Springer Link

Surjective Holomorphic Maps onto Oka Manifolds

Complex and Symplectic Geometry pp 73-84 | Cite as

- Franc Forstnerič (1) (2) Email author (franc.forstneric@fmf.uni-lj.si)

1. Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia
2. Institute of Mathematics, Physics, and Mechanics, Ljubljana, Slovenia

Abstract

Let X be a connected Oka manifold, and let S be a Stein manifold with $\dim S \geq \dim X$. We show that every continuous map $S \to X$ is homotopic to a surjective strongly dominating holomorphic map $S \to X$. We also find strongly dominating algebraic morphisms from the affine n-space onto any compact n-dimensional algebraically subelliptic manifold. Motivated by these results, we propose a new holomorphic flexibility property of complex manifolds, the *basic Oka property with surjectivity*, which could potentially provide another characterization of the class of Oka manifolds.

Keywords

Algebraic map   Holomorphic map   Oka manifold   Stein manifold
Download fulltext PDF

1 Introduction

A complex manifold X is said to be an *Oka manifold* if every holomorphic map $U \to X$ from an open convex set U in a complex Euclidean space $\mathbb{C}^N$ can be approximated uniformly on compacts in U by holomorphic maps $\mathbb{C}^N \to X$. This *convex approximation property* (CAP) of X, which was first introduced in [12], implies that maps from any Stein manifold S to X satisfy the parametric Oka principle with approximation and interpolation (see [13, Theorem 5.4.4]; it suffices to verify CAP for the integer $N = \dim S + \dim X$). In particular, every continuous map $f \colon S \to X$ from a Stein manifold S to an Oka manifold X is homotopic to a holomorphic map $F \colon S \to X$, and F can chosen to approximate f on a compact $\mathcal{O}(S)$-convex set $K \subset S$ provided that f is holomorphic on a neighborhood of K. For the theory of Oka manifolds, we refer to the monograph [13] and the surveys [14, 15, 22]; for the theory of Stein manifolds, see [18, 19].

In this note, we construct *surjective* holomorphic maps from Stein manifolds to Oka manifolds, and surjective algebraic morphisms of affine algebraic manifolds to certain compact algebraic manifolds. We say that a (necessarily surjective) holomorphic map $F: S \to X$ is *strongly dominating* if for every point $x \in X$ there exists a point $p \in S$ such that $F(p) = x$ and $dF_p: T_pS \to T_xX$ is surjective. Equivalently, $F(S \setminus \mathrm{br}_F) = X$ where $\mathrm{br}_F \subset S$ is the branch locus of F.

Theorem 1.1

Let X be a connected Oka manifold. If S is a Stein manifold and $\dim S \geq \dim X$ *then every continuous map f: S → X is homotopic to a strongly dominating (surjective) holomorphic map F: S → X. In particular, there exists a strongly dominating holomorphic map F $: \mathbb{C}^n \to X$ for n = $\dim X$.*

Theorem 1.1 answers a question that arose in author's discussion with Jörg Winkelmann (see the Acknowledgement). The result also holds, with the same proof, if S is a reduced Stein space. A similar result in the algebraic category is given by Theorem 1.6.

A version of Theorem 1.1, with $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ a non-autonomous basin of a sequence of attracting automorphisms with uniform bounds, is due to Fornæss and Wold [9, Theorem 1.4]. With the exception of surjectivity, the results in the cited theorem had been know earlier for maps of Stein manifolds to Oka manifolds; see [13, Theorem 7.9.1 and Corollary 7.9.3, pp. 324–325] for the existence of embeddings, while the existence of maps with dense images follows immediately from the fact that Oka manifolds enjoy the Oka property with interpolation [13, Theorem 5.4.4].

According to the standard terminology, a holomorphic map $F : \mathbb{C}^n \to X$ is said to be *dominating* at the point $x_0 = F(0) \in X$ if the differential $dF_0 : T_0\mathbb{C}^n \to T_{x_0}X$ is surjective; if such F exists then X is *dominable at x_0*. A complex manifold which is dominable at every point is called *strongly dominable*. Every Oka manifold is strongly dominable, but the converse is not known. For a discussion of this subject, see e.g. [16]. Theorem 1.1 furnishes a map $F : \mathbb{C}^n \to X$ such that the family of maps $\{ F \circ \phi_a \}_{a \in \mathbb{C}^n}$, where $\phi_a : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ is the translation $z \mapsto z + a$, dominates at every point of X.

On the other hand, we do not know whether every Oka manifold X is the image of a *locally biholomorphic* map $\mathbb{C}^n \to X$ with $n = \dim X$. A closely related problem is to decide whether locally biholomorphic self-maps of $\mathbb{C}^n$ for $n > 1$ satisfy the Runge approximation theorem; see [13, Problem 8.11.3 and Theorem 8.12.4].

Theorem 1.1 is proved in Sect. 3. The proof is based on an approximation result for holomorphic maps from Stein manifolds to Oka manifolds which we formulate in Sect. 2 (see Theorem 2.1). The approximation takes place on a locally finite sequence of compact sets in a Stein manifold S which are separated by the level sets of a strongly plurisubharmonic exhaustion function and satisfy certain holomorphic convexity conditions. Although Theorem 2.1 follows easily from the proof of the Oka principle

with approximation (see [13, Chapter 5 (https://doi.org/10.1007 /978-3-319-62914-8_5)]), this formulation is useful in certain situations like the one considered here, and hence we feel it worthwhile to record it.

Theorem 1.1 is motivated in part by results to the effect that certain complex manifolds S are *universal sources*, in the sense that they admit a surjective holomorphic map $S \to X$ onto every complex manifold of the same dimension. This holds for the polydisc and the ball in $\mathbb{C}^n$ (see Fornæss and Stout [7, 8]; in this case, the map can be chosen locally biholomorphic and finitely sheeted), and also for any bounded domain with C^2 boundary in $\mathbb{C}^n$ (see Løw [24]). Further results, with emphasis on the case $X = \mathbb{C}^n$, were obtained by Chen and Wang [4]. In these results, the source manifold is assumed to be Kobayashi hyperbolic. This condition cannot be substantially weakened since a holomorphic map is distance decreasing with respect to the Kobayashi pseudometrics on the respective manifolds. In particular, a manifold with vanishing Kobayashi pseudometric (such as $\mathbb{C}^n$) does not admit any nonconstant holomorphic map to a hyperbolic manifold. Furthermore, the existence of a nondegenerate holomorphic map $\mathbb{C}^n \to X$ to a connected compact complex manifold X of dimension n implies that X is not of general type (see Kodaira [21] and Kobayashi and Ochiai [20]). By an extension of the Kobayashi-Ochiai argument, Campana proved that such X is *special* [2, Corollary 8.11]. Special manifolds are important in Campana's structure theory of compact Kähler manifolds. Recently, Diverio and Trapani [5] and Wu and Yau [28, 29] proved that a compact connected complex manifold X, which admits a Kähler metric whose holomorphic sectional curvature is everywhere nonpositive and is strictly negative at least at one point, has positive canonical bundle K_X. (See also Tosatti and Yang [26] and Nomura [25].) Hence, such X is projective and of general type, and therefore it does not admit any nondegenerate holomorphic map $\mathbb{C}^n \to X$ with $n = \dim X$.

