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#### Abstract

Let $W(G)$ and $S z(G)$ be the Wiener index and the Szeged index of a connected graph $G$. It is proved that if $G$ is a connected bipartite graph of order $n \geq$ 4, size $m \geq n$, and if $\ell$ is the length of a longest isometric cycle of $G$, then $S z(G)-W(G) \geq n(m-n+\ell-2)+(\ell / 2)^{3}-\ell^{2}+2 \ell$. It is also proved if $G$ is a connected graph of order $n \geq 5$ and girth $g \geq 5$, then $S z(G)-W(G) \geq$ $P I_{v}(G)-n(n-1)+(n-g)(g-3)+P(g)$, where $P I_{v}(G)$ is the vertex PI index of $G$ and $P$ is a cubic polynomial. These theorems extend related results from [Chen, Li, Liu, European J. Combin. 36 (2014) 237-246]. Several lower bounds on the difference $S z(G)-W(G)$ for general graphs $G$ are also given without any condition on the girth.
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## 1 Introduction

The Wiener index $W$ (cf. the surveys $[6,8])$ and the Szeged index $S z$ (cf. the survey [9]) are among the central graph invariants studied in mathematical chemistry. The Wiener index is the first such index, it was introduced back in 1947 and extensively investigated in the last decades. Clearly, the study of the Wiener index is equivalent to the study of the average distance, cf. [5, 28]. The Szeged index also received a lot of attention. In particular, it was recently applied for measuring network bipartivity [32] and to characterize connected graphs $G$ or order $n$ and size $m$ with $S z(G)=m n^{2} / 4$ as the connected, bipartite, distance-balanced graphs [1, 15]. It was earlier conjectured in [18] that these graphs can be characterized as regular bipartite graphs.

The introduction of the Szeged index was in particular motivated by the classical Wiener algorithm that for a given tree returns its Wiener index. Consequently, the Wiener index and the Szeged index coincide on trees, hence it is not surprising that a lot of research has been done on the relation between these two indices on general graphs. First, $S z(G) \geq W(G)$ holds for any connected graph [24], see [19] for an alternative short proof of this fact. The so-called Szeged-Wiener theorem states that $S z(G)=W(G)$ holds if and only if $G$ is a block graph [7]. The theorem was recently and apparently independently rediscovered in [2]; yet another proof of it, together with a new characterization of block graphs, can be found in [19]. Very recently, in [23], the $S z(G) \geq W(G)$ result was extended to networks, more precisely, it was proved that $S z(G, w) \geq W(G, w)$ holds for any connected network, where $S z(G, w)$ and $W(G, w)$ are the Szeged index and the Wiener index of the network ( $G, w$ ). An analogous result holds for vertex-weighted graphs.

In $[30,31]$ a matrix method was applied in order to classify the graphs $G$ for which $S z(G)-W(G) \in\{2,4,5\}$. In addition, it is proved that there exists no graph $G$ for which $S z(G)-W(G) \in\{1,3\}$, and that for any positive integer $n \neq 1,3$ there exists a graph $G$ with $S z(G)-W(G)=k$. In this direction, the computer program AutoGraphiX conjectured (as reported at the talk [13]) that for a connected nonbipartite graph $G$ of order $n \geq 5$ and girth $g \geq 5$, the inequality $S z(G)-W(G) \geq 2 n-5$ holds. The same program also conjectured that for a connected bipartite graph $G$ of order $n \geq 4$ and size with $m \geq n, S z(G)-W(G) \geq 4 n-8$ holds. Very recently Chen, Li, and Liu [4] proved these two conjectures, see also [3] for an alternative proof in the bipartite case.

The main results of this paper are improvements of the above mentioned theorems from [4]. In Section 2 we prove a lower bound on the difference $S z(G)-W(G)$ for bipartite graphs $G$ that involves the order $n$, the size $m$, and the length $\ell$ of a longest isometric cycle of $G$. The new bound extends the $4 n-8$ bound as soon as at least one of the conditions $m \geq n+2$ and $\ell \geq 6$ hold. In the remaining small cases explicit expressions for $S z(G)-W(G)$ can be given. Then, in Section 3, we extend the $2 n-5$ bound for general graphs $G$ of order $n \geq 5$ and girth $g \geq 5$ with a bound that involves the order and the girth of $G$ and extends the $2 n-5$ bound in all cases. We conclude the paper with several lower bounds without any condition on the girth and use them to give a partial answer to a conjecture from [31].

