

# Vertex and edge orbits of Fibonacci and Lucas cubes

Ali Reza Ashrafi <sup>a</sup>      Jernej Azarija <sup>b</sup>      Khadijeh Fathalikhani <sup>a</sup>  
Sandi Klavžar <sup>c,d,b</sup>      Marko Petkovšek <sup>c,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Pure Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, University of Kashan, Kashan, Iran

<sup>b</sup> Institute of Mathematics, Physics and Mechanics, Ljubljana, Slovenia

<sup>c</sup> Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, University of Ljubljana, Slovenia

<sup>d</sup> Faculty of Natural Sciences and Mathematics, University of Maribor, Slovenia

## Abstract

The Fibonacci cube  $\Gamma_n$  is obtained from the  $n$ -cube  $Q_n$  by removing all the vertices that contain two consecutive 1s. If, in addition, the vertices that start and end with 1 are removed, the Lucas cube  $\Lambda_n$  is obtained. The number of vertex and edge orbits, the sets of the sizes of the orbits, and the number of orbits of each size, are determined for the Fibonacci cubes and the Lucas cubes under the action of the automorphism group. In particular, the set of vertex orbit sizes of  $\Lambda_n$  is  $\{k \geq 1; k \mid n\} \cup \{k \geq 18; k \mid 2n\}$ , the number of vertex orbits of  $\Lambda_n$  of size  $k$ , where  $k$  is odd and divides  $n$ , is equal to  $\sum_{d \mid k} \mu\left(\frac{k}{d}\right) F_{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor + 2}$ , and the number of edge orbits of  $\Lambda_n$  is equal to the number of vertex orbits of  $\Gamma_{n-3}$ . Dihedral transformations of strings and primitive strings are essential tools to prove these results.

**Key words:** Fibonacci cube; Lucas cube; dihedral transformation; primitive string, vertex orbit; edge orbit

**AMS Subj. Class:** 68R15, 05C30

## 1 Introduction

Fibonacci cubes  $\Gamma_n$  [5] and the closely related Lucas cubes  $\Lambda_n$  [13] have been investigated from many points of view, let us briefly overview some recent achievements. Formulas for the number of vertices of a given degree as well as the corresponding generating functions were determined in [9], while the domination number and the 2-packing number of these cubes were studied in [1, 16]. Motivated by the structure of  $\Gamma_n$  and  $\Lambda_n$  as interconnection networks, Mollard [12] characterized maximal induced

hypercubes in these cubes and also determined the number of such hypercubes. From the metric graph theory point of view, eccentricity sequences were obtained in [2], the Wiener index and the Hosoya polynomial were determined in [7], while in [8] the asymptotic average eccentricity was determined. In the latter paper it is also proved that the eccentricity of a vertex of a given Fibonacci cube is equal to the depth of the associated leaf in the corresponding Fibonacci tree. For a connection between Fibonacci/Lucas cubes and Hasse diagrams (of the independent subsets of powers of paths and cycles), see [4]. From the perspective of chemical graph theory we point out that Lucas cubes turned out to be precisely the so-called resonance graphs of cyclic fibonacenes [24]. Very recently, several advances have been made also from the algorithmic point of view. Linear recognition algorithm for Fibonacci cubes and for Lucas cubes were developed by Vesel [21] and Taranenko [19], respectively, while Ramras [17] studied off-line routing of linear permutations on these cubes. For additional information on Fibonacci cubes, see the survey [6].

There are several reasons for this wide interest. These cubes are induced subgraphs of hypercubes that inherit many of the fine properties of the latter class. The main tool to derive such properties for Fibonacci cubes is the so-called fundamental decomposition that decomposes  $\Gamma_n$  into  $\Gamma_{n-1}$  and  $\Gamma_{n-2}$ , similarly as the  $n$ -cube decomposes into two  $(n-1)$ -cubes via the Cartesian product operation. (There is also a similar decomposition for Lucas cubes.) On the other hand, the order of Fibonacci/Lucas cubes grows much slower than that of hypercubes, a property important for interconnection networks. A strong source of interest for these cubes also comes from theoretical chemistry, where Fibonacci cubes are precisely the so-called resonance graphs of fibonacenes [10] (see [22] for a generalization of this result), while for the role of Lucas cubes in chemistry, besides the already mentioned paper [25], see also [23, 24]. Fibonacci cubes also led to the notion of the Fibonacci dimension of a graph [3, 20].

When it comes to symmetries, it seems that only the automorphism groups of Fibonacci and Lucas cubes have been determined so far [1]. Hence, in this paper we take a closer look at their symmetries, more precisely at the orbits under the action of the automorphism group. We proceed as follows. The next two sections are of preliminary nature. In the first of them we introduce concepts and notations needed, and recall or prove some related results. In the subsequent section we investigate some properties of dihedral transformations of nonempty strings defined over a finite alphabet. In Section 4, we determine the number of vertex and edge orbits, the sets of the sizes of the orbits, and the number of orbits of each size of Fibonacci cubes, as well as give a combinatorial interpretation for the number of vertex orbits. In the last section we prove parallel results for Lucas cubes. Contrary to Fibonacci cubes where there are only orbits of sizes 1 and 2, the situation with Lucas cubes is more intriguing and complex. (We note in passing that these problems are trivial for hypercubes (the host graphs of Fibonacci and Lucas cubes), since they are arc-transitive, and hence vertex- and edge-transitive.)

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section we formally introduce the cubes studied here, list some notation, and prove an identity involving Lucas numbers. The  $n$ -cube  $Q_n$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , is the graph whose vertex set is the set of all binary strings of length  $n$ , two vertices being adjacent if they differ in exactly one position. The  $n$ -dimensional *Fibonacci cube*  $\Gamma_n$  is the subgraph of  $Q_n$  induced by the set of all vertices that have no two consecutive 1s. Strings with no two consecutive 1s are called *Fibonacci strings*. The  $n$ -dimensional *Lucas cube*  $\Lambda_n$  is obtained from  $\Gamma_n$  by removing all the vertices that begin and end with 1. The vertices of Lucas cubes are called *Lucas strings*. The Fibonacci numbers  $F_n$  are defined by  $F_0 = 0$ ,  $F_1 = 1$ , and  $F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , and the Lucas numbers  $L_n$  by  $L_0 = 2$ ,  $L_1 = 1$ , and  $L_n = L_{n-1} + L_{n-2}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . We will use the following well-known facts about  $F_n$ ,  $L_n$ ,  $\Gamma_n$ , and  $\Lambda_n$  without special mention:

1.  $L_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n+1}$  for  $n \geq 1$ ,
2.  $|V(\Gamma_n)| = F_{n+2}$  for  $n \geq 0$ ,
3.  $|E(\Gamma_n)| = (nF_{n+1} + 2(n+1)F_n)/5$  for  $n \geq 0$ ,
4.  $|V(\Lambda_n)| = L_n$  for  $n \geq 1$ ,  $|V(\Lambda_0)| = 1$ ,
5.  $|E(\Lambda_n)| = nF_{n-1}$  for  $n \geq 0$ .

### Proposition 2.1

$$F_{n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n-k}{k} \quad \text{for } n \geq -1, \quad (1)$$

$$L_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \frac{n}{n-k} \binom{n-k}{k} \quad \text{for } n \geq 1, \quad (2)$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^n F_i L_{n-i} = (n+1)F_n \quad \text{for } n \geq 0. \quad (3)$$

**Proof.** Identities (1) and (3) are well known. To prove (2), note that by using (1) twice and shifting the index of summation in the first sum,

$$\begin{aligned} L_n &= F_{n-1} + F_{n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n-k-2}{k} + \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n-k}{k} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n-k-1}{k-1} + \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n-k}{k} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \left( \frac{k}{n-k} + 1 \right) \binom{n-k}{k} = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \frac{n}{n-k} \binom{n-k}{k}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

As usual, the automorphism group of a graph  $G = (V, E)$  will be denoted by  $\text{Aut}(G)$ . The sets of orbits of  $\text{Aut}(G)$  acting on  $V$  resp.  $E$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{O}_V(G)$  resp.  $\mathcal{O}_E(G)$ , and their cardinalities by  $o_V(G) = |\mathcal{O}_V(G)|$  and  $o_E(G) = |\mathcal{O}_E(G)|$ . In the latter case, we consider the natural action of  $\text{Aut}(G)$  on  $E$ , that is, for  $g \in \text{Aut}(G)$  the edge  $\{u, v\}$  is mapped to  $\{g(u), g(v)\}$ . We denote the orbit of  $u \in V$  resp.  $e \in E$  under the action of  $\text{Aut}(G)$  by  $\bar{u}$  resp.  $\bar{e}$ , and its cardinality by  $|\bar{u}|$  resp.  $|\bar{e}|$ . In addition, we denote the number of orbits of size  $k$  by

$$\begin{aligned} o_V(G, k) &= |\{X \in \mathcal{O}_V(G); |X| = k\}|, \\ o_E(G, k) &= |\{Y \in \mathcal{O}_E(G); |Y| = k\}|, \end{aligned}$$

so that the following identities hold:

$$\sum_k k o_V(G, k) = |V(G)|, \quad \sum_k o_V(G, k) = o_V(G), \quad (4)$$

$$\sum_k k o_E(G, k) = |E(G)|, \quad \sum_k o_E(G, k) = o_E(G). \quad (5)$$

We denote the dihedral group of order  $2n$  by  $D_n$ , and the set of fixed points of a group element  $g$  acting on a set  $A$ , resp. its cardinality, by

$$\text{Fix}_A(g) = \{u \in A; g(u) = u\}, \quad \text{fix}_A(g) = |\text{Fix}_A(g)|.$$

Finally,  $\mathbb{N}$  is the set of all positive integers  $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $[n]$  denotes the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , and  $[n]_0$  denotes the set  $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ .

### 3 Dihedral transformations of strings

As a preparation for what follows we investigate here some properties of dihedral transformations of nonempty strings defined over a finite alphabet.

Let  $\Sigma$  be an alphabet such that  $0 \in \Sigma$ . As usual,  $\Sigma^n$  denotes the set of all strings of length  $n$  over  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma^+ = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Sigma^n$  denotes the set of all nonempty strings over  $\Sigma$ . If  $u, v \in \Sigma^+$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we write  $uv$  for the concatenation of  $u$  and  $v$ , and  $u^k$  for the concatenation of  $k$  copies of  $u$ . For  $u = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_n \in \Sigma^n$ , we define its *length*  $|u|$ , *weight*  $w(u) \in [n] \cup \{0\}$ , *cyclic shift*  $\alpha(u) \in \Sigma^n$ , and *reversal*  $\beta(u) \in \Sigma^n$  by

$$\begin{aligned} |u| &= n, \\ w(u) &= |\{i \in [n]; u_i \neq 0\}|, \\ \alpha(u) &= u_n u_1 u_2 \cdots u_{n-1}, \\ \beta(u) &= u_n u_{n-1} \cdots u_1. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\alpha$  preserves Lucas strings, while  $\beta$  preserves both Fibonacci and Lucas strings. It is straightforward to verify that

$$x = \alpha^j(u) \iff x_i = u_{(i-j) \bmod n} \iff u_i = x_{(i+j) \bmod n}, \quad (6)$$

$$x = \beta(u) \iff x_i = u_{(1-i) \bmod n} \iff u_i = x_{(1-i) \bmod n}, \quad (7)$$

$$x = \alpha^j \beta(u) \iff x_i = u_{(1-i+j) \bmod n} \iff u_i = x_{(1-i+j) \bmod n}, \quad (8)$$

where  $a \bmod n$  is the unique  $i \in [n]$  such that  $a \equiv i \pmod{n}$ . Since  $\alpha^n = \beta^2 = \text{id}$  and  $\alpha\beta = \beta\alpha^{-1}$ , the group generated by  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  represents the action of  $D_n$  on  $\Sigma^n$ . We denote the orbit of  $u \in \Sigma^n$  under this action by  $\bar{u}$ , and its cardinality by  $|\bar{u}|$ .

**Lemma 3.1** *For all  $u \in \Sigma^+$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have*

$$(i) \quad \alpha^j(u^k) = (\alpha^j(u))^k,$$

$$(ii) \quad \beta(u^k) = \beta(u)^k.$$

**Proof.** Use (6), (7), and the fact that  $(u^k)_i = u_{i \bmod |u|}$  for  $i \leq k|u|$ . □

For  $u \in \Sigma^+$  define its *period*  $p(u)$  and *exponent*  $\ell(u)$  by

$$\begin{aligned} p(u) &= \min\{k > 0; \alpha^k(u) = u\}, \\ \ell(u) &= \max\{k > 0; \exists v \in \Sigma^+ : v^k = u\}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\ell(u) = 1$ , then  $u$  is *primitive*. It is well known [11, Cor. 4.2] that for each  $u$  there is a unique primitive string  $\tau(u) \in \Sigma^+$  (called the *root* of  $u$ ) such that  $\tau(u)^{\ell(u)} = u$ .

As an example, consider  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$  and the following strings  $u \in \Sigma^4$ :

- $u = 0000 = 0^4$ :  $p(u) = 1$ ,  $\tau(u) = 0$ ,  $\ell(u) = 4$ ,  $u$  is not primitive,
- $u = 0101 = (01)^2$ :  $p(u) = 2$ ,  $\tau(u) = 01$ ,  $\ell(u) = 2$ ,  $u$  is not primitive,
- $u = 0011 = (0011)^1$ :  $p(u) = 4$ ,  $\tau(u) = 0011$ ,  $\ell(u) = 1$ ,  $u$  is primitive.

**Proposition 3.2** *If  $u \in \Sigma^n$ , then*

$$(i) \quad p(u) = |\{u, \alpha(u), \alpha^2(u), \dots, \alpha^{n-1}(u)\}|,$$

$$(ii) \quad p(u^k) = p(u) \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$(iii) \quad p(u) \mid n,$$

$$(iv) \quad p(u) = p(\tau(u)) = |\tau(u)| \text{ and } \ell(u)p(u) = n.$$

**Proof.**

- (i) Immediate from the definition of  $p(u)$ .
- (ii) Since  $u^k = v^k$  if and only if  $u = v$ , this follows from (i) and Lemma 3.1(i).
- (iii) By (i),  $p(u)$  is the size of the orbit of  $u$  under the action of the cyclic group of order  $n$  generated by  $\alpha$ , hence  $p(u)$  divides  $n$ .

(iv) Let  $u = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_n$  and  $v = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_{p(u)}$ . From  $\alpha^{p(u)}(u) = u$  it follows by (6) that  $u_i = u_{i+p(u)}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - p(u)$  (with indices taken mod  $n$ ). By induction on  $k$ ,  $u_i = u_{i+kp(u)}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - kp(u)$  and  $k = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{n}{p(u)} - 1$ . Hence  $v = u_{1+kp(u)} u_{2+kp(u)} \cdots u_{p(u)+kp(u)}$  for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{n}{p(u)} - 1$ , therefore  $u = v^{n/p(u)}$ . If  $u$  is primitive, this implies that  $|u|/p(u) = 1$  and so  $p(u) = |u|$ . For arbitrary  $u$  we then have, by (ii),

$$p(u) = p(\tau(u)^{\ell(u)}) = p(\tau(u)) = |\tau(u)|,$$

$$\text{so } \ell(u)p(u) = \ell(u)|\tau(u)| = |\tau(u)^{\ell(u)}| = |u| = n. \quad \square$$

**Proposition 3.3** For each  $u \in \Sigma^+$ ,

$$|\bar{u}| = \begin{cases} p(u), & \exists j : \beta(u) = \alpha^j(u), \\ 2p(u), & \forall j : \beta(u) \neq \alpha^j(u). \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** Denote

$$\begin{aligned} A(u) &= \{u, \alpha(u), \alpha^2(u), \dots, \alpha^{n-1}(u)\}, \\ B(u) &= \{\beta(u), \alpha\beta(u), \alpha^2\beta(u), \dots, \alpha^{n-1}\beta(u)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\bar{u} = A(u) \cup B(u)$ . If  $\beta(u) = \alpha^j(u)$  for some  $j$ , it follows from  $\alpha^n = \text{id}$  that  $B(u) = A(u)$ , hence  $\bar{u} = A(u)$  and, by Proposition 3.2(i),  $|\bar{u}| = p(u)$ .

Otherwise, if  $\beta(u) \neq \alpha^j(u)$  for all  $j$ , it follows from  $\alpha^n = \text{id}$  that  $B(u) \cap A(u) = \emptyset$  and  $|B(u)| = |A(u)|$ , so, by Proposition 3.2(i),  $|\bar{u}| = 2|A(u)| = 2p(u)$ .  $\square$

In order to determine the orbit size  $|\bar{u}|$  more precisely, we distinguish between symmetric and asymmetric strings, where for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  a string  $u \in \Sigma^n$  will be called:

- *symmetric* if  $|\bar{u}| < 2n$ ,
- *asymmetric* if  $|\bar{u}| = 2n$ .

