

# THE UNIVERSAL FAMILY OF PUNCTURED RIEMANN SURFACES IS STEIN

FRANC FORSTNERIČ

ABSTRACT. We show that for any pair of integers  $g \geq 0$  and  $n \geq 1$  the universal family of  $n$ -punctured compact Riemann surfaces of genus  $g$  is a Stein manifold. We describe its basic function theoretic properties and pose several challenging questions.

The notion of a Teichmüller space originates in the papers [33, 34, 35] of Oswald Teichmüller, who defined a complex manifold structure on the set of isomorphism classes of marked closed Riemann surfaces of genus  $g$ . Ahlfors [2] showed in 1960 that this complex structure can be defined by periods of holomorphic abelian differentials. In [35], Teichmüller also introduced the universal Teichmüller curve – a space  $V$  over a Teichmüller space  $T$  whose fibre above  $t \in T$  is a Riemann surface  $(M, J_t)$  representing that point, also called the universal family of Riemann surfaces over  $T$  – and showed that it has the structure of a complex manifold. Teichmüller’s theory was developed by Ahlfors and Bers [3] and by Grothendieck, who gave a series of lectures in Cartan’s seminar 1960–1961; see the discussion and references in [1]. Grothendieck asked whether every finite dimensional Teichmüller space is a Stein manifold [22, p. 14]. An affirmative answer was given by Bers and Ehrenpreis [8, Theorem 2] who showed that any finite dimensional Teichmüller space embeds as a domain of holomorphy in a complex Euclidean space, hence is Stein. (Another proof was given by Wolpert [36]; see also the surveys by Bers [7] and Nag [28].) The Teichmüller space  $T(M)$  of a Riemann surface  $M$  is finite dimensional if and only if  $M = \widehat{M} \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$  is a compact Riemann surface  $\widehat{M}$  of some genus  $g \geq 0$  with  $n \geq 0$  punctures. Such  $M$  is said to be of finite conformal type and its Teichmüller space is denoted  $T(g, n)$ . The universal family  $\pi : \widehat{V}(g, n) \rightarrow T(g, n)$  is a holomorphic submersion whose fibre over any point  $t \in T(g, n)$  is the compact Riemann surface  $(\widehat{M}, J_t)$  with the complex structure  $J_t$  determined by  $t$ , and with  $n$  holomorphic sections  $s_1, \dots, s_n : T(g, n) \rightarrow \widehat{V}(g, n)$  with pairwise disjoint images representing the punctures. (See Nag [28, pp. 322–323].) The open subset

$$(1) \quad V(g, n) = \widehat{V}(g, n) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n s_i(T(g, n))$$

of  $\widehat{V}(g, n)$  is the universal family of  $n$ -punctured compact Riemann surfaces of genus  $g$ . If  $2g + n \geq 3$  then the Teichmüller family  $\pi : V(g, n) \rightarrow T(g, n)$  is the universal object in the complex analytic category of topologically marked holomorphically varying families of  $n$ -punctured genus  $g$  Riemann surfaces (see [28, Theorem 5.4.3]). In this paper we prove the following result.

**Theorem 1.** *If  $n \geq 1$  then the universal family  $V(g, n)$  is a Stein manifold.*

This is a special case of Theorems 8 and 9 given in the sequel. The theorem is trivial if  $g = 0$  and  $n \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  since  $T(0, n)$  is then a singleton and  $V(0, n)$  equals  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , and  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ , respectively. If  $2g + n \geq 3$  then  $T(g, n)$  is biholomorphic to a bounded topologically contractible Stein domain in  $\mathbb{C}^{3g-3+n}$  [28, p. 161], but it cannot be holomorphically realised as a convex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^{3g-3+n}$  if  $g \geq 2$  (see Marković [27]). The  $n$  holomorphic sections  $s_1, \dots, s_n$  of

---

*Date:* 25 November 2025.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32G15; secondary 32Q28, 32Q56.

