

## HOLOMORPHIC FAMILIES OF LONG $\mathbb{C}^2$ 'S

FRANC FORSTNERIČ

(Communicated by Mei-Chi Shaw)

ABSTRACT. We construct a holomorphically varying family of complex surfaces  $X_s$ , parametrized by the points  $s$  in any Stein manifold, such that every  $X_s$  is a long  $\mathbb{C}^2$  which is biholomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^2$  for some but not all values of  $s$ .

### 1. THE MAIN RESULT

A complex manifold  $X$  of dimension  $n$  is a *long  $\mathbb{C}^n$*  if  $X = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} X^j$ , where  $X^1 \subset X^2 \subset X^3 \subset \dots$  is an increasing sequence of open domains exhausting  $X$  such that each  $X^j$  is biholomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Clearly every long  $\mathbb{C}$  is biholomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}$ . On the other hand, for every  $n > 1$  there exists a long  $\mathbb{C}^n$  which is not a Stein manifold, and in particular is not biholomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Such manifolds have been constructed recently by E. F. Wold [12] using his example of a non-Runge Fatou-Bieberbach domain in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  [11], thereby solving a problem posed by J. E. Fornæss [3]. Previously Fornæss [2] used Wermer's example of a non-Runge embedded polydisc in  $\mathbb{C}^3$  [10] to construct for every  $n \geq 3$  an  $n$ -dimensional non-Stein complex manifold that is exhausted by biholomorphic images of the polydisc.

Recently L. Meersseman asked in a private communication whether it is possible to holomorphically deform the standard  $\mathbb{C}^n$  to a long  $\mathbb{C}^n$  that is not biholomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . This question arose naturally in certain problems concerning deformations of foliations that he had been considering. Here we give a positive answer and show that the behavior of long  $\mathbb{C}^n$ 's in a holomorphic family can be rather chaotic.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Fix an integer  $n > 1$ . Assume that  $S$  is a Stein manifold,  $A = \bigcup_j A_j$  is a finite or countable union of closed complex subvarieties of  $S$ , and  $B = \{b_j\}$  is a countable set in  $S \setminus A$ . Then there exists a complex manifold  $X$  and a holomorphic submersion  $\pi: X \rightarrow S$  onto  $S$  such that*

- (i) *the fiber  $X_s = \pi^{-1}(s)$  is a long  $\mathbb{C}^n$  for every  $s \in S$ ,*
- (ii)  *$X_s$  is biholomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^n$  for every  $s \in A$ , and*
- (iii)  *$X_s$  is non-Stein for every  $s \in B$ .*

In particular, for any two disjoint countable sets  $A, B \subset \mathbb{C}$  there is a holomorphic family  $\{X_s\}_{s \in \mathbb{C}}$  of long  $\mathbb{C}^2$ 's such that  $X_s$  is biholomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^2$  for all  $s \in A$  and is non-Stein for all  $s \in B$ . This is particularly striking if the sets  $A$  and  $B$  are chosen to be everywhere dense in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

---

Received by the editors January 18, 2011 and, in revised form, February 17, 2011.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32E10, 32E30, 32H02.

*Key words and phrases.* Stein manifold, Fatou-Bieberbach domain, long  $\mathbb{C}^2$ .

The author was supported by grants P1-0291 and J1-2152 from ARRS, Republic of Slovenia.

The conclusion of Theorem 1.1 can be strengthened by adding to the set  $B$  a closed complex subvariety of  $X$  contained in  $X \setminus A$ . We do not know whether the same holds if  $B$  is a countable union of subvarieties of  $X$ .

Several natural questions appear:

**Problem 1.2.** Given a holomorphic family  $\{X_s\}_{s \in S}$  of long  $\mathbb{C}^n$ 's for some  $n > 1$ , what can be said about the set of points  $s \in S$  for which the fiber  $X_s$  is (or is not) biholomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ? Are these sets necessarily a  $G_\delta$ , an  $F_\sigma$ , of the first, resp. of the second category, etc.?

