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}]$ .

# Approximating biholomorphisms by automorphisms

## Theorem (Andersén-Lempert, Forstnerič-Rosay, Varolin)

Let  $Y$  be a Stein manifold with DP. Assume that

$$F_t: \Omega_0 \xrightarrow{\cong} \Omega_t \subset Y, \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

is an isotopy of biholomorphic maps between Stein Runge domains in  $Y$ , with  $F_0 = \text{Id}|_{\Omega_0}$ . Then,  $F_1: \Omega_0 \rightarrow \Omega_1$  is a limit of holomorphic automorphisms of  $Y$ , uniformly on compacts in  $\Omega_0$ .

The analogous result holds for isotopies of biholomorphic maps preserving a holomorphic volume form on a Stein manifold with VDP.

This also applies to isotopies of holomorphic maps  $F_t: \Omega_0 \rightarrow \Omega_t$ , defined in a neighborhood of a compact set  $K_0 \subset Y$ , provided that

the set  $K_t := F_t(K_0)$  is  $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ -convex for every  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

Then,  $F_1$  can be approximated uniformly on  $K_0$  by automorphisms of  $Y$ .

# Examples of Stein manifolds with DP

- $\mathbb{C}^n$  for  $n \geq 1$  satisfies VDP for  $dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n$  (**Andersén**).
- $\mathbb{C}^n$  for any  $n > 1$  satisfies DP (**Andersén and Lempert**).
- $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  with the volume form  $\frac{dz_1}{z_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{dz_n}{z_n}$  satisfies VDP (**Varolin**). It is not known whether DP holds when  $n > 1$ .
- If  $G$  is a linear algebraic group and  $H \subset G$  is a closed proper reductive subgroup, then  $Y = G/H$  is a Stein manifold with DP, except when  $Y = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ , or a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -homology plane with fundamental group  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  (**Kaliman, Donzelli & Dvorsky**).
- In particular, a linear algebraic group with connected components different from  $\mathbb{C}$  or  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  has DP (**Kaliman & Kutzschebauch**).
- If  $p: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a holomorphic function with smooth reduced zero fibre, then  $Y = \{xy = p(z)\}$  has DP (**K&K**). The same is true if the source  $\mathbb{C}^n$  of  $p$  is an arbitrary Stein manifold with DP.
- A Cartesian product  $Y_1 \times Y_2$  of two Stein manifolds  $Y_1, Y_2$  with DP also has DP. The analogous result holds for VDP (**K&K**).

# Gizatullin surfaces and the Koras-Russell cubic

- **Andrist 2018** A smooth affine algebraic surface  $Y$  is a **Gizatullin surface** if  $\text{Aut}_{\text{alg}}(Y)$  acts transitively on  $Y$  up to finitely many points. Every such surface admits a fibration  $\pi: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  whose generic fiber equals  $\mathbb{C}$  and there is only one exceptional fiber. **If this exceptional fiber is reduced, then  $Y$  has DP.**
- **Leuenberger 2016** DP holds for a family of hypersurfaces

$$Y = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+3} : x^2y = a(z) + xb(z)\},$$

where  $x, y \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}[z]$  are polynomials in  $z \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ . This family includes the **Koras-Russell cubic threefold**

$$C = \{(x, y, z_0, z_1) \in \mathbb{C}^4 : x^2y + x + z_0^2 + z_1^3 = 0\}.$$

This threefold is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^6$ , but is not algebraically isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^3$  (**Makar-Limanov, Dubouloz**).

**It remains an open question whether  $C$  is biholomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^3$ .**

# Embeddings into Stein manifolds with DP or VDP

Stein manifolds with the (volume) density property are universal embedding spaces for all Stein manifolds.