*Key words and phrases.* Riemann surface, Teichmüller space, universal family, Stein manifold, Oka manifold.

the submersion  $\pi : \widehat{V}(g, n) \rightarrow T(g, n)$  in (1), corresponding to the punctures of  $V(g, n)$ , are called *canonical sections*. The problem of describing the global holomorphic sections of this fibration was first considered by Hubbard, who showed in [25] that  $V(g, 0) \rightarrow T(g, 0)$  has no holomorphic sections if  $g \geq 3$  and six sections if  $g = 2$ . See also [26]. Earle and Kra proved in [10, p. 50] that for  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\pi : \widehat{V}(g, n) \rightarrow T(g, n)$  has exactly  $n$  sections if  $g \geq 3$  and exactly  $2n + 6$  sections if  $g = 2$ .

Theorem 1 has interesting consequences for function theory and complex geometry. By classical results of Remmert, Bishop, and Narasiman (see [15, Theorem 2.4.1] and the references therein), the Stein manifold  $V(g, n)$  for  $n \geq 1$  admits a proper holomorphic embedding in  $\mathbb{C}^N$  with  $N = 2 \dim V(g, n) + 1$ , which equals  $6g - 6 + 2n + 1$  if  $2g + n \geq 3$ . When  $\dim V(g, n) \geq 2$ , it also embeds properly holomorphically in  $\mathbb{C}^N$  with  $N = \left\lceil \frac{3 \dim V(g, n)}{2} \right\rceil + 1$  (see Eliashberg and Gromov [11] and Schürmann [31], or the exposition in [15, Secs. 9.3–9.4]). Since every fibre of  $V(g, n)$  is biholomorphic to a closed affine algebraic curve in  $\mathbb{C}^3$ , the following question is natural.

**Problem 2.** Does  $V(g, n)$  for  $n \geq 1$  admit a proper holomorphic embedding in some  $\mathbb{C}^N$  which is algebraic on every fibre?

Except in the trivial cases,  $T(g, n)$  is not affine algebraic, and it is not reasonable to expect that  $V(g, n)$  is affine algebraic.

Since  $T(g, n)$  is contractible, the holomorphic submersion  $\pi : V(g, n) \rightarrow T(g, n)$  is smoothly trivial and the inclusion of any fibre of  $\pi$  in  $V(g, n)$  is a homotopy equivalence. It follows that for any manifold  $Y$ , the restriction of a continuous map  $V(g, n) \rightarrow Y$  to any fibre of  $V(g, n)$  lies in the same homotopy class of maps  $M \rightarrow Y$ , where  $M$  is the underlying  $n$ -punctured smooth compact surface of genus  $g$ . The following is an immediate corollary to this observation, Theorem 1, and the main result of Oka theory [15, Theorem 5.4.4]. For Oka manifolds, see [15, Chap. 5] and the surveys [13, 12, 17].

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $\pi : V(g, n) \rightarrow T(g, n)$  be as above,  $n \geq 1$ , and let  $Y$  be an Oka manifold. There is a holomorphic map  $V(g, n) \rightarrow Y$  in every homotopy class. Furthermore, a holomorphic map  $M_t \rightarrow Y$ ,  $t \in T(g, n)$ , from any fibre of  $V(g, n)$  extends to a holomorphic map  $V(g, n) \rightarrow Y$ . More generally, given a closed complex subvariety  $T'$  of  $T(g, n)$ , every continuous map  $F_0 : V(g, n) \rightarrow Y$  which is holomorphic on  $\pi^{-1}(T')$  is homotopic to a holomorphic map  $F : V(g, n) \rightarrow Y$  by a homotopy which is fixed on  $\pi^{-1}(T')$ .*

If  $n \geq 1$  and  $(g, n) \neq (0, 1)$  then  $V(g, n)$  is not simply connected, and its homotopy type is that of a finite bouquet of circles. In this case, homotopically nontrivial maps  $V(g, n) \rightarrow Y$  exist whenever the manifold  $Y$  is not simply connected. This gives the following corollary. The last statement follows by taking the Oka manifold  $Y = \mathbb{C}^*$ . The result obviously holds for  $g = 0$ ,  $n = 1$  since  $V(0, 1) = \mathbb{C}$ .