In the rest of the section we define concepts used in this paper and recall some known related results.

We consider the usual shortest path distance and write $d_{G}(u, v)$ for the distance in a graph $G$ between $u$ and $v$ and simplify the notation to $d(u, v)$ when the graph is clear from the context. A subgraph of a graph is called isometric if the distance between any two vertices of the subgraph is independent of whether it is computed in the subgraph or in the entire graph. The Wiener index $W(G)$ of a (connected) graph $G$ is defined with

$$
W(G)=\sum_{\{u, v\} \subseteq V(G)} d(u, v) .
$$

If $G$ is a connected graph and $e=u v \in E(G)$, then set

$$
N_{u}(e)=\{x \in V(G) \mid d(x, u)<d(x, v)\},
$$

and

$$
N_{v}(e)=\{x \in V(G) \mid d(x, u)>d(x, v)\} .
$$

Let in addition $n_{u}(e)=\left|N_{u}(e)\right|$ and $n_{v}(e)=\left|N_{v}(e)\right|$. Then the Szeged index of $G$ and the vertex PI index of $G$ (the latter index being introduced in [17], see also [25] and references therein) are respectively defined with

$$
S z(G)=\sum_{e=u v \in E(G)} n_{u}(e) \cdot n_{v}(e),
$$

and

$$
P I_{v}(G)=\sum_{e=u v \in E(G)} n_{u}(e)+n_{v}(e) .
$$

Considering a BFS-tree for each of the vertices of $G$ if follows easily that

$$
P I_{v}(G) \geq n(n-1) .
$$

For the class of graphs $X_{n}$ that attain this bound see [29, Theorem 2].
Finally, we will also make use of the first Zagreb index which is defined as

$$
M_{1}(G)=\sum_{u \in V(G)} \operatorname{deg}(u)^{2}
$$

where $\operatorname{deg}(u)$ is the degree of the vertex $u$.

## 2 The bipartite case

For bipartite graphs, Chen, Li, and Liu proved the following result, verifying a conjecture posed by the computer program AutoGraphiX:

Theorem 2.1 [4, Theorem 3.2] Let $G$ be a connected bipartite graph of order $n \geq 4$ and size $m \geq n$. Then $S z(G)-W(G) \geq 4 n-8$. Moreover, the equality holds if and only if $G$ is composed of a cycle $C_{4}$ on 4 vertices and a tree $T$ on $n-3$ vertices sharing a single vertex.

This result is also proved in [3, Theorem 2.2]. Note that the lower bound involves the number of vertices but not the number edges. In addition, the family of extremal graphs is unicyclic with the unique cycle being of length four. These observations motivated us to search for a lower bound that would involve also the number of edges and were able to prove:

Theorem 2.2 Let $G$ be a connected bipartite graph of order $n \geq 4$ and size $m \geq n$. If $\ell$ is the length of a longest isometric cycle of $G$, then

$$
S z(G)-W(G) \geq n(m-n+\ell-2)+\left(\frac{\ell}{2}\right)^{3}-\ell^{2}+2 \ell
$$

Proof. Let $V(G)=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}, E(G)=\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{m}\right\}$, and let $Y$ be an ordered list of all $\binom{n}{2}$ unordered pairs of vertices of $G$. Define the matrix $A=\left[a_{i j}\right]$ of dimension $\binom{n}{2} \times m$ as follows. Its rows correspond to the elements of $Y$, its columns to the elements of $E(G)$. If the row $i$ corresponds to the pair $\{x, y\}$ and the column $j$ to the edge $e_{j}=u v$, then set

$$
a_{i j}= \begin{cases}1 ; & \{x, y\} \cap\{u, v\}=\emptyset \text { and } \\ & \left(x \in N_{u}\left(e_{j}\right) \text { and } y \in N_{v}\left(e_{j}\right)\right) \text { or }\left(x \in N_{v}\left(e_{j}\right) \text { and } y \in N_{u}\left(e_{j}\right)\right), \\ 0 ; & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