These observations justify the hypothesis in Theorem 1.1 that X be an Oka manifold.

Let us recall a related but weaker holomorphic flexibility property introduced by Gromov [17]. A complex manifold X is said to enjoy the *basic Oka property*, BOP, if every continuous map $S \to X$ from a Stein manifold S is homotopic to a holomorphic map. The only difference with respect to the class of Oka manifolds is that BOP does not include any approximation or interpolation conditions. Thus, every Oka manifold satisfies BOP, but the converse fails e.g. for contractible hyperbolic manifolds (such as bounded convex domains in $\mathbb{C}^n$). The basic Oka property was studied by Winkelmann [27] for maps between Riemann surfaces, and by Campana and Winkelmann [3] for more general complex manifolds. (Their use of the term *homotopy principle* is equivalent to BOP.) In particular, they proved in [3, Main Theorem] that a projective manifold satisfying BOP is special in the sense of [2]. (The converse is an open problem.) We thus have

Oka $\implies$ BOP $\implies$ special,

where the second implication holds for compact projective manifolds (and is expected to be true for all compact Kähler manifolds).

Concerning the relationship between Oka manifolds and manifolds with BOP, one has the feeling that these two classes are essentially the same after eliminating the obvious counterexamples provided by contractible hyperbolic manifolds; the latter may be used as building blocks in manifolds with BOP, but not in Oka manifolds. With this in

mind, we propose the following new Oka property.

Definition 1.2

A connected complex manifold X satisfies the *basic Oka property with surjectivity*, abbreviated BOPS, if every continuous map $f: S \to X$ from a Stein manifold S with $\dim S \geq \dim X$ is homotopic to a *surjective* holomorphic map $F: S \to X$.

Theorem 1.1 says that Oka $\Rightarrow$ BOPS. Applying the BOPS axiom to a constant map $\mathbb{C}^n \to x_0 \in X$ gives the following observation.

Proposition 1.3

A connected complex manifold X satisfying BOPS admits a surjective holomorphic map $\mathbb{C}^n \to X$ with $n = \dim X$. In particular, the Kobayashi pseudometric of a complex manifold satisfying BOPS vanishes identically.

Since the BOPS axiom eliminates the obvious counterexamples to the (false) implication BOP $\Rightarrow$ Oka, the following seems a reasonable question.

Problem 1.4

1. (a)

   Assuming that a complex manifold X satisfies BOPS, does it follow that X is an Oka manifold? That is, do we have the implication BOPS $\Rightarrow$ Oka?

2. (b)

   Do the properties BOP and BOPS coincide in the class of compact (or compact Kähler, or compact projective) manifolds?

Let us mention another question related to Theorem 1.1. Let $\mathbb{B}^n$ denote the open ball in $\mathbb{C}^n$. It is an open problem whether $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \overline{\mathbb{B}}^n$ is an Oka manifold when $n > 1$.

Problem 1.5

Let $n > 1$. Does there exist a surjective holomorphic map $\mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \overline{\mathbb{B}}^n$?

In this connection, we mention that Dixon and Esterle (see [6, Theorem 8.13, p. 182]) constructed for every $\varepsilon > 0$ a finitely sheeted holomorphic map $f : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$ whose image avoids the closed unit ball $\overline{\mathbb{B}}^2$ but contains the complement of the ball of radius $1 + \varepsilon$: $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus (1 + \epsilon)\overline{\mathbb{B}}^2 \subset f(\mathbb{C}^2) \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \overline{\mathbb{B}}^2$.

Theorem 1.1 shows that a negative answer to Problem 1.5 would imply that $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \overline{\mathbb{B}}^n$ fails to be Oka. Since $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \overline{\mathbb{B}}^n$ is a union of Fatou-Bieberbach domains (obtained for example as attracting basins of holomorphic automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^n$ which map the ball $\mathbb{B}^n$ into itself), this would provide an example of a strongly dominable manifold which is not Oka.

The above example is also connected to the open problem whether every Oka manifold is elliptic or subelliptic, the latter being the main known geometric conditions implying all versions of the Oka property (see Gromov [17], Forstnerič [10], and [13, Definition 5.5.11 (d) and Corollary 5.5.12]). The following implications hold for any complex manifold:

homogeneous $\implies$ elliptic $\implies$ subelliptic $\implies$ Oka $\implies$ strongly dominable.

It was shown by Andrist et al. [1] that $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \overline{\mathbb{B}}^n$ is not subelliptic when $n \geq 3$. Since $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \overline{\mathbb{B}}^n$ is strongly dominable, at least one of the two right-most implications cannot be reversed. Therefore, the question whether $\mathbb{C}^3 \setminus \overline{\mathbb{B}}^3$ is an Oka manifold is of particular interest.

It is natural to look for an analogue of Theorem 1.1 in the algebraic category. At this time, we do not have a good notion of an algebraic Oka manifold. However, a useful geometric condition on an algebraic manifold X, which gives the approximation of certain holomorphic maps $S \to X$ from affine algebraic manifolds S by algebraic morphisms $S \to X$, is *algebraic subellipticity*; see [13, Definition 5.5.11 (e)] or Sect. 4 below. (We emphasize that all algebraic maps in this paper are understood to be morphisms, i.e., without singularities.) In Sect. 4 we prove the following result in this direction.