**Corollary 4.** *If  $n \geq 1$  and  $Y$  is an Oka manifold which is not simply connected, there is a holomorphic map  $V(g, n) \rightarrow Y$  which is nonconstant on every fibre. In particular,  $V(g, n)$  admits a nowhere vanishing holomorphic function which is nonconstant on every fibre.*

Another way to obtain fibrewise nonconstant holomorphic maps from  $V(g, n)$  to an Oka manifold is to inductively use the Oka property with approximation on compact holomorphically convex subsets of the Stein manifold  $V(g, n)$ ; see [15, Theorem 5.4.4]. The Oka principle can be used to obtain many further properties of holomorphic universal families  $V(g, n) \rightarrow Y$  in any Oka manifold.

The fact that  $V(g, n)$  for  $n \geq 1$  is homotopy equivalent to a bouquet of circles implies that every complex vector bundle on  $V(g, n)$  is topologically trivial. Since  $V(g, n)$  is Stein, the Oka–Grauert principle ([19] or [15, Theorem 3.2.1]) implies the following.

**Proposition 5.** *Every holomorphic vector bundle on  $V(g, n)$  for  $n \geq 1$  is holomorphically trivial.*

**Corollary 6.** *Assume that  $g \geq 0$  and  $n \geq 1$ .*

- (a) *There exists a nowhere vanishing holomorphic vector field  $\xi$  on  $V(g, n)$  which is tangent to the fibres of the projection  $\pi : V(g, n) \rightarrow T(g, n)$ , that is,  $d\pi(\xi) = 0$ .*
- (b) *With  $\xi$  as in (a), there exists a holomorphic 1-form  $\theta$  on  $V(g, n)$  satisfying  $\langle \theta, \xi \rangle = 1$ . In particular,  $\theta$  is nowhere vanishing on the tangent bundle to any fibre of  $\pi$ .*

*Proof.* Part (a) follows by applying Proposition 5 to the holomorphic line bundle  $\ker d\pi \rightarrow V(g, n)$ , the vertical tangent bundle of the holomorphic submersion  $\pi : V(g, n) \rightarrow T(g, n)$ . To see (b), consider the following short exact sequence of vector bundles over  $V(g, n)$ :

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker d\pi \hookrightarrow TV(g, n) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H := TV(g, n)/\ker \pi \longrightarrow 0.$$

Here,  $TV(g, n)$  denote the tangent bundle of  $V(g, n)$ . By Cartan's Theorem B the sequence splits, i.e. there is a holomorphic vector bundle injection  $\sigma : H \hookrightarrow TV(g, n)$  such that  $\alpha \circ \sigma = \text{Id}_H$ . Hence,  $TV(g, n) = \ker d\pi \oplus \sigma(H) = \mathbb{C}\xi \oplus \sigma(H)$ , where  $\xi$  is as in part (a). The unique holomorphic 1-form  $\theta$  on  $V(g, n)$  satisfying  $\langle \theta, \xi \rangle = 1$  and  $\xi = 0$  on  $\sigma(H)$  clearly satisfies part (b).  $\square$

By the Gunning–Narasimhan theorem [23], every open Riemann surface  $M$  admits a holomorphic immersion  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . In view of Corollary 6 (b), the following is a natural question.

**Problem 7.** Let  $n \geq 1$ . Does there exist a holomorphic function  $f : V(g, n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  whose restriction to any fibre of  $\pi : V(g, n) \rightarrow T(g, n)$  is an immersion?

By [14, Theorem 1] there exists a holomorphic function  $f : V(g, n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  without critical points. The problem is to find a function  $f$  such that  $\ker df_z$  is transverse to  $\ker d\pi_z$  at every point  $z \in V(g, n)$ . Note that [16, Corollary 8.3] gives a smooth function  $f : V(g, n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  whose restriction to any fibre of  $\pi : V(g, n) \rightarrow T(g, n)$  is a holomorphic immersion. Problem 7 is related to the question whether a holomorphic 1-form  $\theta$  in Corollary 6 (b) can be made exact on every fibre of  $\pi$  by multiplying it with a suitably chosen nowhere vanishing holomorphic function on  $V(g, n)$ . However, this is not the only problem. Since the submersion  $\pi : V(g, n) \rightarrow T(g, n)$  does not admit a holomorphic section when  $g \geq 3$ , there is no natural way of choosing the initial point for computing the fibrewise integrals of  $\theta$ , which would give a holomorphic family of immersions on the fibres.