Note that the sum of the entries of the $j^{\text {th }}$ column is equal $\left(n_{u}\left(e_{j}\right)-1\right)\left(n_{v}\left(e_{j}\right)-1\right)$. Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i=1}^{\substack{n \\
2}} \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{i j} & =\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(n_{u}\left(e_{j}\right)-1\right)\left(n_{v}\left(e_{j}\right)-1\right) \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{m} n_{u}\left(e_{j}\right) n_{v}\left(e_{j}\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(n_{u}\left(e_{j}\right)+n_{v}\left(e_{j}\right)\right)+m \\
& =S z(G)-P I_{v}(G)+m  \tag{1}\\
& =S z(G)-m(n-1) \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used the fact that since $G$ is bipartite, $P I_{v}(G)=m n$ holds.
Let $\mu_{x, y}$ be the sum of the entries of the row of $A$ corresponding to the pair $\{x, y\}$, so that $\sum_{i=1}^{\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{i j}=\sum_{\{x, y\}} \mu_{x, y}$. Set

$$
\mu_{x, y}^{\prime}= \begin{cases}\mu_{x, y}-d(x, y)+2 ; & d(x, y) \geq 2 \\ \mu_{x, y} ; & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{\{x, y\} \in\binom{V(G)}{2}} \mu_{x, y} & =\sum_{\substack{\{x, y\} \\
x y \notin E(G)}} \mu_{x, y}+\sum_{\substack{\{x, y\} \\
x y \in E(G)}} \mu_{x, y} \\
& =\sum_{\substack{\{x, y\} \\
x y \notin E(G)}}\left(\mu_{x, y}^{\prime}+d(x, y)-2\right)+\sum_{\substack{\{x, y\} \\
x y \in E(G)}} \mu_{x, y}^{\prime} \\
& =\sum_{\{x, y\}} \mu_{x, y}^{\prime}+(W(G)-m)-2\left(\binom{n}{2}-m\right) \\
& =\sum_{\{x, y\}} \mu_{x, y}^{\prime}+W(G)+m-n(n-1) . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (2) with (3) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
S z(G)-W(G)=\sum_{\{x, y\}} \mu_{x, y}^{\prime}+n(m-n+1) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $C=u_{1} u_{2} \ldots u_{\ell} u_{1}$ be a longest isometric cycle of $G$ and set $\ell=2 k$. Such a cycle exists since $G$ contains cycles (because $m \geq n$ ) and since a shortest cycle of a graph is always isometric, cf. [12, Proposition 3.3]. Let $x y$ be an edge of $C$ and let $e^{\prime}=x^{\prime} y^{\prime}$ be the antipodal edge of $x y$ on $C$, where $d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)<d\left(x, y^{\prime}\right)$. Then $x \in n_{x^{\prime}}\left(e^{\prime}\right)$ and $y \in n_{y^{\prime}}\left(e^{\prime}\right)$, hence $\mu_{x, y}^{\prime} \geq 1$. Therefore, $\sum_{\{x, y\}, x y \in E(C)} \mu_{x, y}^{\prime} \geq \ell$. Similarly, if $x, y \in V(C)$ and $d(x, y)=2$ with $z$ a common neighbor of $x$ and $y$ on $C$, then considering the antipodal edges to $x z$ and $z y$ we infer that $\mu_{x, y}^{\prime} \geq 2$. Since there are $\ell$ pairs of vertices at distance 2 on $C$, we thus have $\sum_{\{x, y\}, x, y \in V(C), d(x, y)=2} \mu_{x, y}^{\prime} \geq 2 \ell$. Proceeding analogously we find out that $\sum_{\{x, y\}, x, y \in V(C), d(x, y)=r} \mu_{x, y}^{\prime} \geq r \ell$ holds for $r \leq k-1$. Finally, there exist $k$ pairs of vertices at distance $k$ on $C$, and we infer that for any such pair, $\mu_{x, y}^{\prime} \geq k-2$. Putting this together we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{\{x, y\} \\ x, y \in V(C)}} \mu_{x, y}^{\prime} \geq \ell \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} i+k(k-2)=k\left(k^{2}-2\right)=\frac{\ell}{2}\left((\ell / 2)^{2}-2\right) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $y$ be an arbitrary vertex from $V(G) \backslash V(C)$. Let $z$ be a vertex from $C$ such that $d(y, z)=d(y, C)$. (There can be more than one such vertex but we select and fix one of them.) We may assume without loss of generality that $z=u_{1}$. Let $x \in V(C), x \neq z$. Let $P$ be a shortest $x, y$-path and let $P^{\prime} \neq P$ be a $x, y$-path that is shortest among all $x, y$-paths different from $P$. (So $P^{\prime}$ actually need not be a shortest $x, y$-path.) Note that $P^{\prime}$ exists because the cycle $C$ guarantees that there exist at least two $x, y$-paths. If there are more selections for $P^{\prime}$ we select one that has most common vertices with $P$. Then $P \triangle P^{\prime}$ is a cycle, denote it $C^{\prime}$. Let $x^{\prime}$ be the vertex of $C^{\prime} \cap P \cap P^{\prime}$ closest to $x$ and let $y^{\prime}$ be the vertex of $C^{\prime} \cap P \cap P^{\prime}$ closest to $y$.