**Proposition 3.4** Every asymmetric string is primitive.

**Proof.** Let  $u \in \Sigma^n$  be asymmetric. Then  $|\bar{u}| = 2n$ , hence by Proposition 3.3,  $p(u) = n$ . Then by Proposition 3.2(iv),  $\ell(u) = 1$  and  $u$  is primitive.  $\square$

As an example, consider  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$  and the following strings  $u \in \Sigma^6$ :

- $u = 000000$  is symmetric and not primitive,
- $u = 001100$  is symmetric and primitive,
- $u = 010011$  is asymmetric (and hence primitive).

**Theorem 3.5** For each  $u \in \Sigma^+$ ,

$$|\bar{u}| = \begin{cases} p(u), & \tau(u) \text{ symmetric,} \\ 2p(u), & \tau(u) \text{ asymmetric.} \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** Using Propositions 3.3, 3.2(iv) and Lemma 3.1 repeatedly we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{u}| = 2p(u) &\iff \forall j : \beta(u) \neq \alpha^j(u) \iff \forall j : \beta(\tau(u)^{\ell(u)}) \neq \alpha^j(\tau(u)^{\ell(u)}) \\ &\iff \forall j : \beta(\tau(u))^{\ell(u)} \neq \alpha^j(\tau(u))^{\ell(u)} \\ &\iff \forall j : \beta(\tau(u)) \neq \alpha^j(\tau(u)) \iff |\overline{\tau(u)}| = 2p(\tau(u)) \\ &\iff |\overline{\tau(u)}| = 2|\tau(u)| \iff \tau(u) \text{ asymmetric.} \end{aligned}$$

Together with Proposition 3.3 this proves the claim.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.6** A string  $u \in \Sigma^n$  is primitive symmetric if and only if it is primitive and  $\alpha^j \beta(u) = u$  for some  $j \in [n]_0$ .

**Proof.** By Theorem 3.5 and Proposition 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u \text{ primitive symmetric} &\iff u \text{ primitive} \wedge |\bar{u}| = p(u) \\ &\iff u \text{ primitive} \wedge \exists j \in [n]_0 : \beta(u) = \alpha^j(u) \\ &\iff u \text{ primitive} \wedge \exists j \in [n]_0 : \alpha^j \beta(u) = u. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

## 4 Orbits of Fibonacci cubes

According to [1], for  $n \geq 1$  the Fibonacci cube  $\Gamma_n$  admits exactly one non-trivial automorphism, hence  $|\text{Aut}(\Gamma_n)| = 2$  and the only orbit sizes are 1 and 2. For  $n \geq 2$ , the non-trivial automorphism coincides with the reversal map  $\beta : V(\Gamma_n) \rightarrow V(\Gamma_n)$ . We denote the set of Fibonacci strings of length  $n$  which start with 0 resp. 1 by  $V_0(\Gamma_n)$  resp.  $V_1(\Gamma_n)$ . We begin by enumerating palindromic Fibonacci strings.

**Proposition 4.1** For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{fix}_{V(\Gamma_{2k})}(\beta) &= F_{k+1}, & \text{fix}_{V(\Gamma_{2k+1})}(\beta) &= F_{k+3}, \\ \text{fix}_{V_0(\Gamma_{2k})}(\beta) &= F_k, & \text{fix}_{V_0(\Gamma_{2k+1})}(\beta) &= F_{k+2}, \\ \text{fix}_{V_1(\Gamma_{2k})}(\beta) &= F_{k-1}, & \text{fix}_{V_1(\Gamma_{2k+1})}(\beta) &= F_{k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** Let  $u \in \text{Fix}_{V(\Gamma_n)}(\beta)$ . We distinguish four cases:

1.  $n = 2k$

(a)  $u$  starts with 0:  $u = 0v00\beta(v)0$  with  $v \in V(\Gamma_{k-2})$

(b)  $u$  starts with 1:  $u = 10v00\beta(v)01$  with  $v \in V(\Gamma_{k-3})$

2.  $n = 2k + 1$

- (a)  $u$  starts with 0:  $u = 0v0\beta(v)0$  with  $v \in V(\Gamma_{k-1})$ , or  $u = 0v010\beta(v)0$  with  $v \in V(\Gamma_{k-2})$
- (b)  $u$  starts with 1:  $u = 10v0\beta(v)01$  with  $v \in V(\Gamma_{k-2})$ , or  $u = 10v010\beta(v)01$  with  $v \in V(\Gamma_{k-3})$

The stated equalities now follow from  $|V(\Gamma_k)| = F_{k+2}$  and  $F_k + F_{k+1} = F_{k+2}$ .  $\square$

#### 4.1 Vertex orbits

**Theorem 4.2** *Let  $n \geq 2$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} o_V(\Gamma_n, 1) &= F_{\lfloor \frac{n-(-1)^n}{2} \rfloor + 2}, \\ o_V(\Gamma_n, 2) &= \frac{1}{2} \left( F_{n+2} - F_{\lfloor \frac{n-(-1)^n}{2} \rfloor + 2} \right), \\ o_V(\Gamma_n) &= \frac{1}{2} \left( F_{n+2} + F_{\lfloor \frac{n-(-1)^n}{2} \rfloor + 2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** The orbits of size 1 correspond to the fixed points of  $\beta$ , i.e., to Fibonacci palindromes of length  $n$ , hence by Proposition 4.1,

$$o_V(\Gamma_n, 1) = \begin{cases} F_{k+1}, & \text{if } n = 2k, \\ F_{k+3}, & \text{if } n = 2k + 1, \end{cases}$$

which can be combined into  $o_V(\Gamma_n, 1) = F_{\lfloor \frac{n-(-1)^n}{2} \rfloor + 2}$ . The remaining two equalities now follow from (4) which in this case transforms into

$$\begin{aligned} o_V(\Gamma_n, 1) + 2o_V(\Gamma_n, 2) &= F_{n+2}, \\ o_V(\Gamma_n, 1) + o_V(\Gamma_n, 2) &= o_V(\Gamma_n). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

$n$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
$ V(\Gamma_n) $	2	3	5	8	13	21	34	55	89	144	233	377	610	987	1597
$o_V(\Gamma_n)$	1	2	4	5	9	12	21	30	51	76	127	195	322	504	826
$o_V(\Gamma_n, 1)$	0	1	3	2	5	3	8	5	13	8	21	13	34	21	55
$o_V(\Gamma_n, 2)$	1	1	1	3	4	9	13	25	38	68	106	182	288	483	771

Table 1: The numbers of vertices, all orbits, orbits of size 1, and orbits of size 2 in  $V(\Gamma_n)$  for  $n \leq 15$

We remark that the numbers  $o_V(\Gamma_n)$  appear as solutions of other combinatorial enumeration problems as well. For instance, in [14], the following problem is posed and solved: in how many ways can a  $2 \times (n + 1)$  rectangle be tiled with dominoes



(i.e., rectangles of sizes  $2 \times 1$  and  $1 \times 2$ )? More precisely, the problem asks for the number of *distinct* tilings, where two tilings are considered distinct if one cannot be obtained from the other by reflections and rotations. As it turns out, the answer is given by  $o_V(\Gamma_n)$  (see also [18, sequence A001224]). For  $n \in \{0, 1\}$ , this can be checked directly (note that the  $2 \times 2$  square has a single distinct tiling, due to the  $90^\circ$  rotation). For  $n \geq 2$ , we present here a bijective proof of this fact:

Let  $u \in V(\Gamma_n)$  and  $v = u0 \in V(\Gamma_{n+1})$ . Assign to  $v$  a tiling of the  $2 \times (n+1)$  rectangle with dominoes as follows. Going through  $v$  from left to right, assign to each 0 a vertical domino and to each 10 a pair of horizontal dominoes. Conversely, to each tiling of the  $2 \times (n+1)$  rectangle assign  $v \in V(\Gamma_{n+1})$  by going through the tiling from left to right, coding vertical dominoes with 0 and pairs of horizontal dominoes with 10. Then  $v$  ends with 0; let  $u \in V(\Gamma_n)$  be  $v$  without the final 0. This establishes a bijection between  $V(\Gamma_n)$  and the set of all tilings of the  $2 \times (n+1)$  rectangle which preserves palindromes in both directions. Hence it gives rise to a bijection between  $\mathcal{O}_V(\Gamma_n)$  and the set of all distinct tilings of the  $2 \times (n+1)$  rectangle.