A more ambitious project would be to answer the following question:

**Problem 1.3.** Is there a holomorphic family  $X_s$  of long  $\mathbb{C}^2$ 's, parametrized by the disc  $\mathbb{D} = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : |s| < 1\}$  or the plane  $\mathbb{C}$ , such that  $X_s$  is not biholomorphic to  $X_{s'}$  whenever  $s \neq s'$ ?

We do not know of any criteria to distinguish two long  $\mathbb{C}^n$ 's from each other, except if one of them is the standard  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and the other one is non-Stein. Apparently there is no known example of a Stein long  $\mathbb{C}^n$  other than  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . It is easily seen that any two long  $\mathbb{C}^n$ 's are smoothly diffeomorphic to each other, so the gauge-theoretic methods do not apply.

To prove Theorem 1.1 we follow Wold's construction of a non-Stein long  $\mathbb{C}^2$  [12], but doing all the key steps with families of Fatou-Bieberbach maps depending holomorphically on the parameter in a given Stein manifold  $S$ . (The same proof applies for any  $n \geq 2$ .) By using the Andersén-Lempert theory [1, 4, 8, 9] we insure that in a holomorphically varying family of injective holomorphic maps  $\phi_s : \mathbb{C}^2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$  ( $s \in S$ ) the image domain  $\phi_s(\mathbb{C}^2)$  is Runge for some but not all values of the parameter. In the limit manifold  $X$  we thus get fibers  $X_s$  that are biholomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , as well as fibers that are not holomorphically convex, and hence non-Stein.

## 2. CONSTRUCTING HOLOMORPHIC FAMILIES OF LONG $\mathbb{C}^n$ 'S

Let  $S$  be a complex manifold that will be used as the parameter space. We recall how one constructs a complex manifold  $X$  and a holomorphic submersion  $\pi : X \rightarrow S$  such that the fiber  $X_s = \pi^{-1}(s)$  is a long  $\mathbb{C}^n$  for each  $s \in S$ . (This is a parametric version of the construction in [2] or [12, §2].)

Assume that we have a sequence of injective holomorphic maps

$$(2.1) \quad \Phi^k : X^k = S \times \mathbb{C}^n \hookrightarrow X^{k+1} = S \times \mathbb{C}^n, \quad \Phi^k(s, z) = (s, \phi_s^k(z)),$$

where  $s \in S$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , and  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Set  $\Omega^k = \Phi^k(X^k) \subset X^{k+1}$ . Thus for every fixed  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $s \in S$  the map  $\phi_s^k : \mathbb{C}^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  is biholomorphic onto its image  $\phi_s^k(\mathbb{C}^n) = \Omega_s^k \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  and it depends holomorphically on the parameter  $s \in S$ . In particular, if  $\Omega_s^k$  is a proper subdomain of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , then  $\phi_s^k$  is a *Fatou-Bieberbach map*. Let  $X$  be the disjoint union of all  $X^k$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  modulo the following equivalence relation. A point  $x \in X^i$  is equivalent to a point  $x' \in X^k$  if and only if one of the following hold:

- (a)  $i = k$  and  $x = x'$ ,
- (b)  $k > i$  and  $\Phi^{k-1} \circ \dots \circ \Phi^i(x) = x'$ , or
- (c)  $i > k$  and  $\Phi^{i-1} \circ \dots \circ \Phi^k(x') = x$ .

For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we have an injective map  $\Psi^k: X^k \hookrightarrow X$  onto the subset  $\tilde{X}^k = \Psi^k(X^k) \subset X$  which sends any point  $x \in X^k$  to its equivalence class  $[x] \in X$ . Denoting by  $\iota^k: \tilde{X}^k \hookrightarrow \tilde{X}^{k+1}$  the inclusion map, we have

$$(2.2) \quad \iota^k \circ \Psi^k = \Psi^{k+1} \circ \Phi^k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

The inverse maps  $(\Psi^k)^{-1}: \tilde{X}^k \xrightarrow{\cong} X^k = S \times \mathbb{C}^n$  provide local charts on  $X$ . It is easily verified that this endows  $X$  with the structure of a Hausdorff, second countable complex manifold. Since each of the maps  $\Phi^k$  respects the fibers over  $S$ , we also get a natural projection  $\pi: X \rightarrow S$  which is clearly a submersion. For every  $s \in S$  the fiber  $X_s$  is the increasing union of open subsets  $\tilde{X}_s^k$  biholomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Observe that we get the same limit manifold  $X$  by starting with any term of the sequence (2.1).