In [16] the Oka principle was established for families of maps from very general families of open Riemann surfaces  $\{(M, J_t)\}_{t \in T}$  to any Oka manifold  $Y$ , where  $M$  is a smooth open surface and  $J_t$  are complex structures of some local Hölder class on  $M$  depending continuously or smoothly on the parameter  $t \in T$  in a suitable topological space. The Riemann surfaces in such families need not belong to the same Teichmüller space. For example, a punctured Riemann surface can be a member of a family in which the punctures develop into boundary curves and vice versa. The  $J_t$ -holomorphic maps  $F_t : (M, J_t) \rightarrow Y$  ( $t \in T$ ) furnished by [16, Theorem 1.6] are merely continuous or smooth in the parameter. In [18] these results were extended to maps from tame families of Stein manifolds of arbitrary dimension to Oka manifolds. Here, a continuous family  $\{J_t\}_{t \in T}$  of Stein structures on a smooth manifold  $X$  said to be tame if the holomorphically convex hulls of any compact subset  $K \subset X$  are upper semicontinuous with respect to the parameter  $t \in T$ . This is always the case in a family of open Riemann surfaces but it fails general in higher dimensions, even on affine spaces.

Another interesting question is whether the Riemann surfaces  $M_t$  in the universal Teichmüller family  $V(g, n)$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , admit a representation as a family of conformal minimal surfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ ,  $k \geq 3$ , whose  $(1, 0)$ -derivatives depends holomorphically on  $t \in T(g, n)$ . For background, see [29] and [4]. By [16, Corollary 8.6] the answer is affirmative with continuous or smooth dependence on parameter. It remains an open problem whether minimal surfaces in such families can be chosen to be complete and with finite total curvature. Each single surface in the family can be made such by [6].

In view of the description of the Teichmüller submersion  $\pi : V(g, n) \rightarrow T(g, n)$ , Theorem 1 is an immediate consequence of the following result with arbitrary Stein manifold as the base. See also Theorem 9 for a more general result.

**Theorem 8.** *Assume that  $X$  is a Stein manifold,  $Z$  is a connected complex manifold with  $\dim Z = \dim X + 1$ ,  $\pi : Z \rightarrow X$  is a surjective proper holomorphic submersion, and  $s_1, \dots, s_n : X \rightarrow Z$  are holomorphic sections with pairwise disjoint images for some  $n \geq 1$ . Then, the domain  $\Omega = Z \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n s_i(X)$  is Stein.*

The conclusion fails if the fibres of  $\pi$  have complex dimension  $> 1$  or the sections  $s_i$  are not holomorphic. In such case, the domain  $\Omega$  in the theorem fails to be locally pseudoconvex at some boundary point  $s_i(x)$ ,  $x \in X$ . Note that Stein complements of smooth complex hypersurfaces in compact Kähler manifolds have recently been studied by Höring and Peternell [24] where the reader can find references to earlier works. In our case,  $Z$  is not compact unless  $X$  is a point.

*Proof of Theorem 8.* Let  $\pi : Z \rightarrow X$  be as in the theorem. Note that every fibre  $Z_x = \pi^{-1}(x)$ ,  $x \in X$ , is a compact Riemann surface, and the fibres are diffeomorphic but not necessarily biholomorphic to each other. Hence,  $\{Z_x\}_{x \in X}$  is a holomorphic family of compact Riemann surfaces and  $\Omega_x = Z_x \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n s_i(x)$  ( $x \in X$ ) is a holomorphic family of  $n$ -punctured Riemann surfaces. Each  $H_i = s_i(X)$  is a closed complex hypersurface in  $Z$  whose ideal sheaf is a principal, that is, it is locally near each point of  $H_i$  generated by a single holomorphic function.