Theorem 1.6

Assume that X is a compact algebraically subelliptic manifold and S is an affine algebraic manifold such that dim$S \geq$ dim$X$. *Then, every algebraic map $S \to X$ is homotopic (through algebraic maps) to a surjective strongly dominating algebraic map $S \to X$. In particular, X admits a surjective strongly dominating algebraic map $F : \mathbb{C}^n \to X$ with $n =$ dim$X$.*

The proof of Theorem 1.6 is based on Theorem 4.1 which is taken from [11]. It says in particular that, given an affine algebraic manifold S and an algebraically subelliptic manifold X, a holomorphic map $S \to X$ that is homotopic to an algebraic map through a family of holomorphic maps can be approximated by algebraic maps $S \to X$.

Example 1.7

Let X be an algebraic manifold of dimension n which is covered by Zariski open sets that are biregularly isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^n$. Such manifolds are said to be of Class $\mathcal{A}_0$ (see [13, Definition 6.4.5]). Then X is algebraically subelliptic (see [13, Proposition 6.4.6]). Furthermore, the total space Y of any blow-up $Y \to X$ along a closed algebraic submanifold of X is also algebraically subelliptic according to Lárusson and Truong [23, Corollary 2]. If X (and hence Y) is compact, then Theorem 1.6 furnishes a strongly dominating morphisms $\mathbb{C}^n \to Y$. This holds for example if Y is obtained by blowing up a projective space or a Grassmanian along a compact submanifold.

In dimension 2, Theorem 1.6 says in particular that every rational smooth compact algebraic surface is a regular image of $\mathbb{C}^2$.

It was shown by Lárusson and Truong [23, Proposition 6] that every algebraically subelliptic manifold (not necessarily compact) is strongly algebraically dominable. We do not know whether the analogue of Theorem 1.6 holds if X is a *noncompact* algebraically subelliptic manifold.

2 Approximation of Maps from a Stein Manifold to an Oka Manifold on a Sequence of Stein Compacts

We denote by $\mathcal{O}(S)$ the algebra of all holomorphic functions on a complex manifold S, endowed with the compact-open topology. Recall that a compact set K in S is said to be $\mathcal{O}(S)$-*convex* if $K = \widehat{K}_{\mathcal{O}(S)}$, where the holomorphic hull of K is defined by
$$\widehat{K}_{\mathcal{O}(S)} = \left\{ p \in S : |f(p)| \leq \sup_K |f| \;\; \forall f \in \mathcal{O}(S) \right\}.$$
In this section, we prove the following approximation result. In the next section, we will apply it to prove Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 2.1

Let S be a reduced Stein space and $(K_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of compact pairwise disjoint subsets of S satisfying the following properties:

1. (a)

   Every compact set in S intersects at most finitely many of the sets K_j.

2. (b)

   The union $\cup_{j=1}^k K_j$ is $\mathcal{O}(S)$-convex for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

3. (c)

> *Set $K = \cup_{j=1}^{\infty} K_j$. There exist a strongly plurisubharmonic exhaustion function $\rho : S \to \mathbb{R}_+ = [0, +\infty)$ and an increasing sequence $0 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots$ with $\lim_{j\to\infty} a_j = +\infty$ such that for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $K \cap \{\rho = a_j\} = \varnothing$ and the compact set $M_j := \{\rho \le a_j\} \cup (K \cap \{\rho \le a_{j+1}\})$ is $\mathcal{O}(S)$-convex.*
>
> (1)

Let X be an Oka manifold, and let $f\colon S \to X$ be a continuous map which is holomorphic on a neighborhood of the set $K = \cup_{j=1}^{\infty} K_j$. Let dist be a distance function on X inducing the manifold topology. Given a sequence $\varepsilon_j > 0$ ($j \in \mathbb{N}$), there exists a holomorphic map $F\colon S \to X$, homotopic to f by a family of maps $F_t\colon S \to X$ ($t \in [0, 1]$) that are holomorphic on a neighborhood of K, such that

$$\sup_{p \in K_j} \mathrm{dist}(f(p), F(p)) < \epsilon_j \quad \text{for all } j = 1, 2, \dots .$$

(2)

Furthermore, given a discrete sequence of points $(p_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subset K$ and integers $k_j \in \mathbb{N}$, we can choose F to agree with f to order k_j at p_j.

Proof

We may assume that dist is a complete metric on X and that $\sum_j \varepsilon_j < \infty$. Let $(a_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ be the sequence of real numbers in condition (c). Set

$$S_j := \{ p \in S : \rho(p) \le a_j \}, \quad A_j := \{ p \in S : a_j \le \rho(p) \le a_{j+1} \}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Note that S_j is compact $\mathcal{O}(S)$-convex, and we have

$$S_{j+1} = S_j \cup A_j \quad \text{and} \quad M_j = S_j \cup (K \cap A_j) \quad \text{for every } j = 1, 2, \dots .$$

(Recall that $K = \cup_{j=1}^{\infty} K_j$.) For consistency of notation we also set

$$S_0 = \varnothing, \quad M_0 := K \cap S_1, \quad F_0 = f.$$

By hypothesis (c), we have that $K \cap bS_j = \varnothing$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore, condition (a) in the theorem implies that each set S_j contains at most finitely many of the sets K_i. Set

$$\eta_j := \min\{\epsilon_i : K_i \subset S_j\} > 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots .$$

(3)

To prove the theorem, we shall construct sequences of continuous maps $F_j\colon S \to X$, homotopies $F_{j,t}\colon S \to X$ ($t \in [0, 1]$), and numbers $b_j, c_j > 0$ satisfying the following conditions for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$:

- (i$_j$) $a_j < b_j < c_j < a_{j+1}$ and $K \cap A_j \subset \{c_j < \rho < a_{j+1}\}$.

- (ii$_j$) F_j is holomorphic on $\{\rho < b_j\}$ and $F_j = F_{j-1}$ on $\{\rho \ge c_j\}$.

- (iii$_j$) $\mathrm{dist}(F_j(p), F_{j-1}(p)) < 2^{-j}\eta_j$ for every $p \in M_{j-1}$.

- (iv$_j$) $F_{j,0} = F_{j-1}$ and $F_{j,1} = F_j$.

- (v_j) For every $t \in [0, 1]$ the map $F_{j,t}$ is holomorphic on a neighborhood of M_{j-1} and $F_{j,t} = F_{j-1}$ holds on $\{\rho \geq c_j\}$.

- (vi_j) dist($F_{j,t}(p), F_{j-1}(p)) < 2^{-j}\eta_j$ for every $p \in M_{j-1}$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

We could also add a suitable condition on F_j to ensure jet interpolation along a discrete sequence $(p_j) \subset K$ (see the last sentence in the theorem). Since this interpolation is a trivial addition in what follows, we shall delete it to simplify the exposition.