The next lemma follows from the Andersén-Lempert theory [1]; cf. [12, Theorem 1.2].

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\pi: X \rightarrow S$  be as above. Assume that for some  $s \in S$  there exists an integer  $k_s \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for every  $k \geq k_s$ , the domain  $\Omega_s^k = \phi_s^k(\mathbb{C}^n) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  is Runge in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Then  $X_s$  is biholomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .*

*Proof.* The main point is that any biholomorphic map  $\mathbb{C}^n \xrightarrow{\cong} \Omega$  onto a Runge domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  can be approximated, uniformly on compact sets, by holomorphic automorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . This observation allows one to renormalize the sequence of biholomorphisms  $(\Psi_s^k)^{-1}: \tilde{X}_s^k \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{C}^n$  for  $k \geq k_s$  so that the new sequence converges uniformly on compact sets in  $X_s$  to a biholomorphic map  $X_s \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{C}^n$ ; we leave out the straightforward details.  $\square$

### 3. ENTIRE FAMILIES OF HOLOMORPHIC AUTOMORPHISMS

Let  $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{O}}(X)$  denote the complex Lie algebra of all holomorphic vector fields on a complex manifold  $X$ .

A vector field  $V \in \mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{O}}(X)$  is said to be  $\mathbb{C}$ -complete, or *completely integrable*, if its flow  $\{\phi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{C}}$  exists for all complex values  $t \in \mathbb{C}$ , starting at an arbitrary point  $x \in X$ . Thus  $\{\phi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{C}}$  is a complex one-parameter subgroup of the holomorphic automorphism group  $\text{Aut } X$ . The manifold  $X$  is said to enjoy the (holomorphic) *density property* if the Lie subalgebra  $\text{Lie}(X)$  of  $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{O}}(X)$ , generated by the  $\mathbb{C}$ -complete holomorphic vector fields, is dense in  $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{O}}(X)$  in the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets in  $X$  (see Varolin [8, 9]). More generally, a complex Lie subalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of  $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{O}}(X)$  enjoys the density property if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is densely generated by the  $\mathbb{C}$ -complete vector fields that it contains. This property is very restrictive on open manifolds. The main result of the Andersén-Lempert theory [1] is that  $\mathbb{C}^n$  for  $n > 1$  enjoys the density property; in fact, every polynomial vector field on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is a finite sum of complete polynomial vector fields (the shear fields).

Varolin proved [8] that any domain of the form  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^k \times \mathbb{C}^l$  with  $k + l \geq 2$  and  $l \geq 1$  enjoys the density property; we shall need this for the manifold  $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}$ . (Here  $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ .)

**Lemma 3.1.** *Assume that  $X$  is a Stein manifold with the density property. Choose a distance function  $\text{dist}_X$  on  $X$ . Let  $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_k \in \text{Aut } X$  be such that for each  $j = 1, \dots, k$  there exists a  $\mathcal{C}^2$  path  $\theta_{j,t} \in \text{Aut } X$  ( $t \in [0, 1]$ ) with  $\theta_{j,0} = \text{Id}_X$  and  $\theta_{j,1} = \psi_j$ . Given distinct points  $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , a compact set  $K \subset X$  and a*

number  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a holomorphic map  $\Psi: \mathbb{C} \times X \rightarrow X$  satisfying the following properties:

- (i)  $\Psi_\zeta = \Psi(\zeta, \cdot) \in \text{Aut } X$  for all  $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ ,
- (ii)  $\Psi_0 = \text{Id}_X$ ,
- (iii)  $\sup_{x \in K} \text{dist}_X(\Psi(a_j, x), \psi_j(x)) < \epsilon$  for  $j = 1, \dots, k$ .