Recall the following result (see Grauert and Remmert [21, Theorem 5, p. 129]): If  $Z$  is a Stein space and  $H$  is a closed complex analytic hypersurface in  $Z$  (of pure codimension one) whose ideal sheaf is a principal ideal sheaf, then  $Z \setminus H$  is also Stein. If  $Z$  is nonsingular (a complex manifold) then the ideal sheaf of any closed complex hypersurface in  $Z$  is a principal ideal sheaf (see [21, Chap. A.3.5]). Hence, it suffices to prove the theorem in the case  $n = 1$ , that is, to show that the complement  $Z \setminus s(X)$  of a single holomorphic section  $s : X \rightarrow Z$  is a Stein manifold.

By a theorem of Siu [32], the Stein hypersurface  $H = s(X)$  has a basis of open Stein neighbourhoods  $U$  in  $Z$ . Since  $U \setminus H$  is a Stein manifold by the aforementioned theorem [21, Theorem 5, p. 129], it admits a strongly plurisubharmonic exhaustion function  $\phi : U \setminus H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ . To prove the theorem, we shall construct a strongly plurisubharmonic exhaustion function  $Z \setminus H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ ; a theorem of Grauert [20] will then imply that  $Z \setminus H$  is Stein.

Fix a point  $x_0 \in X$  and set  $z_0 = s(x_0) \in H \subset Z$ . Since  $\pi : Z \rightarrow X$  is a holomorphic submersion with compact one-dimensional fibres, it is a smooth fibre bundle whose fibre  $M$  is a compact smooth surface. In particular, there is a neighbourhood  $X_0 \subset X$  of  $x_0$  such that the restricted bundle  $Z|X_0 = \pi^{-1}(X_0) \rightarrow X_0$  can be smoothly identified with the trivial bundle  $X_0 \times M \rightarrow X_0$ . In this identification,  $z_0 = (x_0, p_0)$  with  $p_0 \in M$ . Since  $\phi$  tends to  $+\infty$  along  $H$ , there are small smoothly bounded open discs  $D \Subset D' \Subset M$  with  $p_0 \in D$  such that

$$(2) \quad \inf_{p \in bD} \phi(x_0, p) > \max_{p \in bD'} \phi(x_0, p).$$

The set  $O = M \setminus D$  is a compact bordered Riemann surface with smooth boundary  $bO = bD$ , endowed with the complex structure inherited by the identification  $M \cong Z_{x_0} = \pi^{-1}(x_0)$ . Note that  $bD'$  is contained in the interior of  $O$ . It follows from (2) and standard results that there is a smooth strongly subharmonic function  $u_0 : O \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  such that

$$u_0 < \phi(x_0, \cdot) \text{ on } bO = bD \text{ and } u_0 > \phi(x_0, \cdot) \text{ on } bD'.$$

Shrinking the neighbourhood  $X_0 \subset X$  of  $x_0$  if necessary, the following conditions hold for every  $x \in X_0$ , where we use the smooth fibre bundle isomorphism  $Z|X_0 \cong X_0 \times M$ :

- (a)  $s(x) \in D$ ,
- (b) the function  $u(x, \cdot) = u_0$  is strongly subharmonic on  $O$  in the complex structure on  $Z_x \cong M$ ,
- (c)  $u(x, \cdot) < \phi(x, \cdot)$  on  $bO = bD$ , and
- (d)  $u(x, \cdot) > \phi(x, \cdot)$  on  $bD'$ .

Condition (b) holds since being strongly subharmonic on a compact subset is a stable property under small smooth deformations of the complex structure. We define a function  $\rho_0 : (X_0 \times M) \setminus H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  by taking for every  $x \in X_0$ :

$$\rho_0(x, p) = \begin{cases} \phi(x, p), & p \in D \setminus \{s(x)\}; \\ \max\{\phi(x, p), u(x, p)\}, & p \in D' \setminus D; \\ u(x, p), & p \in M \setminus D'. \end{cases}$$

Note that  $\rho_0$  is well defined, piecewise smooth, strongly subharmonic on each fibre  $Z_x \setminus \{s(x)\}$  ( $x \in X_0$ ), and it agrees with  $\phi$  on  $(X_0 \times D) \setminus H$ . By using the regularised maximum in the definition of  $\rho_0$  (see [15, Eq. (3.1), p. 69]), we may assume that  $\rho_0$  is smooth and enjoys the other stated properties.