Another family of combinatorial objects enumerated by  $o_V(\Gamma_n)$  are ordered integer partitions of  $n+1$  with parts taken from the set  $\{1, 2\}$  where two partitions are considered distinct if one cannot be obtained from the other by reflection. Such partitions are obviously in bijection with distinct domino tilings of the  $2 \times (n+1)$  rectangle: to each part 1 in the partition assign a vertical domino, to each part 2 in the partition assign a pair of horizontal dominoes, and vice versa.

## 4.2 Edge orbits

**Theorem 4.3** *For all  $n \geq 0$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} o_E(\Gamma_n, 1) &= \frac{1-(-1)^n}{2} F_{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor}, \\ o_E(\Gamma_n, 2) &= \frac{1}{10} (nF_{n+1} + 2(n+1)F_n) - \frac{1-(-1)^n}{4} F_{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor}, \\ o_E(\Gamma_n) &= \frac{1}{10} (nF_{n+1} + 2(n+1)F_n) + \frac{1-(-1)^n}{4} F_{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor}. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** For  $n \in \{0, 1\}$ , this can be checked directly. Let  $n \geq 2$ . The orbits of size 1 correspond to the fixed points of  $\beta$ , i.e., to pairs  $\{u, v\} \in E(\Gamma_n)$  such that  $\{\beta(u), \beta(v)\} = \{u, v\}$ . Since  $u$  and  $v$  differ in weight while  $\beta$  preserves it, this is only possible if  $\beta(u) = u$  and  $\beta(v) = v$ . Hence  $u$  and  $v$  are Fibonacci palindromes of length  $n$  differing in a single position. This is only possible if  $n = 2k + 1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} u &= x000\beta(x), \\ v &= x010\beta(x) \end{aligned}$$

or vice versa, for some  $x \in V(\Gamma_{k-1})$ . Hence

$$o_E(\Gamma_n, 1) = \begin{cases} |V(\Gamma_{k-1})| = F_{k+1}, & \text{if } n = 2k + 1, \\ 0, & \text{if } n = 2k, \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

which can be written as  $\frac{1-(-1)^n}{2}F_{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor}$ . The remaining two equalities now follow from (5) which in this case transforms into

$$\begin{aligned} o_E(\Gamma_n, 1) + 2o_E(\Gamma_n, 2) &= \frac{1}{5}(nF_{n+1} + 2(n+1)F_n), \\ o_E(\Gamma_n, 1) + o_E(\Gamma_n, 2) &= o_E(\Gamma_n). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

$n$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
$ E(\Gamma_n) $	1	2	5	10	20	38	71	130	235	420	744	1308	2285	3970
$o_E(\Gamma_n)$	1	1	3	5	11	19	37	65	120	210	376	654	1149	1985
$o_E(\Gamma_n, 1)$	1	0	1	0	2	0	3	0	5	0	8	0	13	0
$o_E(\Gamma_n, 2)$	0	1	2	5	9	19	34	65	115	210	368	654	1136	1985

Table 2: The numbers of edges, all orbits, orbits of size 1, and orbits of size 2 in  $E(\Gamma_n)$  for  $n \leq 14$

## 5 Orbits of Lucas cubes

Since Lucas cubes can be viewed as a symmetrization of Fibonacci cubes, the former should possess larger automorphism groups than the latter. Indeed, as shown in [1],  $\text{Aut}(\Lambda_n)$  is generated by the cyclic shift and reversal maps  $\alpha, \beta : V(\Lambda_n) \rightarrow V(\Lambda_n)$ . Hence  $\text{Aut}(\Lambda_n) = \{\text{id}, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}, \beta, \alpha\beta, \alpha^2\beta, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}\beta\} \simeq D_n$  when  $n \geq 3$ .

### 5.1 Vertex orbits

**Theorem 5.1** *For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$o_V(\Lambda_n) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \varphi\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) L_d + F_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 2} \right).$$

**Proof.** For  $n \leq 2$  this can be checked directly. Now let  $n \geq 3$ . Denote by  $Q_{n,t}$  resp. by  $\Lambda_{n,t}$  the subgraph of  $Q_n$  resp. of  $\Lambda_n$  induced by the vertices of weight  $t$ . Since  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  preserve weight, we have  $o_V(\Lambda_n) = \sum_{t=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} o_V(\Lambda_{n,t})$ . An orbit  $\bar{u} \in o_V(\Lambda_{n,t})$  can be thought of as a bracelet consisting of  $n$  beads,  $t$  of them black and  $n-t$  white, without adjacent black beads. Assume first that  $t \geq 1$ . By removing one bead from each maximal set of contiguous white beads we obtain a bracelet  $\bar{v}$  consisting of  $t$  black and  $n-2t$  white beads. Conversely, starting with  $\bar{v}$  and inserting a white bead into each maximal set (possibly empty) of contiguous white beads, we regain the original  $\bar{u}$ . Clearly this transformation is a bijection between  $\mathcal{O}_V(\Lambda_{n,t})$  and  $\mathcal{O}_V(Q_{n-t,t})$ , the number of bracelets consisting of  $n-t$  beads,  $t$  black and  $n-2t$

white, inequivalent under the action of  $D_{n-t}$ . Hence  $o_V(\Lambda_{n,t}) = o_V(Q_{n-t,t})$  when  $t \geq 1$ . But  $o_V(\Lambda_{n,0}) = o_V(Q_{n,0}) = 1$ , so the Redfield-Pólya Theorem implies that

$$\begin{aligned} o_V(\Lambda_n) &= \sum_{t=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} o_V(\Lambda_{n,t}) = \sum_{t=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} o_V(Q_{n-t,t}) \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} [x_1^t x_2^{n-2t}] Z_{D_{n-t}}(x_1 + x_2, x_1^2 + x_2^2, \dots, x_1^{n-t} + x_2^{n-t}) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where

$$Z_{D_n}(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{d|n} \varphi(d) y_d^{n/d} + \frac{1}{4} \begin{cases} 2y_1 y_2^{(n-1)/2}, & n \text{ odd}, \\ y_1^2 y_2^{(n-2)/2} + y_2^{n/2}, & n \text{ even}, \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

is the cycle index polynomial of the natural action of  $D_n$ , and  $[x_1^i x_2^j] p(x_1, x_2)$  denotes the coefficient of  $x_1^i x_2^j$  in the polynomial  $p(x_1, x_2)$ . From (10) and (11) it follows that  $o_V(\Lambda_n) = \frac{1}{2} (c(n) + d(n))$  where, by several applications of the Binomial Theorem,

$$c(n) = \sum_{t=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \frac{1}{n-t} \sum_{d|\gcd(n,t)} \varphi(d) \binom{\frac{n-t}{d}}{\frac{t}{d}}, \quad (12)$$

$$d(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor} \binom{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - k}{k} + \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-2}{4} \rfloor} \binom{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1 - k}{k}. \quad (13)$$

By changing the order of summation on the right side of (12), writing  $t = kd$ , and replacing  $d$  by  $n/d$ , we obtain

$$c(n) = \sum_{d|n} \varphi(d) \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2d} \rfloor} \frac{1}{n-kd} \binom{\frac{n}{d} - k}{k} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \varphi\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor} \frac{d}{d-k} \binom{d-k}{k}.$$

From (2) it now follows that

$$c(n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \varphi\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) L_d. \quad (14)$$

Similarly, since  $\lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{1}{2} \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \rfloor$  and  $\lfloor \frac{n-2}{4} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{1}{2} (\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1) \rfloor$ , it follows from (1) and (13) that  $d(n) = F_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1} + F_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} = F_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 2}$ , proving the claim.  $\square$

We remark that the generating functions of the sequences  $o_V(\Lambda_n)$  and  $c(n)$  are known, as is the formula (14) for  $c(n)$  (cf. [18, sequences A129526 and A000358]).

Now we determine the *sizes of orbits*. They must divide  $2n$ , the order of  $D_n$ , but the following result shows that not all divisors of  $2n$  appear as orbit sizes.