Suppose first that $\left|C^{\prime}\right|>4$. If $e=u v$ is an edge of $C^{\prime} \cap P$, then for its opposite edge $e^{\prime}=u^{\prime} v^{\prime} \in C^{\prime} \cap P^{\prime}$ we can use the same argument as in the proof of [4, Lemma
2.4] that $x \in N_{u^{\prime}}\left(e^{\prime}\right)$ and $y \in N_{v^{\prime}}\left(e^{\prime}\right)$ (or vice versa). As $\left|C^{\prime}\right|>4$, at least one of these edges is incident to neither $x$ nor $y$, hence $\mu_{x, y}^{\prime} \geq 1$. Assume now that $\left|C^{\prime}\right|=4$. If $d\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)=1$ then consider the edge of $C^{\prime}$ opposite to $x^{\prime} y^{\prime}$ to reach the same conclusion, that is, $\mu_{x, y}^{\prime} \geq 1$. If $d\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)=2$, then $P^{\prime}$ is also a shortest $x, y$-path. Now, if $x \neq x^{\prime}$ then we consider the edge $x^{\prime} t$ such that $t \in P^{\prime} \cap C^{\prime}$. In this case $x \in N_{x^{\prime}}\left(x^{\prime} t\right)$ and $y \in N_{t}\left(x^{\prime} t\right)$. The case when $y \neq y^{\prime}$ is treated analogously. It means that $\mu_{x, y}^{\prime} \geq 1$ holds also in this case.

Consider finally the case $x=x^{\prime}, y=y^{\prime},\left|C^{\prime}\right|=4$ and $d(x, y)=2$. Then $|P|=$ $\left|P^{\prime}\right|=2$. We may without loss of generality assume that $P$ does not pass the edge $e=u_{1} u_{2}$. Since $G$ is bipartite and $C$ is isometric, $u_{i} \in N_{u_{2}}(e)$ and $y \in N_{u_{1}}(e)$ holds for $i=3, \ldots, k+1$. Consequently, $\mu_{u_{i}, y}^{\prime} \geq 1$ holds for $i=3, \ldots, k+1$. Using a parallel argument for the edge $f=u_{1} u_{\ell}$ we also find out that $\mu_{u_{i}, y}^{\prime} \geq 1$ holds for $i=k+2, \ldots, \ell-1$.

In conclusion, for any of the $(n-\ell)$ vertices $y$ not on $C$ there are $\ell-3$ vertices $x$ on $C$ such that $\mu_{x, y}^{\prime} \geq 1$, therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{\{x, y\} \\ x \in V(C), y \notin V(C)}} \mu_{x, y}^{\prime} \geq(n-\ell)(\ell-3) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Plugging (6) and (5) into (4) we get

$$
S z(G)-W(G) \geq \frac{\ell}{2}\left((\ell / 2)^{2}-2\right)+(n-\ell)(\ell-3)+n(m-n+1)
$$

which is equivalent to the claimed result.
Note that the bound of Theorem 2.2 extends the bound of Theorem 2.1 as soon as at least one of the conditions $m \geq n+2$ and $\ell \geq 6$ hold. For instance, if $\ell=6$, then Theorem 2.2 reduces to $S z(G)-W(G) \geq m n-n^{2}+4 n+3$, while if $\ell=4$ and $m=n+2$, then the theorem asserts $S z(G)-W(G) \geq 4 n$. In the small cases in which Theorem 2.2 does not extend the bound of Theorem 2.1, exact expressions for the difference between the Szeged and the Wiener index can be stated (and so there is no need to give a bound on the difference.) Let's have a brief look to these cases.