A sequence of maps and homotopies satisfying these properties can be constructed recursively by using [13, Theorem 5.4.4] at every step; we offer some details.

Assume that maps $F_0, F_1, ..., F_j$ and homotopies $F_{1,t}, ..., F_{j,t}$ with these properties have been found for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$. (Recall that $F_0 = f$.) In view of property (ii_j) the map F_j is holomorphic on the set $\{\rho < b_j\}$, and we have $F_j = F_{j-1} = \cdots = F_0$ on $\{\rho \geq c_j\}$. Since $K \cap A_j \subset \{c_j < \rho < a_{j+1}\}$ by property (i_j), it follows that F_j is holomorphic on a neighborhood of the set M_j (1). Since M_j is $\mathcal{O}(S)$-convex, we can apply [13, Theorem 5.4.4] to find a number $c_{j+1} > a_{j+1}$ close to a_{j+1}, a holomorphic map $F_{j+1}: \{\rho < c_{j+1}\} \rightarrow X$ satisfying property (iii_{j+1}), and a homotopy of maps $F_{j+1,t}: \{\rho < c_j\} \rightarrow X$ ($t \in [0, 1]$) satisfying properties (iv_{j+1}) and (vi_{j+1}). It remains to extend this homotopy to all of S such that condition (v_{j+1}) holds as well. This is accomplished by using a cut-off function in the parameter of the homotopy. Explicitly, pick a number b_{j+1} such that $a_{j+1} < b_{j+1} < c_{j+1}$, and let $\chi: S \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a continuous function which equals 1 on the set $\{\rho \leq b_{j+1}\}$ and has support contained in $\{\rho < c_{j+1}\}$. The homotopy of continuous maps
$$(p, t) \longmapsto F_{j+1,\chi(p)t}(p) \in X, \quad p \in S, \ t \in [0, 1]$$
then agrees with the homotopy $F_{j+1,t}$ on the set $\{\rho \leq b_{j+1}\}$ (since $\chi = 1$ there), and it agrees with the map F_j (and hence with $F_0 = f$) on $\{\rho \geq c_{j+1}\}$ since χ vanishes there. This established the condition (v_{j+1}) and completes the induction step.

In view of (iii_j) and the definition of the numbers η_j (3), the sequence $F_j: S \rightarrow X$ converges uniformly on compacts in S to a holomorphic map $F = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} F_j: S \rightarrow X$ satisfying the estimates (2). Furthermore, conditions (iv_{j+1})–(vi_{j+1}) imply that the sequence of homotopies $F_{j,t}: S \rightarrow X$ ($j \in \mathbb{N}$) can be assembled into a homotopy $F_t: S \rightarrow X$ ($t \in [0, 1]$) connecting $F_0 = f$ to the final holomorphic map $F_1 = F$ such that F_t is holomorphic on a neighborhood of the set K for every $t \in [0, 1]$ and every map F_t in the homotopy satisfies the estimates (2). This assembling is accomplished by writing $[0, 1) = \cup_{j=1}^{\infty} I_j$, where $I_j = [1 - 2^{-j+1}, 1 - 2^{-j}]$, and placing the homotopy $(F_{j,t})_{t \in [0,1]}$ onto the subinterval $I_j \subset [0, 1]$ by suitably reparametrizing the t-variable. $\square$

3 Construction of Surjective Holomorphic Maps to Oka Manifolds

Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let X be a complex manifold of dimension n. Choose a countable family of compact

sets $L_j' \subset L_j \subset X (j \in \mathbb{N})$ satisfying the following conditions:

1. (i)

   $L_j' \subset \mathring{L}_j$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

2. (ii)

   $\cup_{j=1}^{\infty} L_j' = X$.

3. (iii)

   For every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ there are an open set $V_j \subset X$ containing L_j and a biholomorphic map $\psi_j : V_j \to \psi_j(V_j) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\psi_j(L_j) = \overline{\mathbb{B}}^n$ is the closed unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^n$.

A compact set $L_j \subset X$ satisfying condition (iii) will be called a (closed) *ball* in X. If the manifold X is compact, then we can cover it by a finite family of such balls.

Let S be a Stein manifold of dimension $m = \dim S \geq n$. Choose a smooth strongly plurisubharmonic exhaustion function $\rho : S \to \mathbb{R}_+ = [0, +\infty)$. Pick an increasing sequence of real numbers $a_j > 0$ with $\lim_{j \to \infty} a_j = +\infty$. For each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ we choose a small $\mathcal{O}(S)$-convex ball K_j in S such that
$$K_j \subset \{ p \in S : a_j < \rho(p) < a_{j+1} \}$$
(4)
and
 the compact set $M_j := K_j \cup \{\rho \leq a_j\}$ is $\mathcal{O}(S)$-convex.
(5)
The last condition can be achieved by taking the balls K_j small enough; here is an explanation. By the assumption, there are an open set $U_j \subset S$ containing K_j and a biholomorphic coordinate map $\phi_j : U_j \to \phi_j(U_j) \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ such that $\phi_j(K_j) = \overline{\mathbb{B}}^m \subset \mathbb{C}^m$. In view of (4) we may assume that $\overline{U}_j \cap \{\rho \leq a_j\} = \emptyset$. Let $p_j := \phi_j^{-1}(0) \in K_j$ be the center of K_j. The compact set $\{\rho \leq a_j\} \cup \{p_j\}$ is clearly $\mathcal{O}(S)$-convex, and hence it has a basis of compact $\mathcal{O}(S)$-convex neighborhoods. In particular, there is a compact neighborhood $T \subset U_j$ of the point p_j such that $T \cup \{\rho \leq a_j\}$ is $\mathcal{O}(S)$-convex. Choose a number $0 < r_j < 1$ small enough such that $r_j \overline{\mathbb{B}}^m \subset \phi_j(T)$. The ball $K_j' := \phi_j^{-1}(r_j \overline{\mathbb{B}}^m)$ is then contained in T and is $\mathcal{O}(T)$-convex. Hence, the set $K_j' \cup \{\rho \leq a_j\}$ is $\mathcal{O}(S)$-convex. Replacing K_j by K_j' and rescaling the coordinate map ϕ_j accordingly so that it takes this set onto $\overline{\mathbb{B}}^m$, condition (5) is satisfied.