## Theorem (Andrist, F., Ritter, Wold 2016; F. 2017)

*Assume that  $X$  is a Stein manifold, and  $Y$  is a Stein manifold with the density property or the volume density property.*

- (a) If  $\dim Y > 2 \dim X$ , then any continuous map  $X \rightarrow Y$  is homotopic to a proper holomorphic embedding  $X \hookrightarrow Y$ .*
- (b) If  $\dim Y = 2 \dim X$ , then any continuous map  $X \rightarrow Y$  is homotopic to a proper holomorphic immersion with simple double points.*

## Corollary

*A Stein manifold  $Y$  with DP contains a Runge tube  $E \hookrightarrow Y$  whose base is an arbitrary Stein manifold  $X$  with  $2 \dim X < \dim Y$ .*

# Proof of Theorem 1: preliminaries

A **domain**  $\Omega$  in a complex manifold  $Y$  is said to be **Runge** in  $Y$  if  $\{f|_{\Omega} : f \in \mathcal{O}(Y)\}$  is a dense subset of  $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ . If both  $\Omega$  and  $Y$  are Stein, this holds if and only if for every compact subset  $K \subset \Omega$  we have that  $\widehat{K}_{\mathcal{O}(\Omega)} = \widehat{K}_{\mathcal{O}(Y)}$ . In particular, a domain in a Stein manifold  $Y$  which is exhausted by compact  $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ -convex sets is Runge in  $Y$ .

A **holomorphic embedding**  $\theta: X \hookrightarrow Y$  is said to be **Runge** if the image  $Z = \theta(X) \subset Y$  is exhausted by compact  $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ -convex subsets. If  $X$  and  $Y$  are Stein, then every proper holomorphic embedding  $X \hookrightarrow Y$  is Runge.

Assume that  $\pi: E \rightarrow X$  is a **holomorphic vector bundle** over a Stein manifold  $X$ . The total space  $E$  is then also a Stein manifold. We write elements of  $E$  as  $e = (x, v)$ , identifying  $X$  with the zero section  $\{(x, 0) : x \in X\}$  of  $E$ . For any  $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$  consider a holomorphic automorphism  $\psi_t \in \text{Aut}(E)$ , with  $\psi_t|_X = \text{Id}_X$ , given by

$$\psi_t: E \rightarrow E, \quad \psi_t(x, v) = (x, tv).$$

A subset  $Z \subset E$  is called **radial** if  $\psi_t(Z) \subset Z$  holds for every  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

# Proof of Theorem 1: The main lemma

## Lemma

Assume that:

- $X$  is a Stein manifold,
- $\pi: E \rightarrow X$  is a holomorphic vector bundle,
- $K \subset L$  are compact radial  $\mathcal{O}(E)$ -convex subsets of  $E$ ,
- $\Omega \subset E$  is an open set containing  $X \cup K$ ,
- $Y$  is a Stein manifold with DP such that  $\dim Y = \dim E$ , and
- $\theta: \Omega \hookrightarrow Y$  is a holomorphic embedding such that  $\theta|_X: X \hookrightarrow Y$  is a Runge embedding and  $\theta(K)$  is  $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ -convex.

Then there is a domain  $\tilde{\Omega} \subset E$  containing  $X \cup L$  such that  $\theta$  can be approximated uniformly on  $K$  by holomorphic embeddings

$$\tilde{\theta}: \tilde{\Omega} \hookrightarrow Y$$

such that  $\tilde{\theta}|_X: X \hookrightarrow Y$  is a Runge embedding and  $\tilde{\theta}(L)$  is  $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ -convex.

# Proof of the lemma

- Recall that  $\pi: E \rightarrow X$ . Choose a compact  $\mathcal{O}(X)$ -convex subset  $X_0 \subset X$  such that  $\pi(L) \subset X_0$ . Since the embedding  $\theta|_X: X \hookrightarrow Y$  is Runge, the image  $Y_0 = \theta(X_0) \subset \theta(X)$  is  $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ -convex.
- Pick a compact  $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ -convex neighborhood  $N \subset \theta(\Omega)$  of  $Y_0$ . Thus,  $N = \theta(N_0)$  for a compact set  $N_0 \subset \Omega$  with  $X_0 \subset \overset{\circ}{N}_0$ .
- Recall that  $\psi_t: E \rightarrow E$ ,  $\psi_t(x, v) = (x, tv)$ . Since  $\pi(L) \subset X_0 \subset \overset{\circ}{N}_0$ , we can choose  $\epsilon > 0$  small enough such that