Suppose that $m=n$ and $\ell=4$. In other words, suppose that $G$ is a connected unicyclic graph whose only cycle is a 4 -cycle $u_{1} u_{2} u_{3} u_{4}$. Then $G$ isometrically embeds into a hypercube and hence the cut method (see [20,22] for more on the method) applies for the Szeged index [10] as well as for the Wiener index [21]. More precisely, let $n^{\prime}$ be the number of vertices in one of the connected components of $G-\left\{u_{1} u_{2}, u_{3} u_{4}\right\}$ and let $n^{\prime \prime}$ be the number of vertices in one of the connected components of $G-\left\{u_{1} u_{4}, u_{2} u_{3}\right\}$. Then it readily follows from the main theorems of [10] and [21] that $S z(G)-W(G)=$ $n^{\prime}\left(n-n^{\prime}\right)+n^{\prime \prime}\left(n-n^{\prime \prime}\right)=W(G)$ and hence $S z(G)=2 W(G)$. This fact was also noticed in [16] for unicyclic graph with even cycles, while the expression for $S z(G)-W(G)$ in arbitrary unicyclic graph is given in [11, Eq. (8)].

Assume now that $m=n+1$ and $\ell=4$. Then $G$ contains (at least) two 4 -cycles $C^{\prime}$ and $C^{\prime \prime}$. If $C^{\prime}$ and $C^{\prime \prime}$ are in different blocks of $G$ or if they share exactly one edge, then
$G$ again embeds isometrically into a hypercube and hence the main theorems of [10] and [21] can be applied once more to obtain an explicit expression for $S z(G)-W(G)$. Finally, if $C^{\prime}$ and $C^{\prime \prime}$ share two edges, then $G$ consists of a $K_{2,3}$ with trees attached to each of its vertices. Then it is not difficult to express $S z(G)-W(G)$ as a function of the orders of the trees attached to each of the vertices of $K_{2,3}$. We omit the details.

We conclude the section with the following remarks.
Remark 2.3 One could replace the length of a longest isometric cycle in $G$ in the statement of Theorem 2.2 with a more common girth of $G$. In this way a weaker bound would be obtained with a more common invariant involved. However, no such replacement is needed because also from the practical point of view the length of a longest isometric cycle is not an obstruction. The reason is that Lokshtanov [27] proved an appealing result that one can find a longest isometric cycle in a graph in polynomial time.

Remark 2.4 Let $S z^{*}(G)$ be the so-called revised Szeged index. This graph invariant was introduced in [33] (under the name revised Wiener index) and named revised Szeged index in [32], see also [26, 34]. Since $S z^{*}(G)=S z(G)$ holds for any bipartite graph $G$, the results of this section apply to the difference $S z^{*}(G)-W(G)$.

## 3 The general case

For general graphs, Chen, Li, and Liu proved the following result, again verifying a conjecture posed by AutoGraphiX:

Theorem 3.1 [4, Theorem 3.1] If $G$ is a connected, nonbipartite graph of order $n \geq 5$ and girth $g \geq 5$, then $S z(G)-W(G) \geq 2 n-5$. Moreover, equality holds if and only if $G$ is composed of $C_{5}$ and one tree rooted at a vertex of the cycle $C_{5}$ or two trees, respectively, rooted at two adjacent vertices of the cycle $C_{5}$.

For an integer $t$ set

$$
P(t)= \begin{cases}\frac{t}{2}\left((t / 2)^{2}-2\right) ; & t \text { even }, \\ \frac{t}{2}\left(\frac{t-1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{t-3}{2}\right) ; & t \text { odd } .\end{cases}
$$

We now extend Theorem 3.1 as follows:
Theorem 3.2 If $G$ is a connected graph of order $n \geq 5$ and girth $g \geq 5$, then

$$
S z(G)-W(G) \geq P I_{v}(G)-n(n-1)+(n-g)(g-3)+P(g) .
$$

Proof. Define the matrix $A=\left[a_{i j}\right]$ in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 2.2. Then Equations (1) and (3) hold for arbitrary graphs (that is, not only for bipartite) and give us