Denote by $\pi : \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathbb{C}^n$ the coordinate projection $(z_1, ..., z_m) \mapsto (z_1, ..., z_n)$. (Recall that $m \geq n$.) Then $\pi(\overline{\mathbb{B}}^m) = \overline{\mathbb{B}}^n$. Let $U_j \supset K_j$ and $\phi_j : U_j \to \phi_j(U_j) \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ be as above, and let ψ_j be as in (iii). There is an open neighborhood $U_j' \subset S$ of K_j, with $U_j' \subset U_j$, such that the map
$$f_j = \psi_j^{-1} \circ \pi \circ \phi_j : U_j' \to V_j \subset X$$
is a well defined holomorphic submersion satisfying $f_j(K_j) = L_j$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since the set $L_j{}'$ is contained in the interior of L_j and f_j is a submersion, there is a compact set $K_j{}'$ contained in the interior of K_j such that $L_j' \subset f_j(\mathring{K}_j{}')$. By Rouché's theorem we can choose $\varepsilon_j > 0$ small enough such that for every holomorphic map $F: K_j \to X$ defined on a neighborhood of K_j we have that

$$\sup_{p \in K_j} \mathrm{dist}(f_j(p), F(p)) < \epsilon_j \implies L_j' \subset F(K_j').$$

(6)

Let $f: S \to X$ be a continuous map. By a homotopic deformation of f, supported on a contractible neighborhood of the ball $K_j \subset S$ for each j, we can arrange that $f = f_j$ on a neighborhood of K_j for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$. The homotopy is kept fixed outside a somewhat bigger neighborhood of each K_j in S, and these neighborhoods are chosen to have pairwise disjoint closures. We denote the new map by the same letter f.

Theorem 2.1, applied to the map f and the sequences K_j and ε_j, furnishes a holomorphic map $F: S \to X$ that is homotopic to f and satisfies the estimate

$$\sup_{p \in K_j} \mathrm{dist}(f(p), F(p)) < \epsilon_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots$$

(see (2)). By the choice of ε_j (6) it follows that $L_j{}' \subset F(K_j{}')$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, and hence $F(S) = \cup_{j=1}^{\infty} F(K_j') = \cup_{j=1}^{\infty} L_j' = X$.

Furthermore, if the numbers $\varepsilon_j > 0$ are chosen small enough, then F has maximal rank equal to $\dim X$ at every point of $K_j{}'$ (since this holds for the map f on the bigger set K_j), and hence F is strongly dominating. □

Remark 3.1

The same proof applies if S is a reduced Stein space with $\dim S \geq \dim X$. In this case, we just pick the balls K_j (4) in the regular locus of S.

4 Surjective Algebraic Maps to Compact Algebraically Subelliptic Manifolds

In this section we prove Theorem 1.6. We begin by recalling the relevant notions.

An algebraic manifold X is said to be *algebraically subelliptic* if it admits a finite family of algebraic sprays $s_j: E_j \to X$ ($j = 1, \ldots, k$), defined on total spaces E_j of algebraic vector bundles $\pi_j: E_j \to X$, which is *dominating* in the sense that for each point $x \in X$ the vector subspaces $(ds_j)_{0_x}(E_{j,x}) \subset T_x X$ span the tangent space $T_x X$:

$$(ds_1)_{0_x}(E_{1,x}) + \cdots + (ds_k)_{0_x}(E_{k,x}) = T_x X \quad \forall x \in X.$$

See [11, Definition 2.1 (https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-62914-8_2#FPar5)] or [13, Definition 5.5.11 (e)] for the details. Here, X could be a projective (or quasi-projective) algebraic manifold, although the same theory applies to more general algebraic manifolds. By an *algebraic map*, we always mean an algebraic morphism without singularities.

The following result is [11, Theorem 3.1 (https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-62914-8_3#FPar12)]; see also [13, Theorem 7.10.1]. As pointed out there, this is a version of the *h-Runge approximation theorem* in the algebraic category. For the analytic case of this result, see Gromov [17] and [13, Theorem 6.6.1].

Theorem 4.1

Assume that S is an affine algebraic manifold and X is an algebraically subelliptic manifold. Given an algebraic map f: S → X, a compact $\mathcal{O}(S)$-convex subset K of S, an open set U ⊂ S containing K, and a homotopy f_t: U → X of holomorphic maps ($t \in$ [0, 1]) with $f_0 = f |_U$, there exists for every ε > 0 an algebraic map $F : S \times \mathbb{C} \to X$ such that

$$F(\cdot, 0) = f \quad and \quad \sup_{p \in K, \, t \in [0,1]} dist\,(\,F(\,p, t), f_t(\,p)) < \epsilon.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.6

The proof uses Theorem 4.1 and is similar to that of Theorem 1.1. The main difference is that the initial map f: S → X must be algebraic. For the sake of simplicity, we present the details only in the special case when $S = \mathbb{C}^n$ with $n = \dim X$.

Fix a point $x_0 \in X$ and let $f : \mathbb{C}^n \to X$ be the constant map $f(z) = x_0 \in X$.

Since X is compact, there is finite family of pairs of compact sets $L_j' \subset L_j \subset X$ ($j = 1, ...,$ ℓ) satisfying properties (i)–(iii) stated at the beginning of proof of Theorem 1.1 (see Sect. 3). In particular, each set L_j is a ball in a suitable local coordinate, and we have that $\cup_{j=1}^{\ell} L_j' = X$.

Let $n = \dim X$. Choose pairwise disjoint closed balls $K_1, ..., K_\ell$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ whose union $K := \cup_{j=1}^{\ell} K_j$ is polynomially convex. Let $p_j \in K_j$ denote the center of K_j. For each $j = 1, ..., \ell$ there are an open ball $U_j \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ containing K_j and a biholomorphic map $g_j: U_j \to g_j(U_j) \subset X$ such that $g_j(K_j) = L_j$. We may assume that the sets $U_1, ..., U_\ell$ are pairwise disjoint. By using a contraction of K_j and L_j to their respective centers, and after shrinking the neighborhoods $U_j \supset K_j$ if necessary, we can find homotopies of holomorphic maps $f_{j,t}$: $U_j \to X$ ($t \in$ [0, 1], $j = 1, ..., \ell$) such that

$$f_{j,0} = f|_{U_j} \quad and \quad f_{j,1} = g_j \quad for \ all \ j = 1, \dots, \ell.$$

Set $U = \cup_{j=1}^{\ell} U_j$ and denote by f_t: U → X the holomorphic map whose restriction to U_j agrees with $f_{j,t}$ for each $j = 1, ..., \ell$. Then $f_0 = f|_U$ is the constant map $U \to x_0$.