**Theorem 5.2** For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\{|X|; X \in \mathcal{O}_V(\Lambda_n)\} = \{k \geq 1; k | n\} \cup \{k \geq 18; k | 2n\}.$$

To prove the theorem, we first show:

**Lemma 5.3**  $V(\Lambda_n)$  contains an asymmetric string if and only if  $n \geq 9$ .

**Proof.** To prove that all  $u \in V(\Lambda_n)$  with  $n < 9$  are symmetric we order strings according to their weight  $w(u)$ . Since strings in the same orbit are either all symmetric or all asymmetric, it suffices to consider a single representative from each orbit:

- $w(u) = 0$ :  $u = 0^n$  is symmetric since  $\beta(u) = u$ .
- $w(u) = 1$ :  $u = 10^{n-1}$  is symmetric since  $\beta(u) = 0^{n-1}1 = \alpha^{-1}(u)$ .
- $w(u) = 2$ :  $u = 10^a10^b$  where  $a, b \geq 1$  is symmetric since  $\beta(u) = 0^b10^a1 = \alpha^b(u)$ .
- $w(u) = 3$ :  $u = 10^a10^b10^c$  where  $a, b, c \geq 1$ . If any two of  $a, b, c$  are equal, assume without loss of generality that  $a = b$ . Then  $u = 10^a10^a10^c$  is symmetric since  $\beta(u) = 0^c10^a10^a1 = \alpha^c(u)$ . Hence in any asymmetric  $u$  of this form we have  $a + b + c \geq 1 + 2 + 3 = 6$  and  $n = a + b + c + 3 \geq 9$ .
- $w(u) = 4$ :  $u = 10^a10^b10^c10^d$  where  $a, b, c, d \geq 1$ . If  $a = b = c = d = 1$ , then  $u = 10101010$  is symmetric since  $\beta(u) = \alpha(u)$ . Otherwise,  $a + b + c + d \geq 5$  and  $n = a + b + c + d + 4 \geq 9$ .
- $w(u) \geq 5$ : any  $u \in V(\Lambda_n)$  with  $w(u) \geq 5$  contains at least 5 zeros, so in this case  $n \geq w(u) + 5 \geq 10$ .

Conversely, assume that  $n \geq 9$  and let  $u = 1010010^{n-6}$ . Then  $u \in V(\Lambda_n)$ ,  $u$  is obviously primitive, and  $\beta(u) = 0^{n-6}100101$ . Since  $n - 6 \geq 3$ , the only  $j \in [n - 1]$  such that  $\alpha^j(u)$  starts with  $0^{n-6}$  is  $j = n - 6$ , but  $\alpha^{n-6}(u) = 0^{n-6}101001 \neq \beta(u)$ . Hence  $u$  is asymmetric.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 5.2.** For  $n \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ , this can be checked directly. Let  $n \geq 3$ . Which divisors  $k$  of  $2n$  appear as orbit sizes in the action of  $\text{Aut}(\Lambda_n)$  on  $V(\Lambda_n)$ ? We distinguish two cases:

*Case 1:  $k | n$ .* If  $k = 1$ , take  $u = 0^n$ ; then  $u \in V(\Lambda_n)$  and  $|\bar{u}| = 1$ . For  $k \geq 2$ , take  $u = (10^{k-1})^{n/k} \in V(\Lambda_n)$ . Since  $10^{k-1}$  is primitive,  $\ell(u) = n/k$  and  $p(u) = k$ , by Proposition 3.2(iv). Since  $\beta(u) = (0^{k-1}1)^{n/k} = \alpha^{k-1}(u)$ , it follows from Proposition 3.3 that  $|\bar{u}| = p(u) = k$ . This shows that  $\{k \geq 1; k | n\} \subseteq \{|\bar{u}|; u \in V(\Lambda_n)\}$ .

*Case 2:  $k | 2n$ , but  $k \nmid n$ .* Here  $k$  is even. Note that it suffices to prove that there is an orbit of size  $k$  in  $V(\Lambda_n)$  if and only if  $k \geq 18$ .

Assume first that  $u \in V(\Lambda_n)$  is such that  $|\bar{u}| = k$ . Then  $k \in \{p(u), 2p(u)\}$  by Proposition 3.3. Since  $p(u) | n$  by Proposition 3.2(iii) but  $k \nmid n$ , we conclude that

$k = 2p(u)$ . Theorem 3.5 now implies that  $\tau(u)$  is asymmetric. By Lemma 5.3,  $|\tau(u)| \geq 9$ , hence by Proposition 3.2(iv),  $p(u) \geq 9$  as well, so  $k \geq 18$ .

Conversely, assume that  $k \geq 18$ . By Lemma 5.3, there is an asymmetric  $v \in V(\Lambda_{k/2})$ . Let  $u = v^s$  where  $s = 2n/k$ . Then  $u \in V(\Lambda_n)$  and by Proposition 3.4,  $v = \tau(u)$ . By Theorem 3.5 and Proposition 3.2(iv),  $|\bar{u}| = 2p(u) = 2|v| = k$ .  $\square$

In order to determine the *number of orbits of size  $k$* , we enumerate primitive symmetric and asymmetric Lucas strings. We denote the set of primitive Lucas strings of length  $n$  by  $V_p(\Lambda_n)$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we define

$$\begin{aligned} p_n &= |V_p(\Lambda_n)| = |\{u \in V(\Lambda_n); u \text{ primitive}\}|, \\ s_n &= |\{u \in V(\Lambda_n); u \text{ primitive symmetric}\}|, \\ t_n &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \text{fix}_{V_p(\Lambda_n)}(\alpha^j \beta), \\ a_n &= |\{u \in V(\Lambda_n); u \text{ asymmetric}\}|. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 5.4** *Let  $u \in \Sigma^n$  and  $\alpha^j \beta(u) = \alpha^k \beta(u)$  for some  $j, k \in [n]_0$  with  $j < k$ . Then  $u$  is not primitive.*

**Proof.** Since  $k - j > 0$ , we have by Lemma 3.1(ii),

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^j \beta(u) = \alpha^k \beta(u) &\implies \alpha^{k-j} \beta(u) = \beta(u) &\implies p(\beta(u)) \leq k - j < n \\ &\implies \beta(u) \text{ not primitive} &\implies u \text{ not primitive.} \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 5.5** (i) *The sets  $\text{Fix}_{V_p(\Lambda_n)}(\alpha^j \beta)$ , for  $j \in [n]_0$ , are pairwise disjoint.*

(ii) *For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $s_n = t_n$ .*

**Proof.**

(i) Assume that  $u \in \text{Fix}_{V_p(\Lambda_n)}(\alpha^j \beta) \cap \text{Fix}_{V_p(\Lambda_n)}(\alpha^k \beta)$ . Then  $\alpha^j \beta(u) = u = \alpha^k \beta(u)$  and  $u$  is primitive, hence Lemma 5.4 implies that  $j = k$ , proving (i).

(ii) Using (i) and Corollary 3.6, we obtain

$$t_n = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |\text{Fix}_{V_p(\Lambda_n)}(\alpha^j \beta)| = \left| \bigcup_{j=0}^{n-1} \text{Fix}_{V_p(\Lambda_n)}(\alpha^j \beta) \right| = s_n. \quad \square$$

**Lemma 5.6** *Let  $u \in \Sigma^n$  and  $0 \leq j < n$ . Then  $\alpha^j \beta(u) = u$  if and only if there are  $x \in \Sigma^j$  and  $y \in \Sigma^{n-j}$  such that  $x = \beta(x)$ ,  $y = \beta(y)$ , and  $xy = u$ .*

**Proof.** Assuming that  $\alpha^j \beta(u) = u$ , let  $x = u_1 \cdots u_j$  and  $y = u_{j+1} \cdots u_n$ . Then  $xy = u = \alpha^j \beta(u) = \alpha^j \beta(xy) = \alpha^j(\beta(y)\beta(x)) = \beta(x)\beta(y)$ , hence  $\beta(x) = x$  and  $\beta(y) = y$ .

Conversely, assuming that  $x \in \Sigma^j$  and  $y \in \Sigma^{n-j}$  are such that  $x = \beta(x)$ ,  $y = \beta(y)$ , and  $xy = u$ , we have  $\alpha^j \beta(u) = \alpha^j \beta(xy) = \alpha^j(\beta(y)\beta(x)) = \beta(x)\beta(y) = xy = u$ .  $\square$

In the next theorem, we express the numbers of primitive, primitive symmetric, and asymmetric Lucas strings of length  $n$  by means of the Möbius function.

**Theorem 5.7** For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$(i) \quad p_n = \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) L_d,$$

$$(ii) \quad s_n = n \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) F_{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor + 2},$$

$$(iii) \quad a_n = \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) (L_d - n F_{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor + 2}).$$

**Proof.** If  $u$  is a Lucas string and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\tau(u)$  and  $u^k$  are Lucas strings as well. Hence we can enumerate  $u \in V(\Lambda_n)$  by  $|\tau(u)|$  which is a divisor of  $n$ . This yields

$$L_n = |V(\Lambda_n)| = \sum_{d|n} p_d,$$

from which (i) follows by Möbius inversion.