$$
\begin{equation*}
S z(G)-W(G) \geq P I_{v}(G)-n(n-1)+\sum_{x, y} \mu_{x, y}^{\prime} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $C=u_{0} u_{1} \ldots u_{g-1} u_{0}$ be a shortest cycle of $G$. Then $C$ is an isometric cycle. If $g$ is even and $x, y \in C$, then by analogous argument as in the proof of Theorem 2.2 we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{x, y \in V(C)} \mu_{x, y}^{\prime} \geq \frac{g}{2}\left((g / 2)^{2}-2\right) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose next that $C$ is odd and let $g=2 k+1$. If $x, y \in V(C)$ and $d(x, y)=2$ with $z$ a common neighbor of $x$ and $y$, then, since $C$ is an isometric odd cycle, for the edge $e=u v$ that is antipodal to $z$ on $C$ we have $d(z, u)=d(z, v)$. Therefore, $x \in N_{u}(e)$ and $y \in N_{v}(e)$ (or the other way around), thus $\mu_{x, y}^{\prime} \geq 1$. When $d(x, y)=i \geq 3$, the same reasoning yields $\mu_{x, y}^{\prime} \geq i-1$. Since there are $g$ pairs of vertices of $C$ that are at distance $i$, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{x, y \in V(C)} \mu_{x, y}^{\prime} \geq g \sum_{i=2}^{k}(i-1)=\frac{g}{2}\left(\frac{g-1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{g-3}{2}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, suppose that $x \in V(C)$ and $y \notin V(C)$. Let $z$ be a vertex from $C$ such that $d(y, z)=d(y, C)$. We may without loss of generality assume that $z=u_{0}$. Suppose that $P$ is a shortest $x, y$-path and let $P^{\prime}$ be an $x, y$-path that is shortest among all other paths. Among all possible such paths select $P^{\prime}$ such that it has the largest possible number of common vertices with $P$. Then $C^{\prime}=P \triangle P^{\prime}$ is a cycle. Let $x^{\prime}$ (resp. $y^{\prime}$ ) be the vertex of $C^{\prime} \cap P \cap P^{\prime}$ closest to $x$ (resp. $y$ ). Then $d_{C^{\prime}}\left(x^{\prime}, v\right)=d_{G}\left(x^{\prime}, v\right)$ (resp. $\left(d_{C^{\prime}}\left(y^{\prime}, v\right)=d_{G}\left(y^{\prime}, v\right)\right)$ holds for any vertex $v \in C^{\prime}$.

Case 1. $C^{\prime}$ is an even cycle.
Suppose that $e \in P \cap C^{\prime}$ and $f=a b \in C^{\prime}$ is the edge opposite to $e$. By [4, Lemma 2.4 (1)], $x \in N_{a}(f)$ and $y \in N_{b}(f)$ (or the other way around). Since $g \geq 5$ we get that $\mu_{x, y}^{\prime} \geq 1$.
Case 2. $C^{\prime}$ is an odd cycle.
By [4, Lemma $2.4(2)]$, if $\left|E(P) \cap V\left(C^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq 2$, then $\mu_{x, y}^{\prime} \geq 1$. We now claim that if $x=u_{i}$, where $i \neq 0,1, g-1$, then $\left|E(P) \cap E\left(C^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq 2$. Assume on the contrary that $P$ and $C^{\prime}$ share only one edge. Set $m=d(x, y)$ and $t=d(y, z)$. Then since $\left|C^{\prime}\right| \geq g$, we have $\left|P^{\prime}\right| \geq m+g-2$. On the other hand (recalling that $x=u_{i}, i \neq 0,1, g-1$ ), we observe that $\left|P^{\prime}\right| \leq t+g-2$. It follows that $m+g-2 \leq\left|P^{\prime}\right| \leq t+g-2$. Since clearly $t \leq m$, we conclude that $t=m$ and $\left|P^{\prime}\right|=t+g-2$. Consider now the path $Q$ from $y$ to $x=u_{i}$ that is a concatenation of a shortest $y, z$-path and a shortest $z, x$-path on $C$. Since $t=m$ and $d(y, C)=t$, the path $P$ uses no edge of $C$. It follows that $Q \neq P$. But since $|Q| \leq t+(g-1) / 2$ we have a contradiction because $Q$ is shorter than $P^{\prime}$ (which is a second shortest $y, x$-path). This proves the claim which in turn implies that $\mu_{u_{i}, y}^{\prime} \geq 1$ holds for any $i \neq 0,1, g-1$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{\{x, y\} \\ x \in C, y \notin C}} \mu_{x, y}^{\prime} \geq(n-g)(g-3) . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The theorem now follows by combining Equations (7), (8), (9), and (10).

As we have already observed, $P I_{v}(G) \geq n(n-1)$ holds for any graph $G$. Hence the bound of Theorem 3.2 is better than the bound of Theorem 3.1 for any graph $G$.