Applying Theorem 4.1 to the source manifold $S = \mathbb{C}^n$, the constant (algebraic) map f: S → $x_0 \in X$, and the homotopy $\{f_t\}_{t \in [0, 1]}$ furnishes an algebraic map F: $\mathbb{C}^n \to X$ whose restriction to K_j approximates the map g_j for each $j = 1, ..., \ell$. Assuming that the approximation is close enough, we see as in the proof of Theorem 1.1 that $F(\mathbb{C}^n) = X$ and that F can be chosen strongly dominating. □

Remark 4.2

A major source of examples of algebraically elliptic manifolds are the *algebraically flexible* manifolds; see e.g. [22, Definition 12 (https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-62914-8_1#FPar22)]. An algebraic manifold X is said to be algebraically flexible of it admits finitely many algebraic vector fields $V_1, …, V_N$ with complete algebraic flows $\phi_{j,t}(t \in \mathbb{C}, \ j = 1, …, N)$, such that the vectors $V_1(x), …, V_N(x)$ span the tangent space $T_x X$ at every point $x \in X$. Note that every $(\phi_{j,t})_{t\in\mathbb{C}}$ is a unipotent 1-parameter group of algebraic automorphisms of X. The composition of the flows $\phi_{1,t_1} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{N,t_N}$ is a dominating algebraic spray $X \times \mathbb{C}^N \to X$, and hence such X is algebraically elliptic.

For a survey of this subject, we refer to Kutzschebauch's paper [22].

Remark 4.3

The argument in the proof of Theorem 1.6 also applies in the holomorphic case and gives a simple proof of Theorem 1.1 when the manifold X is compact and the initial map $f: S \to X$ is assumed to be holomorphic. I wish to thank Tuyen Truong for this observation.

Notes

Acknowledgements

References

1. R.B. Andrist, N. Shcherbina, E.F. Wold, The Hartogs extension theorem for holomorphic vector bundles and sprays. Ark. Mat. **54**(2), 299–319 (2016)

MathSciNet (http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3546355)
CrossRef (https://doi.org/10.1007/s11512-015-0226-y)
MATH (http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?1364.32010)
Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com
/scholar_lookup?title=The%20Hartogs%20extension%20theorem%20for%20holomo
rphic%20vector%20bundles%20and%20sprays&author=R.B..%20Andrist&
author=N..%20Shcherbina&author=E.F..%20Wold&journal=Ark.%20Mat.&
volume=54&issue=2&pages=299-319&publication_year=2016)

2. F. Campana, Orbifolds, special varieties and classification theory. Ann. Inst.
    Fourier (Grenoble) **54**(3), 499–630 (2004)
    Google Scholar (https://scholar.google.com/scholar?q=F.%C2%A0Campana
    %2C%20Orbifolds
    %2C%20special%20varieties%20and%20classification%20theory.%20Ann.%2
    0Inst.%20Fourier%20%28Grenoble%29%2054%283%29%2C%20499%E2%80
    %93630%20%282004%29)

3. F. Campana, J. Winkelmann, On the *h*-principle and specialness for complex
    projective manifolds. Algebr. Geom. **2**(3), 298–314 (2015)
    MathSciNet (http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3370124)
    CrossRef (https://doi.org/10.14231/AG-2015-013)
    MATH (http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?1327.32020)
    Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com
    /scholar_lookup?title=On%20the%20h-
    principle%20and%20specialness%20for%20complex%20projective%20manifo
    lds&author=F..%20Campana&author=J..%20Winkelmann&
    journal=Algebr.%20Geom.&volume=2&issue=3&pages=298-314&
    publication_year=2015)

4. B.-Y. Chen, X. Wang, Holomorphic maps with large images. J. Geom. Anal.
    25(3), 1520–1546 (2015)
    MathSciNet (http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3358063)
    CrossRef (https://doi.org/10.1007/s12220-014-9482-5)
    MATH (http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?1327.32027)
    Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com
    /scholar_lookup?title=Holomorphic%20maps%20with%20large%20images&
    author=B.-Y..%20Chen&author=X..%20Wang&
    journal=J.%20Geom.%20Anal.&volume=25&issue=3&pages=1520-1546&
    publication_year=2015)

5. S. Diverio, S. Trapani, Quasi-negative holomorphic sectional curvature and
    positivity of the canonical bundle (2016). ArXiv e-prints
    Google Scholar (https://scholar.google.com/scholar?q=S.%C2%A0Diverio
    %2C%20S.%C2%A0Trapani%2C%20Quasi-
    negative%20holomorphic%20sectional%20curvature%20and%20positivity%2
    0of%20the%20canonical%20bundle%20%282016%29.%20ArXiv%20e-prints)

6. P.G. Dixon, J. Esterle, Michael's problem and the Poincaré-Fatou-Bieberbach
    phenomenon. Bull. Am. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **15**(2), 127–187 (1986)
    Google Scholar (https://scholar.google.com/scholar?q=P.G.%20Dixon
    %2C%20J.%C2%A0Esterle%2C%20Michael%E2%80
    %99s%20problem%20and%20the%20Poincar%C3%A9-Fatou-
    Bieberbach%20phenomenon.%20Bull.%20Am.%20Math.%20Soc.%20%28N.S.
    %29%2015%282%29%2C%20127%E2%80%93187%20%281986%29)

7.   J.E. Fornaess, E.L. Stout, Spreading polydiscs on complex manifolds. Am. J.
      Math. **99**(5), 933–960 (1977)
      MathSciNet (http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=470251)
      CrossRef (https://doi.org/10.2307/2373992)
      MATH (http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?0384.32004)
      Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com
      /scholar_lookup?title=Spreading%20polydiscs%20on%20complex%20manifol
      ds&author=J.E..%20Fornaess&author=E.L..%20Stout&
      journal=Am.%20J.%20Math.&volume=99&issue=5&pages=933-960&
      publication_year=1977)

8.   J.E. Fornaess, E.L. Stout, Regular holomorphic images of balls. Ann. Inst.
      Fourier (Grenoble) **32**(2), 23–36 (1982)
      Google Scholar (https://scholar.google.com/scholar?q=J.E.%20Fornaess
      %2C%20E.L.%20Stout
      %2C%20Regular%20holomorphic%20images%20of%20balls.%20Ann.%20Ins
      t.%20Fourier%20%28Grenoble%29%2032%282%29%2C%2023%E2
      %80%9336%20%281982%29)