A holomorphic map  $\Psi$  satisfying property (i) will be called an *entire curve of holomorphic automorphisms of  $X$* . Here  $\text{Id}_X$  denotes the identity on  $X$ .

*Proof.* Consider a  $\mathcal{C}^2$  path  $[0, 1] \ni t \mapsto \gamma_t \in \text{Aut } X$ . Pick a Stein Runge domain  $U \subset X$  containing the set  $K$ . Then  $U_t = \gamma_t(U) \subset X$  is Runge in  $X$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . By [1] or, more explicitly, by (the proof of) [4, Theorem 1.1] there exist finitely many complete holomorphic vector fields  $V_1, \dots, V_m$  on  $X$ , with flows  $\theta_{j,t}$ , and numbers  $c_1 > 0, \dots, c_m > 0$  such that the composition  $\theta_{m,c_m} \circ \dots \circ \theta_{1,c_1} \in \text{Aut } X$  approximates the automorphism  $\psi = \gamma_1$  within  $\epsilon$  on the set  $K$ . (The proof in [4] is written for  $X = \mathbb{C}^n$ , but it applies in the general case stated here. We first approximate  $\gamma_t: U \rightarrow U_t$  by compositions of short time flows of globally defined holomorphic vector fields on  $X$ ; here we need the Runge property of the sets  $U_t$ . Since  $X$  enjoys the density property, these vector fields can be approximated by Lie combinations (using sums and commutators) of complete holomorphic vector fields. This approximates  $\gamma_t$  for each  $t \in [0, 1]$ , uniformly on  $K$ , by compositions of flows of complete holomorphic vector fields on  $X$ .)

Consider  $t^1 = (t_1, \dots, t_m)$  as complex coordinates on  $\mathbb{C}^m$ . The map

$$\mathbb{C}^m \ni (t_1, \dots, t_m) \mapsto \Theta_1(t_1, \dots, t_m) = \theta_{m,t_m} \circ \dots \circ \theta_{1,t_1} \in \text{Aut } X$$

is entire, its value at the origin  $0 \in \mathbb{C}^m$  is  $\text{Id}_X$ , and its value at the point  $(c_1, \dots, c_m)$  is an automorphism that is  $\epsilon$ -close to  $\psi = \gamma_1$  on  $K$ .

Using this argument we find for every  $j = 1, \dots, k$  an integer  $m_j \in \mathbb{N}$  and an entire map  $\Theta_j: \mathbb{C}^{m_j} \rightarrow \text{Aut } X$  such that  $\Theta_j(0) = \text{Id}_X$  and  $\Theta_j(c_1^j, \dots, c_{m_j}^j)$  is  $\epsilon$ -close to  $\psi_j$  on  $K$  at some point  $c^j = (c_1^j, \dots, c_{m_j}^j) \in \mathbb{C}^{m_j}$ . Let  $t = (t^1, \dots, t^k)$  be the complex coordinates on  $\mathbb{C}^M = \mathbb{C}^{m_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{C}^{m_k}$ , where  $t^j = (t_1^j, \dots, t_{m_j}^j) \in \mathbb{C}^{m_j}$ . The composition

$$\mathbb{C}^M \ni t \mapsto \Theta(t^1, \dots, t^k) = \Theta^k(t^k) \circ \dots \circ \Theta^1(t^1) \in \text{Aut } X$$

is an entire map satisfying  $\Theta(0) = \text{Id}_X$  such that  $\Theta(0, \dots, 0, c^j, 0, \dots, 0)$  is  $\epsilon$ -close to  $\psi_j$  on  $K$  for each  $j = 1, \dots, k$ .