$$\psi_\epsilon(L) \subset N_0 \subset \Omega.$$

- Since  $L$  is  $\mathcal{O}(E)$ -convex and  $\psi_\epsilon \in \text{Aut}(E)$ , the set  $\psi_\epsilon(L)$  is  $\mathcal{O}(E)$ -convex, and hence  $\mathcal{O}(N_0)$ -convex.
- Since  $\theta: \Omega \rightarrow \theta(\Omega)$  is a biholomorphism, it follows that the set  $\theta(\psi_\epsilon(L))$  is  $\mathcal{O}(N)$ -convex, and hence also  $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ -convex.

## Proof of the lemma, 2

Consider the isotopy of injective holomorphic maps  $\sigma_t$  for  $t \in [\epsilon, 1]$ , defined on an open neighborhood of  $\theta(K)$  in  $Y$  by the condition

$$\theta \circ \psi_t = \sigma_t \circ \theta, \quad t \in [\epsilon, 1].$$

Note that the following hold:

- (a)  $\sigma_1 = \text{Id}$  (since  $\psi_1 = \text{Id}$ ), and
- (b) for every  $t \in [\epsilon, 1]$  the compact set  $\sigma_t(\theta(K)) \subset Y$  is  $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ -convex.

Condition (b) holds because  $\psi_t(K) \subset K$  is clearly  $\mathcal{O}(E)$ -convex, so

$$\sigma_t(\theta(K)) = \theta(\psi_t(K)) \text{ is } \mathcal{O}(\theta(K))\text{-convex.}$$

Since  $\theta(K)$  is  $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ -convex, it follows that

the set  $\sigma_t(\theta(K))$  is  $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ -convex for every  $t \in [\epsilon, 1]$ .

## Proof of the lemma, 3

The AL-theorem applied to the isotopy  $\sigma_t$  ( $t \in [\epsilon, 1]$ ) shows that

$\sigma_\epsilon$  can be approximated uniformly on  $\theta(K)$  by  $\phi \in \text{Aut}(Y)$ .

Since  $\psi_\epsilon(L \cup X) = \psi_\epsilon(L) \cup X \subset \Omega$ , there is an open set  $\tilde{\Omega} \subset E$  with

$$L \cup X \subset \tilde{\Omega}, \quad \psi_\epsilon(\tilde{\Omega}) \subset \Omega.$$

We claim that the holomorphic embedding

$$\tilde{\theta} := \phi^{-1} \circ \theta \circ \psi_\epsilon : \tilde{\Omega} \hookrightarrow Y$$

satisfies the conclusion of the lemma. Indeed:

- $\tilde{\theta}|_X = \phi^{-1} \circ \theta|_X : X \hookrightarrow Y$  is a Runge embedding since  $\theta|_X$  is.
- Since  $\theta(\psi_\epsilon(L))$  is  $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ -convex and  $\phi \in \text{Aut}(Y)$ , the set  $\tilde{\theta}(L)$  is also  $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ -convex.
- On the set  $K \subset E$  we have that

$$\tilde{\theta} = \phi^{-1} \circ \theta \circ \psi_\epsilon = \phi^{-1} \circ \sigma_\epsilon \circ \theta.$$

Since  $\phi^{-1} \circ \sigma_\epsilon$  is close to the identity on  $\theta(K)$ ,  $\tilde{\theta}$  is close to  $\theta$  on  $K$ .

# Proof of Theorem 1

Pick an exhaustion  $K_1 \subset K_2 \subset \cdots \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} K_j = E$  by compact radial  $\mathcal{O}(E)$ -convex sets.

Let  $\theta: X \hookrightarrow Y$  be a holomorphic Runge embedding. By **Docquier and Grauert (1960)** there is a neighbourhood  $\Omega_0 \subset E$  of the zero section  $X \subset E$  such that  $\theta$  extends to a holomorphic Runge embedding

$$\theta_0: \Omega_0 \hookrightarrow Y.$$

Set  $K_0 = \emptyset$ . Applying the main lemma inductively, we find

open neighbourhoods  $\Omega_j \subset E$  of  $K_j \cup X$ , and

holomorphic embeddings  $\theta_j: \Omega_j \hookrightarrow Y$ ,

satisfying the following conditions for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ :

- (a) the compact set  $\theta_j(K_j)$  is  $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ -convex,
- (b) the embedding  $\theta_j|_X: X \hookrightarrow Y$  is Runge, and
- (c)  $\theta_j$  approximates  $\theta_{j-1}$  as closely as desired on  $K_{j-1}$ .