9.   J.E. Fornaess, E.F. Wold, Non-autonomous basins with uniform bounds are
      elliptic. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **144**(11), 4709–4714 (2016)
      MathSciNet (http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3544522)
      CrossRef (https://doi.org/10.1090/proc/12476)
      MATH (http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?1355.32012)
      Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Non-
      autonomous%20basins%20with%20uniform%20bounds%20are%20elliptic&
      author=J.E..%20Fornaess&author=E.F..%20Wold&
      journal=Proc.%20Am.%20Math.%20Soc.&volume=144&issue=11&
      pages=4709-4714&publication_year=2016)

10.   F. Forstnerič, The Oka principle for sections of subelliptic submersions. Math.
      Z. **241**(3), 527–551 (2002)
      MathSciNet (http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1938703)
      CrossRef (https://doi.org/10.1007/s00209-002-0429-3)
      MATH (http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?1023.32008)
      Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com
      /scholar_lookup?title=The%20Oka%20principle%20for%20sections%20of%2
      0subelliptic%20submersions&author=F..%20Forstneri%C4%8D&
      journal=Math.%20Z.&volume=241&issue=3&pages=527-551&
      publication_year=2002)

11.   F. Forstnerič, Holomorphic flexibility properties of complex manifolds. Am. J.
      Math. **128**(1), 239–270 (2006)
      MathSciNet (http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2197073)
      CrossRef (https://doi.org/10.1353/ajm.2006.0005)
      MATH (http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?1171.32303)
      Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com
      /scholar_lookup?title=Holomorphic%20flexibility%20properties%20of%20co
      mplex%20manifolds&author=F..%20Forstneri%C4%8D&
      journal=Am.%20J.%20Math.&volume=128&issue=1&pages=239-270&
      publication_year=2006)

12.   F. Forstnerič, Runge approximation on convex sets implies the Oka property.
      Ann. Math. (2) **163**(2), 689–707 (2006)
      Google Scholar (https://scholar.google.com/scholar?q=F.%C2%A0Forstneri

%C4%8D
%2C%20Runge%20approximation%20on%20convex%20sets%20implies%20the%20
Oka%20property.%20Ann.%20Math.%20%282%29%20163%282%29%2C%20689
%E2%80%93707%20%282006%29)

13. F. Forstnerič, *Stein Manifolds and Holomorphic Mappings*. The homotopy
     principle in complex analysis. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer
     Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in
     Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in
     Mathematics], vol. 56 (Springer, Heidelberg, 2011).
     Google Scholar (https://scholar.google.com/scholar?q=F.%C2%A0Forstneri
     %C4%8D
     %2C%20Stein%20Manifolds%20and%20Holomorphic%20Mappings.%20The
     %20homotopy%20principle%20in%20complex%20analysis.%20Ergebnisse%2
     0der%20Mathematik%20und%20ihrer%20Grenzgebiete.%203.%20Folge.%20
     A%20Series%20of%20Modern%20Surveys%20in%20Mathematics
     %20%5BResults%20in%20Mathematics%20and%20Related%20Areas.%203rd
     %20Series.%20A%20Series%20of%20Modern%20Surveys%20in%20Mathema
     tics%5D%2C%20vol.%C2%A056%20%28Springer%2C%20Heidelberg
     %2C%202011%29.)

14. F. Forstnerič, Oka manifolds: from Oka to Stein and back. Ann. Fac. Sci.
     Toulouse Math. (6) **22**(4), 747–809 (2013). With an appendix by Finnur
     Lárusson
     Google Scholar (https://scholar.google.com/scholar?q=F.%C2%A0Forstneri
     %C4%8D%2C%20Oka%20manifolds
     %3A%20from%20Oka%20to%20Stein%20and%20back.%20Ann.%20Fac.%20
     Sci.%20Toulouse%20Math.%20%286%29%2022%284%29%2C%20747
     %E2%80%93809
     %20%282013%29.%20With%20an%20appendix%20by%20Finnur%20L
     %C3%A1russon)

15. F. Forstnerič, F. Lárusson, Survey of Oka theory. N. Y. J. Math. **17A**, 11–38
     (2011)
     MathSciNet (http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2782726)
     MATH (http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?1225.32019)
     Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com
     /scholar_lookup?title=Survey%20of%20Oka%20theory&
     author=F..%20Forstneri%C4%8D&author=F..%20L%C3%A1russon&
     journal=N.%20Y.%20J.%20Math.&volume=17A&pages=11-38&
     publication_year=2011)

16. F. Forstnerič, F. Lárusson, Holomorphic flexibility properties of compact
     complex surfaces. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **2014**(13), 3714–3734 (2014)
     MathSciNet (http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3229766)
     CrossRef (https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnt044)
     MATH (http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?1310.32013)
     Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com
     /scholar_lookup?title=Holomorphic%20flexibility%20properties%20of%20co
     mpact%20complex%20surfaces&author=F..%20Forstneri%C4%8D&
     author=F..%20L%C3%A1russon&
     journal=Int.%20Math.%20Res.%20Not.%20IMRN&volume=2014&issue=13&
     pages=3714-3734&publication_year=2014)

17. M. Gromov, Oka's principle for holomorphic sections of elliptic bundles. J. Am.

Math. Soc. **2**(4), 851–897 (1989)

MathSciNet (http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1001851)

MATH (http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?0686.32012)

Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Oka%E2%80%99s%20principle%20for%20holomorphic%20sections%20of%20elliptic%20bundles&author=M..%20Gromov&journal=J.%20Am.%20Math.%20Soc.&volume=2&issue=4&pages=851-897&publication_year=1989)

18. R.C. Gunning, H. Rossi, *Analytic Functions of Several Complex Variables* (AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2009). Reprint of the 1965 original
Google Scholar (https://scholar.google.com/scholar?q=R.C.%20Gunning%2C%20H.%C2%A0Rossi%2C%20Analytic%20Functions%20of%20Several%20Complex%20Variables%20%28AMS%20Chelsea%20Publishing%2C%20Providence%2C%20RI%2C%202009%29.%20Reprint%20of%20the%201965%20original)

19. L. Hörmander, *An Introduction to Complex Analysis in Several Variables*. North-Holland Mathematical Library, vol. 7, 3rd edn. (North-Holland Publishing, Amsterdam, 1990)
Google Scholar (https://scholar.google.com/scholar?q=L.%C2%A0H%C3%B6rmander%2C%20An%20Introduction%20to%20Complex%20Analysis%20in%20Several%20Variables.%20North-Holland%20Mathematical%20Library%2C%20vol.%C2%A07%2C%203rd%20edn.%20%28North-Holland%20Publishing%2C%20Amsterdam%2C%201990%29)