Choose an entire map  $g: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^M$  with  $g(a_j) = (0, \dots, c^j, \dots, 0)$  for  $j = 1, \dots, k$  and  $g(0) = 0$ . Then the map  $\mathbb{C} \ni \zeta \mapsto \Psi(\zeta) = \Theta(g(\zeta)) \in \text{Aut } X$  satisfies the conclusion of the lemma.  $\square$

#### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

We shall need the following result from [11, §2]. This construction is due to Stolzenberg [6]; see also [7, pp. 392–396].

**Lemma 4.1.** *There exists a compact set  $Y \subset \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}$  (a union  $Y = D_1 \cup D_2$  of two embedded, disjoint, polynomially convex discs) such that*

- (i)  $Y$  is  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C})$ -convex,
- (ii) the polynomial hull  $\widehat{Y}$  contains the origin  $(0, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ , and

(iii) for any nonempty open set  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}$  there exists a holomorphic automorphism  $\psi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C})$  such that  $Y \subset \psi(U)$ .

Property (iii) is [11, Lemma 3.1]: Since  $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}$  enjoys the density property according to Varolin [8], the isotopy that shrinks each of the two discs  $D_1, D_2 \subset Y$  to a point in  $U$  can be approximated by an isotopy of automorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}$  by using the methods in [4].

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* We give the proof for  $n = 2$ . Let  $B = \{b_1, b_2, \dots\}$  be as in the theorem. Choose a set  $Y \subset \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}$  satisfying Lemma 4.1. Pick a closed ball  $K \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  (or any compact set with nonempty interior).

We shall inductively construct a sequence of injective holomorphic maps  $\Phi^k: S \times \mathbb{C}^2 \hookrightarrow S \times \mathbb{C}^2$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ) of the form

$$\Phi^k(s, z) = (s, \phi_s^k(z)), \quad s \in S, z \in \mathbb{C}^2,$$

such that, setting

$$(4.1) \quad \tilde{\phi}_s^k = \phi_s^k \circ \phi_s^{k-1} \circ \dots \circ \phi_s^1, \quad K_s^k = \tilde{\phi}_s^k(K) \subset \mathbb{C}^2,$$

the following properties hold for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ :

- (i)  $\Omega^k := \Phi^k(S \times \mathbb{C}^2) \subset S \times (\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C})$ ,
- (ii) the fiber  $\Omega_s^k = \phi_s^k(\mathbb{C}^2)$  is Runge in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  for all  $s \in A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_k$ , and
- (iii)  $Y \subset \text{Int } K_s^k$  for each  $s \in \{b_1, \dots, b_k\}$ . In particular, the polynomial hull of the set  $K_s^k$  contains the origin for every such  $s$ .

Suppose for the moment that we have such a sequence. Let  $X$  denote the limit manifold and let  $\Psi^k: X^k = S \times \mathbb{C}^2 \xrightarrow{\cong} \tilde{X}^k \subset X$  be the induced inclusions (see §2).

If  $s \in \bigcup_k A_k = A$ , then property (ii) insures, in view of Lemma 2.1, that the fiber  $X_s$  is biholomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^2$ .

Suppose now that  $s = b_j$  for some  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Property (iii) shows that for every integer  $k \geq j$  the polynomial hull of the set  $K_s^k$  contains the origin of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ ; in particular,  $\widehat{K_s^k}$  is not contained in  $\Omega_s^k \subset \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}$ . For the corresponding subsets of the limit manifold  $X_s$  we get in view of (2.2) that

$$\widehat{\Psi_s^{k+1}(K_s^k)} \not\subset \tilde{X}_s^k, \quad k = j, j + 1, \dots,$$

where the hull is with respect to the algebra of holomorphic functions on the domain  $\tilde{X}_s^{k+1}$  in the fiber  $X_s$ .