This construction gives an open locally finite cover  $\{X_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  of  $X$  with smooth fibre bundle trivialisations  $Z|X_j \cong X_j \times M$ , discs  $D_j \subset M$  such that  $s(x) \in D_j$  for all  $x \in X_j$ , and smooth functions  $\rho_j : (Z|X_j) \setminus H = \pi^{-1}(X_j) \setminus H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  such that  $\rho_j$  is strongly subharmonic on each fibre  $Z_x \setminus \{s(x)\}$  ( $x \in X_j$ ) and it agrees with  $\phi$  on  $(X_j \times D_j) \setminus H$ . Let  $\{\chi_j\}_j$  be a smooth partition of unity on  $X$  with compact supports  $\text{supp}(\chi_j) \subset X_j$  for each  $j$ . Set

$$\rho = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \chi_j \rho_j : Z \setminus H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+.$$

By the construction, the restriction of  $\rho$  to each fibre  $Z_x \setminus \{s(x)\}$  ( $x \in X$ ) is strongly subharmonic, and there is an open neighbourhood  $U_0 \subset U \subset Z$  of  $H$  such that  $\rho = \phi$  holds on  $U_0 \setminus H$ . In particular,  $\rho$  is strongly plurisubharmonic on  $U_0 \setminus H$ .

Note that for every compact set  $K \subset X$ , the set  $\pi^{-1}(K) \setminus U_0 \subset Z \setminus H$  is compact. Hence, by choosing a strongly plurisubharmonic exhaustion function  $\tau : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  whose Levi form  $dd^c\tau$  grows fast enough, we can ensure that  $\rho + \tau \circ \pi : Z \setminus H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is a strongly plurisubharmonic exhaustion function. Indeed, denoting by  $J$  the almost complex structure operator on  $Z$  and by  $d^c$  the conjugate differential defined by  $(d^c\rho)(z, \xi) = -d\rho(z, J\xi)$  for  $z \in Z$  and  $\xi \in T_z Z$ ,  $\rho$  is strongly plurisubharmonic at  $z \in Z$  if and only if  $(dd^c\rho)(z, \xi \wedge J\xi) > 0$  for every tangent vector  $0 \neq \xi \in T_z Z$ . (Up to a positive factor this equals the Laplace of  $\rho$  on the 2-plane  $\text{span}(\xi, J\xi) \subset T_z Z$ .) Since the function  $\rho$  constructed above is strongly subharmonic on every fibre  $Z_x \setminus \{s(x)\}$ ,  $x \in X$ , we have that

$$(dd^c\rho)(z, \xi \wedge J\xi) > 0 \text{ if } z \in Z \setminus H \text{ and } 0 \neq \xi \in \ker d\pi_z.$$

Hence, the eigenvectors of the Levi form  $(dd^c\rho)(z, \cdot)$  associated to non-positive eigenvalues lie in a closed cone  $C_z \subset T_z Z$  which intersects  $\ker d\pi_z$  only in the origin. It follows that if  $\tau : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is such that  $dd^c\tau > 0$  is sufficiently big on  $T_x X$ ,  $x = \pi(z)$ , then  $dd^c\rho + dd^c(\tau \circ \pi) > 0$  on  $T_z Z$ . Furthermore, the estimates are uniform on the compact set  $\pi^{-1}(K) \setminus U_0$ , where  $U_0 \subset Z$  is a neighbourhood of  $H$  as above such that  $dd^c\rho > 0$  on  $U_0 \setminus H$ . To see that  $\tau$  can be chosen such that  $dd^c\tau$  grows as fast as desired, note that if  $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a  $\mathcal{C}^2$  function then for each point  $x \in X$  and tangent vector  $\xi \in T_x X$  we have that

$$dd^c(h \circ \tau)(x, \xi \wedge J\xi) = h'(\tau(x)) (dd^c\tau)(x, \xi \wedge J\xi) + h''(\tau(x)) (|d\tau(x, \xi)|^2 + |d\tau(x, J\xi)|^2).$$

Hence, if  $\tau$  is a strongly plurisubharmonic exhaustion function on  $X$  and the function  $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is chosen such that  $h'' \geq 0$  and  $h'$  grows sufficiently fast, then  $dd^c(h \circ \tau)$  also grows as fast as desired.