20. S. Kobayashi, T. Ochiai, Meromorphic mappings onto compact complex spaces of general type. Invent. Math. **31**(1), 7–16 (1975)
MathSciNet (http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=402127)
CrossRef (https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01389863)
MATH (http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?0331.32020)
Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Meromorphic%20mappings%20onto%20compact%20complex%20spaces%20of%20general%20type&author=S..%20Kobayashi&author=T..%20Ochiai&journal=Invent.%20Math.&volume=31&issue=1&pages=7-16&publication_year=1975)

21. K. Kodaira, Holomorphic mappings of polydiscs into compact complex manifolds. J. Differ. Geom. **6**, 33–46 (1971/1972)
Google Scholar (https://scholar.google.com/scholar?q=K.%C2%A0Kodaira%2C%20Holomorphic%20mappings%20of%20polydiscs%20into%20compact%20complex%20manifolds.%20J.%20Differ.%20Geom.%206%2C%2033%E2%80%9346%20%281971%2F1972%29)

22. F. Kutzschebauch, Flexibility properties in complex analysis and affine algebraic geometry, in *Automorphisms in Birational and Affine Geometry*. Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics, vol. 79 (Springer, Cham, 2014), pp. 387–405
Google Scholar (https://scholar.google.com/scholar?q=F.%C2%A0Kutzschebauch%2C%20Flexibility%20properties%20in%20complex%20analysis%20and%20affine%20algebraic%20geometry%2C%20in%20Automorphisms%20in%20Birational%20and%20Affine%20Geometry.%20Springer%20Proceedings%20in%20Mathematics%20%26%20Statistics%2C%20vol.%C2%A079%20%28Springer%2C%20Cham)

%2C%202014%29%2C%20pp.%20387%E2%80%93405)

23. F. Larusson, T.T. Truong, Algebraic subellipticity and dominability of blow-ups of affine spaces. Doc. Math. **22**, 151–163 (2017)
MathSciNet (http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3609199)
MATH (http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?1365.14081)
Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com
/scholar_lookup?title=Algebraic%20subellipticity%20and%20dominability%2
0of%20blow-ups%20of%20affine%20spaces&author=F..%20Larusson&
author=T.T..%20Truong&journal=Doc.%20Math.&volume=22&
pages=151-163&publication_year=2017)

24. E. Løw, An explicit holomorphic map of bounded domains in $\mathbf{C}^n$ with C^2-boundary onto the polydisc. Manuscr. Math. **42**(2–3), 105–113 (1983)
MathSciNet (http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=701198)
CrossRef (https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01169578)
MATH (http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?0545.32011)
Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com
/scholar_lookup?title=An%20explicit%20holomorphic%20map%20of%20bou
nded%20domains%20in%20C%0A%0A%20%20%20%20%20%20%20%20
%20%20%20%20%20%20%20%20%20%20%20n%0A%20%20%20
%20%20%20%20%20%20%20%20%20%20%20%20%20%20%20%20
%20%20with%20C%0A2-boundary%20onto%20the%20polydisc&
author=E..%20L%C3%B8w&journal=Manuscr.%20Math.&volume=42&
issue=2%E2%80%933&pages=105-113&publication_year=1983)

25. R. Nomura, Kähler manifolds with negative holomorphic sectional curvature, Kähler-Ricci flow approach (2016). ArXiv e-prints
Google Scholar (https://scholar.google.com/scholar?q=R.%C2%A0Nomura
%2C%20K
%C3%A4hler%20manifolds%20with%20negative%20holomorphic%20sectiona
l%20curvature%2C%20K%C3%A4hler-Ricci%20flow%20approach
%20%282016%29.%20ArXiv%20e-prints)

26. V. Tosatti, X. Yang, An extension of a theorem of Wu-Yau (2015). ArXiv e-prints
Google Scholar (https://scholar.google.com/scholar?q=V.%C2%A0Tosatti
%2C%20X.%C2%A0Yang
%2C%20An%20extension%20of%20a%20theorem%20of%20Wu-Yau%20
%282015%29.%20ArXiv%20e-prints)

27. J. Winkelmann, The Oka-principle for mappings between Riemann surfaces. Enseign. Math. (2) **39**(1–2), 143–151 (1993)
Google Scholar (https://scholar.google.com/scholar?q=J.
%C2%A0Winkelmann%2C%20The%20Oka-
principle%20for%20mappings%20between%20Riemann%20surfaces.%20Ens
eign.%20Math.%20%282%29%2039%281%E2%80%932%29%2C%20143
%E2%80%93151%20%281993%29)

28. D. Wu, S.-T. Yau, A remark on our paper "Negative Holomorphic curvature and positive canonical bundle. Commun. Anal. Geom. **24**(4), 901–912 (2016)
CrossRef (https://doi.org/10.4310/CAG.2016.v24.n4.a9)
MATH (http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?06674139)
Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com
/scholar_lookup?title=A%20remark%20on%20our%20paper%20%E2
%80%9CNegative%20Holomorphic%20curvature%20and%20positive%20can

onical%20bundle&author=D..%20Wu&author=S.-T..%20Yau&
journal=Commun.%20Anal.%20Geom.&volume=24&issue=4&pages=901-912&
publication_year=2016)

29.   D. Wu, S.-T. Yau, Negative holomorphic curvature and positive canonical
      bundle. Invent. Math. **204**(2), 595–604 (2016)
      MathSciNet (http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3489705)
      CrossRef (https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-015-0621-9)
      MATH (http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?1357.53085)
      Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com
      /scholar_lookup?title=Negative%20holomorphic%20curvature%20and%20pos
      itive%20canonical%20bundle&author=D..%20Wu&author=S.-T..%20Yau&
      journal=Invent.%20Math.&volume=204&issue=2&pages=595-604&
      publication_year=2016)

Copyright information

About this chapter

Cite this chapter as:

Forstnerič F. (2017) Surjective Holomorphic Maps onto Oka Manifolds. In: Angella D., Medori C., Tomassini A. (eds) Complex and Symplectic Geometry. Springer INdAM Series, vol 21. Springer, Cham

- About this book
- Reprints and Permissions

Personalised recommendations

SPRINGER NATURE