Let  $K_s = \Psi_s^1(K)$  denote the compact set in  $X_s$  determined by  $K$ ; note that  $K_s \subset \tilde{X}_s^1$  and  $K_s = \Psi_s^{k+1}(K_s^k)$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  according to (2.2) and (4.1). The above display then gives

$$\widehat{(K_s)_{\mathcal{O}(\tilde{X}_s^{k+1})}} \not\subset \tilde{X}_s^k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Since  $\tilde{X}_s^{k+1}$  is a domain in  $X_s$ , we trivially have  $\widehat{(K_s)_{\mathcal{O}(\tilde{X}_s^{k+1})}} \subset \widehat{(K_s)_{\mathcal{O}(X_s)}}$ ; hence the hull  $\widehat{(K_s)_{\mathcal{O}(X_s)}}$  is not contained in  $\tilde{X}_s^k$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . As the domains  $\tilde{X}_s^k$  exhaust  $X_s$ , this hull is noncompact. Hence  $X_s$  is not holomorphically convex (and therefore not Stein) for any  $s \in B$ .

This proves Theorem 1.1 provided that we can find a sequence with the stated properties.

We begin with some initial choices of domains and maps. Pick a Fatou-Bieberbach map  $\theta: \mathbb{C}^2 \xrightarrow{\cong} D \subset \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}$  whose image  $D = \theta(\mathbb{C}^2)$  is Runge in

$\mathbb{C}^2$ . (Such a  $D$  can be obtained as the attracting basin of an automorphism of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  that fixes the complex line  $\{0\} \times \mathbb{C}$ . See e.g. [5, Example 9.7] for an explicit example.) Let  $U = \text{Int } K \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ ; then  $\theta(U) \subset D$  is a nonempty open set in  $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}$ .

For each  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  we choose a holomorphic function  $f_k: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $f_k = 0$  on the subvariety  $A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_k$  of  $S$  and  $f_k(b_j) = j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, k$ . If the set  $B \subset X \setminus A$  also contains a closed complex subvariety  $B'$  of  $X$  of positive dimension, we let  $f_k = 1$  on  $B'$ .

We now construct the first map  $\Phi^1(s, z) = (s, \phi_s^1(z))$ . Lemma 4.1 furnishes an automorphism  $\psi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C})$  such that  $Y \subset \psi(\theta(U))$ . By Lemma 3.1 there exists an entire curve of automorphisms  $\Psi_\zeta \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C})$  ( $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ ) such that  $\Psi_0 = \text{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}}$  and  $\Psi_1$  approximates  $\psi$  close enough on the compact set  $\theta(K)$  so that  $Y \subset \Psi_1(\theta(U))$ . Hence  $(0, 0) \in \widehat{Y} \subset \widehat{\Psi_1(\theta(K))}$ . Set

$$\phi_s^1(z) = \Psi_{f_1(s)}(\theta(z)), \quad s \in S, z \in \mathbb{C}^2.$$

If  $s \in A_1$ , then  $f_1(s) = 0$  and hence  $\phi_s^1(z) = \Psi_0(\theta(z)) = \theta(z)$ , so  $\phi_s^1 = \theta$ . If  $s = b_1$ , then  $f_1(s) = 1$  and hence  $\phi_s^1 = \Psi_1 \circ \theta$ . Thus  $Y \subset \phi_{b_1}^1(U)$  and the polynomial hull  $\widehat{\phi_{b_1}^1(K)}$  contains the origin of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . This gives the initial step.

Suppose that we have found maps  $\Phi^1, \dots, \Phi^k$  satisfying conditions (i)–(iii) above; we now construct the next map  $\Phi^{k+1}$  in the sequence. Recall that  $\tilde{\phi}_s^k: \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$  is the map defined by (4.1). Set