This completes the proof of Theorem 8, and it also proves Theorem 1.  $\square$

A minor modification of the proof of Theorem 8 gives the following more general result.

**Theorem 9.** *Assume that  $X$  is a Stein manifold,  $Z$  is a connected complex manifold with  $\dim Z = \dim X + 1$ ,  $\pi : Z \rightarrow X$  is a surjective proper holomorphic submersion, and  $H$  is a closed complex subvariety of  $Z$  of pure codimension one which does not contain any fibre of  $\pi$ . Then, the domain  $\Omega = Z \setminus H$  is Stein.*

*Proof.* The restricted projection  $\pi : H \rightarrow X$  is a proper holomorphic map whose fibres are compact Riemann surfaces. Since  $H$  is of pure codimension one and does not contain any fibre of  $\pi$ ,  $\pi : H \rightarrow X$  is a finite holomorphic map (a branched holomorphic cover). The proper mapping theorem of Remmert [30] (see also Chirka [9, p. 29]) implies that  $\pi(H)$  is a closed complex subvariety of  $X$  of pure dimension  $n$ , hence  $\pi(H) = X$  since  $X$  is connected, and  $H$  is Stein by [21, Theorem 1 (d), p. 125]. By Siu’s theorem [32],  $H$  admits a basis of open Stein neighbourhoods  $U \subset Z$ . By the argument in the proof of Theorem 8, the ideal sheaf of  $H$  is a principal ideal sheaf, so  $U \setminus H$  is Stein for any Stein neighbourhood  $U$  of  $H$  by [21, Theorem 5, p. 129]. The proof can now be completed by a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 8, and we leave further details to the reader.  $\square$

**Acknowledgements.** Research was supported by the European Union (ERC Advanced grant HPDR, 101053085) and grants P1-0291 and N1-0237 from ARIS, Republic of Slovenia. I wish to thank Finnur Lárússon for posing the question answered by Theorem 1 in a private communication.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] N. A’Campo, L. Ji, and A. Papadopoulos. On Grothendieck’s construction of Teichmüller space. In *Handbook of Teichmüller theory. Volume VI*, pages 35–69. Zürich: European Mathematical Society (EMS), 2016.
- [2] L. V. Ahlfors. The complex analytic structure of the space of closed Riemann surfaces. *Princeton Math. Ser.*, 24:45–66, 1960.
- [3] L. V. Ahlfors and L. Bers. Riemann’s mapping theorem for variable metrics. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 72:385–404, 1960.
- [4] A. Alarcón, F. Forstnerič, and F. J. López. *Minimal surfaces from a complex analytic viewpoint*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Cham, 2021.
- [5] A. Alarcón, F. Forstnerič, and F. J. López. Every meromorphic function is the Gauss map of a conformal minimal surface. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 29(4):3011–3038, 2019.
- [6] A. Alarcón and F. Lárússon. Regular immersions directed by algebraically elliptic cones. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 823:113–135, 2025.
- [7] L. Bers. Uniformization, moduli, and Kleinian groups. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 4:257–300, 1972.
- [8] L. Bers and L. Ehrenpreis. Holomorphic convexity of Teichmüller spaces. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.*, 70:761–764, 1964.
- [9] E. M. Chirka. *Complex analytic sets*, volume 46 of *Mathematics and its Applications (Soviet Series)*. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1989. Translated from the Russian by R. A. M. Hoksbergen.
- [10] C. J. Earle and I. Kra. On sections of some holomorphic families of closed Riemann surfaces. *Acta Math.*, 137:49–79, 1976.
- [11] Y. Eliashberg and M. Gromov. Embeddings of Stein manifolds of dimension  $n$  into the affine space of dimension  $3n/2 + 1$ . *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 136(1):123–135, 1992.
- [12] F. Forstnerič. From Stein manifolds to Oka manifolds: the h-principle in complex analysis. *To appear in Proc. ICM 2026*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2509.21197>.
- [13] F. Forstnerič. Recent developments on Oka manifolds. *Indag. Math., New Ser.*, 34(2):367–417, 2023.
- [14] F. Forstnerič. Noncritical holomorphic functions on Stein manifolds. *Acta Math.*, 191(2):143–189, 2003.
- [15] F. Forstnerič. *Stein manifolds and holomorphic mappings (The homotopy principle in complex analysis)*, volume 56 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge*. Springer, Cham, second edition, 2017.
- [16] F. Forstnerič. Runge and Mergelyan theorems on families of open Riemann surfaces. *arXiv e-prints*, 2024. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2412.04608>.
- [17] F. Forstnerič and F. Lárússon. Survey of Oka theory. *New York J. Math.*, 17A:11–38, 2011.
- [18] F. Forstnerič and Á. E. Sigurðardóttir. The Oka principle for tame families of Stein manifolds. *arXiv e-prints*, 2025. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2510.25887>
- [19] H. Grauert. Analytische Faserungen über holomorph-vollständigen Räumen. *Math. Ann.*, 135:263–273, 1958.