To derive (ii), we compute  $t_n$  and invoke Corollary 5.5(ii). By Lemma 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{fix}_{V(\Lambda_n)}(\alpha^j \beta) &= |\{u \in V(\Lambda_n); \alpha^j \beta(u) = u\}| \\ &= \left| \bigcup_{d|n} \{u \in V(\Lambda_n); |\tau(u)| = d \wedge \alpha^j \beta(\tau(u)) = \tau(u)\} \right| \\ &= \left| \bigcup_{d|n} \{v \in V(\Lambda_d); v \text{ primitive} \wedge \alpha^j \beta(v) = v\} \right| \\ &= \left| \bigcup_{d|n} \text{Fix}_{V_p(\Lambda_d)}(\alpha^j \beta) \right| = \sum_{d|n} \text{fix}_{V_p(\Lambda_d)}(\alpha^j \beta), \end{aligned}$$

hence by Möbius inversion,

$$\text{fix}_{V_p(\Lambda_n)}(\alpha^j \beta) = \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \text{fix}_{V(\Lambda_d)}(\alpha^j \beta),$$

and, by definition of  $t_n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} t_n &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \text{fix}_{V(\Lambda_d)}(\alpha^j \beta) = \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \text{fix}_{V(\Lambda_d)}(\alpha^j \beta) \\ &= \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \frac{n}{d} \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \text{fix}_{V(\Lambda_d)}(\alpha^j \beta). \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

To evaluate  $\sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \text{fix}_{V(\Lambda_d)}(\alpha^j \beta)$ , we use Lemma 5.6 according to which we need to count strings  $xy \in V(\Lambda_d)$  where  $x \in \text{Fix}_{V(\Gamma_j)}(\beta)$  and  $y \in \text{Fix}_{V(\Gamma_{d-j})}(\beta)$  are Fibonacci palindromes. We distinguish four cases according to the parities of  $d$  and  $j$ , computing the numbers of such strings in each case by means of Proposition 4.1:

1.  $d = 2k$

(a)  $j = 2i$

- $x \in \text{Fix}_{V_0(\Gamma_{2i})}(\beta), y \in \text{Fix}_{V_0(\Gamma_{2(k-i)})}(\beta)$ :  $F_i F_{k-i}$  strings
- $x \in \text{Fix}_{V_0(\Gamma_{2i})}(\beta), y \in \text{Fix}_{V_1(\Gamma_{2(k-i)})}(\beta)$ :  $F_i F_{k-i-1}$  strings
- $x \in \text{Fix}_{V_1(\Gamma_{2i})}(\beta), y \in \text{Fix}_{V_0(\Gamma_{2(k-i)})}(\beta)$ :  $F_{i-1} F_{k-i}$  strings

in all:  $F_i F_{k-i+1} + F_{i-1} F_{k-i} = \frac{1}{2}(F_i L_{k-i} + L_i F_{k-i})$  strings

(b)  $j = 2i + 1$

- $x \in \text{Fix}_{V_0(\Gamma_{2i+1})}(\beta), y \in \text{Fix}_{V_0(\Gamma_{2(k-i-1)+1})}(\beta)$ :  $F_{i+2} F_{k-i+1}$  strings
- $x \in \text{Fix}_{V_0(\Gamma_{2i+1})}(\beta), y \in \text{Fix}_{V_1(\Gamma_{2(k-i-1)+1})}(\beta)$ :  $F_{i+2} F_{k-i}$  strings
- $x \in \text{Fix}_{V_1(\Gamma_{2i+1})}(\beta), y \in \text{Fix}_{V_0(\Gamma_{2(k-i-1)+1})}(\beta)$ :  $F_{i+1} F_{k-i+1}$  strings

in all:  $F_{i+2} F_{k-i+2} + F_{i+1} F_{k-i+1} = \frac{1}{2}(F_{i+2} L_{k-i+1} + L_{i+2} F_{k-i+1})$  strings

2.  $d = 2k + 1$

(a)  $j = 2i$

- $x \in \text{Fix}_{V_0(\Gamma_{2i})}(\beta), y \in \text{Fix}_{V_0(\Gamma_{2(k-i)+1})}(\beta)$ :  $F_i F_{k-i+2}$  strings
- $x \in \text{Fix}_{V_0(\Gamma_{2i})}(\beta), y \in \text{Fix}_{V_1(\Gamma_{2(k-i)+1})}(\beta)$ :  $F_i F_{k-i+1}$  strings
- $x \in \text{Fix}_{V_1(\Gamma_{2i})}(\beta), y \in \text{Fix}_{V_0(\Gamma_{2(k-i)+1})}(\beta)$ :  $F_{i-1} F_{k-i+2}$  strings

in all:  $F_i F_{k-i+3} + F_{i-1} F_{k-i+2} = \frac{1}{2}(F_i L_{k-i+2} + L_i F_{k-i+2})$  strings

(b)  $j = 2i + 1$

- $x \in \text{Fix}_{V_0(\Gamma_{2i+1})}(\beta), y \in \text{Fix}_{V_0(\Gamma_{2(k-i)})}(\beta)$ :  $F_{i+2} F_{k-i}$  strings
- $x \in \text{Fix}_{V_0(\Gamma_{2i+1})}(\beta), y \in \text{Fix}_{V_1(\Gamma_{2(k-i)})}(\beta)$ :  $F_{i+2} F_{k-i-1}$  strings
- $x \in \text{Fix}_{V_1(\Gamma_{2i+1})}(\beta), y \in \text{Fix}_{V_0(\Gamma_{2(k-i)})}(\beta)$ :  $F_{i+1} F_{k-i}$  strings

in all:  $F_{i+2} F_{k-i+1} + F_{i+1} F_{k-i} = \frac{1}{2}(F_{i+2} L_{k-i} + L_{i+2} F_{k-i})$  strings

Again we distinguish two cases according to the parity of  $d$ , and split the sum into two according to the parity of the summation index:

1.  $d = 2k$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \text{fix}_{V(\Lambda_d)}(\alpha^j \beta) &= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \text{fix}_{V(\Lambda_d)}(\alpha^{2i} \beta) + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \text{fix}_{V(\Lambda_d)}(\alpha^{2i+1} \beta) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} F_i L_{k-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} L_i F_{k-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} F_{i+2} L_{k-i+1} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} L_{i+2} F_{k-i+1} \right) \\
&= 2k F_{k+2} = d F_{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor + 2},
\end{aligned}$$

by shifting summation indices and applying (3) to each of the four sums.

2.  $d = 2k + 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \text{fix}_{V(\Lambda_d)}(\alpha^j \beta) &= \sum_{i=0}^k \text{fix}_{V(\Lambda_d)}(\alpha^{2i} \beta) + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \text{fix}_{V(\Lambda_d)}(\alpha^{2i+1} \beta) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{i=0}^k F_i L_{k-i+2} + \sum_{i=0}^k L_i F_{k-i+2} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} F_{i+2} L_{k-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} L_{i+2} F_{k-i} \right) \\
&= (2k+1)F_{k+2} = dF_{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor + 2},
\end{aligned}$$

by shifting summation indices and applying (3) to each of the four sums.

The final expression is the same in both cases, so by (15) we obtain

$$s_n = t_n = \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \frac{n}{d} \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \text{fix}_{V(\Lambda_d)}(\alpha^j \beta) = n \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) F_{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor + 2},$$

proving (ii).

Finally, (iii) follows from (i) and (ii) by noting that  $a_n = p_n - s_n$ .  $\square$

$n$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
$L_n$	1	3	4	7	11	18	29	47	76	123	199	322	521	843	1364	2207
$p_n$	1	2	3	4	10	12	28	40	72	110	198	300	520	812	1350	2160
$s_n$	1	2	3	4	10	12	28	40	54	90	132	180	260	392	450	752
$a_n$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	20	66	120	260	420	900	1408

Table 3: The numbers of all Lucas strings, primitive Lucas strings, symmetric primitive Lucas strings, and asymmetric Lucas strings of length  $n \leq 16$

**Theorem 5.8** For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $k | 2n$ ,

$$o_V(\Lambda_n, k) = \begin{cases} \sum_{d|k} \mu\left(\frac{k}{d}\right) F_{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor + 2}, & k | n \wedge k \text{ odd} \\ \sum_{d|k} \mu\left(\frac{k}{d}\right) F_{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor + 2} + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{d|\frac{k}{2}} \mu\left(\frac{k}{2d}\right) (L_d - \frac{k}{2} F_{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor + 2}), & k | n \wedge k \text{ even} \\ \frac{1}{k} \sum_{d|\frac{k}{2}} \mu\left(\frac{k}{2d}\right) (L_d - \frac{k}{2} F_{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor + 2}), & k | 2n \wedge k \not| n \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** By Theorem 3.5,

$$|\bar{u}| = k \iff (|\tau(u)| = k \wedge \tau(u) \text{ symmetric}) \vee (2|\tau(u)| = k \wedge \tau(u) \text{ asymmetric}).$$