$$U_s^k = (\theta \circ \tilde{\phi}_s^k)(U), \quad s \in S;$$

this is a nonempty open set contained in the compact set  $\theta(K_s^k) \subset \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}$ . Lemma 4.1 gives for each  $j = 1, \dots, k+1$  an automorphism  $\psi_j \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C})$  such that  $Y \subset \psi_j(U_{b_j}^k)$ . By Lemma 3.1 there exists an entire curve of automorphisms  $\Psi_\zeta \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C})$  ( $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ ) such that  $\Psi_0 = \text{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}}$  and  $\Psi_j$  approximates  $\psi_j$  for every  $j = 1, \dots, k+1$ . If the approximation is close enough on the compact set  $\theta(K_{b_j}^k)$ , then  $Y \subset (\Psi_j \circ \theta)(K_{b_j}^k)$  and hence the origin  $(0, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^2$  is contained in the polynomial hull of  $(\Psi_j \circ \theta)(K_{b_j}^k)$ . Set

$$\phi_s^{k+1}(z) = \Psi_{f_{k+1}(s)} \circ \theta(z), \quad s \in S, z \in \mathbb{C}^2.$$

If  $s \in A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_{k+1}$ , then  $f_{k+1}(s) = 0$  and hence  $\phi_s^{k+1} = \theta$ . If  $s = b_j$  for some  $j = 1, \dots, k+1$ , then  $f_{k+1}(b_j) = j$  and hence  $\phi_{b_j}^{k+1} = \Psi_j \circ \theta$ ; therefore the polynomial hull of the set  $\phi_{b_j}^{k+1}(K_{b_j}^k)$  contains the origin. Taking  $\phi_s^{k+1}$  as the next map in the sequence and setting

$$\tilde{\phi}_s^{k+1} = \phi_s^{k+1} \circ \tilde{\phi}_s^k, \quad K_s^{k+1} = \phi_s^{k+1}(K_s^k)$$

we see that properties (i)–(iii) hold also for  $k+1$ . The induction may continue. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

1. Andersén, E., Lempert, L., On the group of automorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . *Invent. Math.*, 110 (1992), 371–388. MR1185588 (93i:32038)
2. Fornæss, J. E., An increasing sequence of Stein manifolds whose limit is not Stein. *Math. Ann.*, 223 (1976), 275–277. MR0417448 (54:5498)
3. Fornæss, J. E., Short  $\mathbb{C}^k$ . In: *Complex Analysis in Several Variables – Memorial Conference of Kiyoshi Oka’s Centennial Birthday*, pp. 95–108, *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, 42, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2004. MR2087041 (2005h:32027)

4. Forstnerič, F., Rosay, J.-P., Approximation of biholomorphic mappings by automorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . *Invent. Math.*, 112 (1993), 323–349. MR1213106 (94f:32032)
5. Rosay, J.-P., Rudin, W., Holomorphic maps from  $\mathbb{C}^n$  to  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 310 (1988), 47–86. MR929658 (89d:32058)
6. Stolzenberg, G., On the analytic part of a Runge hull. *Math. Ann.*, 164 (1966), 286–290. MR0203080 (34:2938)
7. Stout, E. L.: *The Theory of Uniform Algebras*. Bogden & Quigley, Inc., Tarrytown-on-Hudson, New York, 1971. MR0423083 (54:11066)
8. Varolin, D., The density property for complex manifolds and geometric structures. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 11 (2001), 135–160. MR1829353 (2002g:32026)
9. Varolin, D., The density property for complex manifolds and geometric structures II. *Internat. J. Math.*, 11 (2000), 837–847. MR1785520 (2002g:32027)
10. Wermer, J., An example concerning polynomial convexity. *Math. Ann.*, 139 (1959), 147–149. MR0121500 (22:12238)
11. Wold, E. F., A Fatou-Bieberbach domain in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  which is not Runge. *Math. Ann.*, 340 (2008), 775–780. MR2372737 (2009c:32019)
12. Wold, E. F., A long  $\mathbb{C}^2$  which is not Stein. *Ark. Mat.*, 48 (2010), 207–210. MR2594593 (2011c:32011)

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, UNIVERSITY OF LJUBLJANA, AND INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, PHYSICS AND MECHANICS, JADRANSKA 19, 1000 LJUBLJANA, SLOVENIA

*E-mail address:* franc.forstneric@fmf.uni-lj.si