- [20] H. Grauert. On Levi's problem and the imbedding of real-analytic manifolds. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 68:460–472, 1958.
- [21] H. Grauert and R. Remmert. *Theory of Stein spaces*, volume 236 of *Grundlehren Math. Wiss.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1979. Translated from the German by Alan Huckleberry.
- [22] A. Grothendieck. Techniques de construction en géométrie analytique. I. Description axiomatique de l'espace de Teichmüller. In *Séminaire Henri Cartan, tome 13, no. 1 (1960-1961), exposés no. 7 et 8, 1–33*. 1962.
- [23] R. C. Gunning and R. Narasimhan. Immersion of open Riemann surfaces. *Math. Ann.*, 174:103–108, 1967.
- [24] A. Höring and T. Peternell. Stein complements in compact Kähler manifolds. *Math. Ann.*, 390(2):2075–2111, 2024.
- [25] J. Hubbard. Sur la non-existence de sections analytiques à la courbe universelle de Teichmüller. (On the non-existence of analytic sections of an universal Teichmüller curve). *C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, Sér. A*, 274:978–979, 1972.
- [26] J. H. Hubbard. *Sur les sections analytiques de la courbe universelle de Teichmüller*, volume 166 of *Mem. Am. Math. Soc.* Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS), 1976.
- [27] V. Marković. Carathéodory's metrics on Teichmüller spaces and  $L$ -shaped pillowcases. *Duke Math. J.*, 167(3):497–535, 2018.
- [28] S. Nag. *The complex analytic theory of Teichmüller spaces*. Can. Math. Soc. Ser. Monogr. Adv. Texts. New York etc.: Wiley, 1988.
- [29] R. Osserman. *A survey of minimal surfaces*. Dover Publications, Inc., New York, second edition, 1986.
- [30] R. Remmert. Holomorphe und meromorphe Abbildungen komplexer Räume. *Math. Ann.*, 133:328–370, 1957.
- [31] J. Schürmann. Embeddings of Stein spaces into affine spaces of minimal dimension. *Math. Ann.*, 307(3):381–399, 1997.
- [32] Y. T. Siu. Every Stein subvariety admits a Stein neighborhood. *Invent. Math.*, 38(1):89–100, 1976/77.
- [33] O. Teichmüller. Extremal quasiconformal maps and quadratic differentials. *Abh. Preuß. Akad. Wiss., Math.-Naturw. Kl.*, 1940(22):1–197, 1940.
- [34] O. Teichmüller. Bestimmung der extremalen quasikonformen Abbildungen bei geschlossenen orientierten Riemannschen Flächen. *Abh. Preuß. Akad. Wiss., Math.-Naturw. Kl.*, 1943(4):42 s., 1943.
- [35] O. Teichmüller. Veränderliche Riemannsche Flächen. *Deutsche Math.*, 7:344–359, 1944.
- [36] S. A. Wolpert. Geodesic length functions and the Nielsen problem. *J. Differ. Geom.*, 25:275–296, 1987.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, UNIVERSITY OF LJUBLJANA, JADRANSKA 19, 1000 LJUBLJANA, SLOVENIA

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, PHYSICS, AND MECHANICS, JADRANSKA 19, 1000 LJUBLJANA, SLOVENIA

*Email address:* franc.forstneric@fmf.uni-lj.si