Since  $\tau(u)$  is primitive and  $|\tau(u)|$  divides  $n$ , it follows that

$$|\bar{u}| = k \iff \left( k | n \wedge \exists v \in V(\Lambda_k): (v \text{ symmetric primitive} \wedge u = v^{n/k}) \right) \\ \vee \left( k | 2n \wedge k \text{ even} \wedge \exists v \in V(\Lambda_{k/2}): (v \text{ asymmetric} \wedge u = v^{2n/k}) \right),$$

hence

$$o_V(\Lambda_n, k) = \frac{1}{k} \begin{cases} s_k, & k | n \wedge k \text{ odd}, \\ s_k + a_{k/2}, & k | n \wedge k \text{ even}, \\ a_{k/2}, & k | 2n \wedge k \nmid n. \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

Together with Proposition 5.7, this yields the statement of the theorem.  $\square$

At first sight, it may seem surprising that the sums in Theorem 5.8 are free of  $n$ , therefore we try to explain this phenomenon here. Since both  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  commute with taking powers, the size of the orbit of  $u$  equals the size of the orbit of  $u^k$ , which means that  $|\bar{u}|$  depends only on  $|\tau(u)|$ . Primitive strings of length  $n$  are of two types: symmetric (with orbit size  $n$ ) and asymmetric (of orbit size  $2n$ ). Hence in order to enumerate orbits of size  $k$  in  $V(\Lambda_n)$ , we need to enumerate primitive symmetric Lucas strings of length  $k$  and asymmetric Lucas strings of length  $k/2$ , with the latter possibility applicable only to even  $k$ . Furthermore, we wish to obtain a string of length  $n$  as a power of our primitive string, therefore  $n/k$  (in the first case) resp.  $2n/k$  (in the second case) must be an integer. In summary, if  $k$  is even, only the first case applies, hence we obtain  $s_k$  such strings. If  $k$  is even and divides  $n$ , both cases apply and there are  $s_k + a_{k/2}$  such strings. If  $k$  is even but does not divide  $n$  (however it must divide  $2n$ ), only the second case applies, yielding  $a_{k/2}$  strings. Finally, since we count orbits rather than individual strings, we divide by the orbit size  $k$  and obtain (16).

$n$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
$o_V(\Lambda_n)$	1	2	2	3	3	5	5	8	9	14	16	26	31	49	64	99	133	209
$o_V(\Lambda_n, n)$	1	1	1	1	2	2	4	5	6	9	12	15	20	28	30	47	54	79
$o_V(\Lambda_n, 2n)$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	5	10	15	30	44	78	119

Table 4: The numbers of all orbits, orbits of size  $n$ , and orbits of size  $2n$  in  $V(\Lambda_n)$  for  $n \leq 18$

## 5.2 Edge orbits

We now present a surprising relationship between  $o_E(\Lambda_n)$  and  $o_V(\Gamma_n)$ , namely that the former sequence is just a shift of the latter.

**Theorem 5.9** (i) For  $n \geq 5$ ,  $o_E(\Lambda_n) = o_V(\Gamma_{n-3})$ .

(ii) For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$o_E(\Lambda_n) = \frac{1}{2} \left( F_{n-1} + F_{\lfloor \frac{n+1+(-1)^n}{2} \rfloor} \right).$$

**Proof.** To prove (i), assume that  $n \geq 5$  and define  $s: E(\Lambda_n) \rightarrow V(\Gamma_{n-3})$  as follows (all indices will be taken mod  $n$ ): For  $e = \{u, v\} \in E(\Lambda_n)$ , let  $i \in [n]$  be such that  $u_i \neq v_i$  where  $u = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_n$ ,  $v = v_1 v_2 \cdots v_n$ . Then  $\{u_i, v_i\} = \{0, 1\}$  and  $u_{i-1} = v_{i-1} = u_{i+1} = v_{i+1} = 0$ . Now set

$$s(e) = u_{i+2} u_{i+3} \cdots u_{i+n-2}.$$

Note that  $s(e)$  may contain  $u_n u_1$  as a substring, but as  $u$  is a Lucas string,  $u_n u_1 \neq 11$ , hence  $s(e) \in V(\Gamma_{n-3})$  as desired. Let  $f = \{x, y\} \in E(\Lambda_n)$  be such that  $\bar{e} = \bar{f}$ . We claim that  $\overline{s(e)} = \overline{s(f)}$ . To prove this, we distinguish two cases:

*Case 1:*  $f = \alpha^j(e) = \{\alpha^j(u), \alpha^j(v)\}$  for some  $j$ . Without loss of generality assume that  $x = \alpha^j(u)$  and  $y = \alpha^j(v)$ . By (6),  $x_{i+j} \neq y_{i+j}$ , hence

$$s(f) = x_{i+j+2} x_{i+j+3} \cdots x_{i+j+n-2} = u_{i+2} u_{i+3} \cdots u_{i+n-2} = s(e).$$

*Case 2:*  $f = \alpha^j \beta(e) = \{\alpha^j \beta(u), \alpha^j \beta(v)\}$  for some  $j$ . Without loss of generality assume that  $x = \alpha^j \beta(u)$  and  $y = \alpha^j \beta(v)$ . By (8),  $x_{1-i+j} \neq y_{1-i+j}$ , hence

$$\begin{aligned} s(f) &= x_{3-i+j} x_{4-i+j} \cdots x_{n-1-i+j} = u_{i-2} u_{i-3} \cdots u_{i-n+2} \\ &= \beta(u_{i-n+2} \cdots u_{i-3} u_{i-2}) = \beta(u_{i+2} \cdots u_{i+n-3} u_{i+n-2}) = \beta(s(e)). \end{aligned}$$

In either case,  $\overline{s(e)} = \overline{s(f)}$  as claimed. This implies that  $s$  induces a well-defined mapping  $\tilde{s}: \mathcal{O}_E(\Lambda_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_V(\Gamma_{n-3})$  which satisfies  $\tilde{s}(\bar{e}) = \overline{s(e)}$  for all  $e \in E(\Lambda_n)$ .

Assume that  $\tilde{s}(\bar{e}) = \tilde{s}(\bar{f})$  for some  $e, f \in E(\Lambda_n)$  where  $e = \{u, v\}$  and  $f = \{x, y\}$ . Let  $i, j \in [n]$  be such that  $u_i \neq v_i$  and  $x_j \neq y_j$ . Then  $\{u_i, v_i\} = \{x_j, y_j\} = \{0, 1\}$  and  $u_{i-1} = v_{i-1} = u_{i+1} = v_{i+1} = x_{j-1} = y_{j-1} = x_{j+1} = y_{j+1} = 0$ . Without loss of generality assume  $u_i = x_j = 1$ . Since  $\overline{s(e)} = \overline{s(f)}$ , we distinguish two cases:

*Case 1:* If  $s(e) = s(f)$ , then  $u_{i+2} u_{i+3} \cdots u_{i+n-2} = x_{j+2} x_{j+3} \cdots x_{j+n-2}$ , hence

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{2-i}(u) &= u_{i-1} u_i u_{i+1} u_{i+2} \cdots u_{i+n-2} = 010 u_{i+2} \cdots u_{i+n-2} \\ &= x_{j-1} x_j x_{j+1} x_{j+2} x_{j+3} \cdots x_{j+n-2} = \alpha^{2-j}(x), \end{aligned}$$

and similarly,  $\alpha^{2-i}(v) = \alpha^{2-j}(y)$ . Consequently,  $\alpha^{2-i}(e) = \alpha^{2-j}(f)$ .

*Case 2:* If  $s(e) = \beta s(f)$  then  $u_{i+2} u_{i+3} \cdots u_{i+n-2} = x_{j+n-2} \cdots x_{j+3} x_{j+2}$ , hence

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{2-i}(u) &= u_{i-1} u_i u_{i+1} u_{i+2} \cdots u_{i+n-2} = 010 u_{i+2} \cdots u_{i+n-2} \\ &= x_{j+1} x_j x_{j-1} x_{j+n-2} \cdots x_{j+3} x_{j+2} \\ &= \beta(x_{j+2} x_{j+3} \cdots x_{j+n-2} x_{j-1} x_j x_{j+1}) = \beta \alpha^{-j-1}(x) \end{aligned}$$

by (6), and similarly,  $\alpha^{2-i}(v) = \beta \alpha^{-j-1}(y)$ . Consequently,  $\alpha^{2-i}(e) = \beta \alpha^{-j-1}(f)$ .