# Proof of Theorem 1

If the approximations are close enough, the sequence  $\theta_j$  converges uniformly on compacts in  $E$  to a holomorphic embedding  $\tilde{\theta}: E \hookrightarrow Y$ .

Since  $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ -convexity of a compact set in a Stein manifold  $Y$  is a stable property for compact strongly pseudoconvex domains and every compact  $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ -convex set can be approximated from the outside by such domains, it follows that the image of each  $K_j$  remains  $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ -convex in the limit provided that all approximations were close enough.

Hence,  $\tilde{\theta}(E)$  is a Runge domain in  $Y$ . This proves the theorem.

## Recall: Theorem 2

### Theorem (2)

Let  $\theta: X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  be an affine algebraic submanifold.

If  $n \geq \dim X + 2$ , then  $\theta$  **extends** to a holomorphic Runge embedding  $\tilde{\theta}: E \hookrightarrow Y$  of the normal bundle  $E$  of  $\theta$ .

# Runge tubes around algebraic submanifolds of $\mathbb{C}^n$

The proof of Theorem 2 requires the following

## Addendum to the main lemma:

If  $Y = \mathbb{C}^n$  with  $n \geq \dim X + 2$ ,  $\theta: \Omega \hookrightarrow Y$  is a holomorphic embedding (where  $\Omega \subset E$  is an open neighborhood of  $K \cup X$ ), and  $A = \theta(X) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  is a closed algebraic submanifold of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , then the holomorphic embedding  $\tilde{\theta}: \tilde{\Omega} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  can be chosen to agree with  $\theta$  on  $X$ .

The proof of this addendum uses the following result.

## Theorem (Kaliman and Kutzschebauch, 2008)

*If  $A \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  is an algebraic submanifold with  $n \geq \dim A + 2$ , then every polynomial vector field on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  that vanishes on  $A$  is a Lie combination of complete polynomial vector fields vanishing on  $A$ .*

By using this result and Serre's Theorem A and B, we can approximate the biholomorphism  $\sigma_\epsilon$  (in the proof of Theorem 1) by an automorphism  $\phi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  such that  $\phi(z) = z$  for all  $z \in A$ . This ensures that the every embedding obtained in the inductive process agrees with  $\theta$  on  $X$ .

# There are no algebraic Runge tubes

## Holomorphic Runge embeddings in Theorem 2 cannot be algebraic.

Indeed, if  $E \rightarrow X$  is the algebraic normal bundle of an algebraic submanifold  $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  and  $F: E \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  is an algebraic embedding, then  $\Omega = F(E) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  is a Zariski open set in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and its complement  $\Sigma = \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \Omega$  is a Zariski closed set, i.e., an algebraic subvariety of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  (**Chevalley 1958**).

Since  $\Omega$  is a Stein domain,  $\Sigma$  must be of pure codimension one, so  $\Sigma = \{f = 0\}$  for some entire function  $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ . Clearly, the function  $1/f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$  cannot be approximated uniformly on compacts in  $\Omega$  by entire functions, and hence the domain  $\Omega = F(E)$  is not Runge in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

# A problem

## Problem

Is there a Runge embedding of the (trivial) normal bundle  $E = H \times \mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}$  of the hyperbola  $H = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : zw = 1\}$  **extending** the inclusion map  $H \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ ?

The method of proof of Theorem 2 breaks down at the point where one would need to know that the Lie algebra of holomorphic vector fields vanishing on  $H$  has the density property.

To decide about this is a notoriously hard problem well known and open since decades, as is the problem about the density property of  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  for  $n > 1$ .

*THANK YOU*

*FOR YOUR ATTENTION*