In either case,  $\tilde{s}(\bar{e}) = \tilde{s}(\bar{f})$  implies that  $\bar{e} = \bar{f}$ , hence  $\tilde{s}$  is injective.

Now let  $u \in V(\Gamma_{n-3})$ . Then  $e = \{010u, 000u\} \in E(\Lambda_n)$  and  $s(e) = u$ , implying that  $s$  and  $\tilde{s}$  are surjective. Thus  $\tilde{s}$  is bijective and  $o_E(\Lambda_n) = o_V(\Gamma_{n-3})$ .

Finally, (ii) follows from (i) and Theorem 4.2 for  $n \geq 5$ , and can be verified directly for  $n \leq 4$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 5.10** *For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$\{|X|; X \in \mathcal{O}_E(\Lambda_n)\} \subseteq \{n, 2n\},$$

*with equality if and only if  $n \geq 5$ .*

**Proof.** For  $n \leq 4$  this can be checked directly. Now assume that  $n \geq 5$  and let  $e = \{u, v\} \in E(\Lambda_n)$ . Then by [15, Corollary 2 (ii)], at least one of the strings  $u$  and  $v$  is primitive, say  $u$ . By Proposition 3.3,  $|\bar{u}| = p(u)$  or  $|\bar{u}| = 2p(u)$ . Moreover, because  $u$  is primitive,  $p(u) = |u| = n$ . We conclude that  $|\bar{u}| \in \{n, 2n\}$ .

Denote by  $S_u$  resp.  $S_e$  the stabilizer of  $u$  resp.  $e$  under the action of  $D_n$  on  $V(\Lambda_n)$  resp.  $E(\Lambda_n)$ . Let  $g \in S_e$ . Then  $\{g(u), g(v)\} = \{u, v\}$ . Since  $w(u) \neq w(v)$  and  $g$  preserves weight, it follows that  $g(u) = u$ , so  $g \in S_u$ . Consequently  $S_e \subseteq S_u$ , hence  $S_e \leq S_u$  and  $|S_e|$  divides  $|S_u|$ . From  $|\bar{e}| |S_e| = |D_n| = |\bar{u}| |S_u|$  it now follows that  $|\bar{u}|$  divides  $|\bar{e}|$ , so  $n$  divides  $|\bar{e}|$  as well. But  $|\bar{e}|$  divides  $2n$ , hence  $|\bar{e}| \in \{n, 2n\}$ .

To see that both  $n$  and  $2n$  indeed appear as orbit sizes, consider the edges  $e = \{0^n, 10^{n-1}\}$  and  $f = \{10^{n-1}, 1010^{n-3}\}$ . Then it is straightforward to check that  $|\bar{e}| = n$  and  $|\bar{f}| = 2n$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 5.11** *For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} o_E(\Lambda_n, n) &= F_{\lfloor \frac{n+1+(-1)^n}{2} \rfloor}, \\ o_E(\Lambda_n, 2n) &= \frac{1}{2} \left( F_{n-1} - F_{\lfloor \frac{n+1+(-1)^n}{2} \rfloor} \right). \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** This follows from (5) which in this case transforms into

$$\begin{aligned} n o_E(\Lambda_n, n) + 2n o_E(\Lambda_n, 2n) &= n F_{n-1}, \\ o_E(\Lambda_n, n) + o_E(\Lambda_n, 2n) &= \frac{1}{2} \left( F_{n-1} + F_{\lfloor \frac{n+1+(-1)^n}{2} \rfloor} \right). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

## Acknowledgements

The authors express their thanks to the anonymous referees for their careful reading and helpful suggestions. In particular, one of the referees simplified our original formula in Theorem 5.1. The research of Ali Reza Ashrafi and Khadijeh Fathalikhani was partially supported by the University of Kashan under the grant no 364988/9. The research of Sandi Klavžar and Marko Petkovšek was partially supported by the Ministry of Science of Slovenia under the grants P1-0297 and P1-0294.

$n$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
$o_E(\Lambda_n)$	0	1	1	2	2	4	5	9	12	21	30	51	76	127	195	322
$o_E(\Lambda_n, n)$	0	1	1	2	1	3	2	5	3	8	5	13	8	21	13	34
$o_E(\Lambda_n, 2n)$	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	4	9	13	25	38	68	106	182	288

Table 5: The numbers of all orbits, orbits of size  $n$ , and orbits of size  $2n$  in  $E(\Lambda_n)$  for  $n \leq 16$

## References

- [1] A. Castro, S. Klavžar, M. Mollard, Y. Rho, On the domination number and the 2-packing number of Fibonacci cubes and Lucas cubes, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 61 (2011) 2655–2660.
- [2] A. Castro, M. Mollard, The eccentricity sequences of Fibonacci and Lucas cubes, *Discrete Math.* 312 (2012) 1025–1037.
- [3] S. Cabello, D. Eppstein, S. Klavžar, The Fibonacci dimension of a graph, *Electron. J. Combin.* 18 (2011) Paper 55, 23 pp.
- [4] P. Codara, O. M. D’Antona, Independent subsets of powers of paths, and Fibonacci cubes, *Electron. Notes Discrete Math.* 40 (2013) 65–69.
- [5] W.-J. Hsu, Fibonacci cubes—a new interconnection topology, *IEEE Trans. Parallel Distrib. Syst.* 4 (1993) 3–12.
- [6] S. Klavžar, Structure of Fibonacci cubes: a survey, *J. Comb. Optim.* 25 (2013) 505–522.
- [7] S. Klavžar, M. Mollard, Wiener index and Hosoya polynomial of Fibonacci and Lucas cubes, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* 68 (2012) 311–324.
- [8] S. Klavžar, M. Mollard, Asymptotic properties of Fibonacci cubes and Lucas cubes, *Ann. Comb.* 18 (2014) 447–457.
- [9] S. Klavžar, M. Mollard, M. Petkovšek, The degree sequence of Fibonacci and Lucas cubes, *Discrete Math.* 311 (2011) 1310–1322.
- [10] S. Klavžar, P. Žigert, Fibonacci cubes are the resonance graphs of fibonaccenes, *Fibonacci Quart.* 43 (2005) 269–276.
- [11] R. C. Lyndon, M. P. Schützenberger, The equation  $a^M = b^N c^P$  in a free group, *Michigan Math. J.* 9 (1962) 289–298.
- [12] M. Mollard, Maximal hypercubes in Fibonacci and Lucas cubes, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 160 (2012) 2479–2483.

- [13] E. Munarini, C. Perelli Cippo, N. Zagaglia Salvi, On the Lucas cubes, *Fibonacci Quart.* 39 (2001) 12–21.
- [14] W. E. Patten, S. W. Golomb, Problem E1470, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 69 (1962) 61–62.
- [15] G. Păun, N. Santean, G. Thierrin, S. Yu, On the robustness of primitive words, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 117 (2002) 239–252.
- [16] D. A. Pike, Y. Zou, The domination number of Fibonacci cubes, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.* 80 (2012) 433–444.
- [17] M. Ramras, Congestion-free routing of linear permutations on Fibonacci and Lucas cubes, *Australas. J. Combin.* 60 (2014) 1–10.
- [18] N. J. A. Sloane, The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, published electronically at <http://oeis.org>, 2014.
- [19] A. Taranenko, A new characterization and a recognition algorithm of Lucas cubes, *Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci.* 15 (2013) 31–39.
- [20] A. Vesel, Fibonacci dimension of the resonance graphs of catacondensed benzenoid graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 161 (2013) 2158–2168.
- [21] A. Vesel, Linear recognition and embedding of Fibonacci cubes, *Algorithmica* 71 (2015) 1021–1034.
- [22] H. Zhang, L. Ou, H. Yao, Fibonacci-like cubes as Z-transformation graphs, *Discrete Math.* 309 (2009) 1284–1293.
- [23] P. Žigert, M. Berlič, Lucas cubes and resonance graphs of cyclic polyphenantrenes, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* 68 (2012) 79–90.
- [24] P. Žigert Pleteršek, M. Berlič, The structure of Lucas cubes and maximal resonant sets of cyclic fibonacenes, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* 69 (2013) 707–720.
- [25] P. Žigert Pleteršek, M. Berlič, Resonance graphs of armchair nanotubes cyclic polypyrenes and amalgams of Lucas cubes, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* 70 (2013) 533–543.