Note that in the proof of Theorem 3.2 we did not use the assumption on girth in order to obtain Equations (7), (8), and (9). Since in addition $n \geq g$ clearly holds, the following result holds for arbitrary graphs:

Corollary 3.3 If $G$ is a connected graph of order $n$ and girth $g$, then

$$
S z(G)-W(G) \geq P I_{v}(G)-n(n-1)+P(g) .
$$

Modifying the arguments from the proof of Theorem 3.2 to general graphs we also get:

Corollary 3.4 If $G$ is a connected graph of order $n$ and girth $g$, then

$$
S z(G)-W(G) \geq(n-g)(g-3)+P(g) .
$$

Proof. Define the matrix $B=\left[b_{i j}\right]$ as follows:

$$
b_{i j}= \begin{cases}1 ; & \left(x \in N_{u}\left(e_{j}\right) \text { and } y \in N_{v}\left(e_{j}\right)\right) \text { or }\left(x \in N_{v}\left(e_{j}\right) \text { and } y \in N_{u}\left(e_{j}\right)\right), \\ 0 ; & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

Then the sum of the entries of the column $e_{j}$ is $n_{u}\left(e_{j}\right) n_{v}\left(e_{j}\right)$ and the sum of the entries from the row which corresponds to the pair $\{x, y\}$ is the number of edges $e=u v$ such that $x$ and $y$ respectively belong to $N_{u}(e)$ and $N_{v}(e)$. Let $\gamma_{x, y}$ be the row sum corresponding to the pair $\{x, y\}$. Setting $\gamma_{x, y}^{\prime}=\gamma_{x, y}-d(x, y)$ we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S z(G)=\sum_{e_{j}} n_{u}\left(e_{j}\right) n_{v}\left(e_{j}\right)=\sum_{x, y} \gamma_{x, y}=\sum_{x, y} \gamma_{x, y}^{\prime}+W(G) . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

As already mentioned, to obtain Equations (8) and (9) we do not need the girth assumption, that is,

$$
\sum_{x, y \in V(C)} \gamma_{x, y}^{\prime} \geq P(g)
$$

Let next $x \in V(C)$ and $y \notin V(C)$. If $C$ is an even cycle, then $\gamma_{x, y}^{\prime} \geq 1$ holds by [4, Lemma 2.4 (1)], and if $C$ is odd, then by an argument similar to Case 2 in the proof of Theorem 3.2 we get that $\gamma_{u_{i}, y}^{\prime} \geq 1$ holds for each $i \neq 0,1, g-1$. It follows that

$$
\sum_{x \in V(C), y \notin V(C)} \gamma_{x, y}^{\prime} \geq(n-g)(g-3) .
$$

Plugging the above inequalities into (11) the result follows.
For a graph $G$, let $t(G)$ denote the number of triangles of $G$. Then we also have:

Corollary 3.5 If $G$ is a connected graph of order $n \geq 5$ and girth $g \geq 5$, then

$$
S z(G)-W(G) \geq M_{1}(G)-n(n-1)+(n-g)(g-3)+P(g) .
$$

In particular, if $G$ is a $k$-regular, then

$$
S z(G)-W(G) \geq n\left(k^{2}-n+1\right)+(n-g)(g-3)+P(g) .
$$

Proof. Combine Theorem 3.2 with the fact proved in [14] that $P I_{v}(G) \geq M_{1}(G)-6 t(G)$ holds for any connected graph $G$. Since $g \geq 5$, we have $t(G)=0$ as desired. The assertion for regular graphs then follows because $M_{1}(G)=n k^{2}$ when $G$ is $k$-regular.

For the cases $g=3$ and $g=4$ weaker bounds can be obtained using Corollary 3.3.
We conclude the paper with some comments on the following conjecture from [31].
Conjecture 3.6 Let $G$ be a graph of order $n$ and let $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{k}$ be blocks of $G$, none of them being complete. Let $\left|V\left(B_{i}\right)\right|=n_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq k$. Then $S z(G)-W(G) \geq$ $\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(2 n_{i}-6\right)$.

Since $\sum_{i=1}^{k} n_{i}=n+k-1$, the conjecture can be reformulated as $S z(G)-W(G) \geq$ $2 n-4 k-2$. The conjecture was proved in [31] for chordal graphs. Using Theorems 2.2 and 3.2 it is easy to see that the conjecture is true when $G$ is bipartite or $g \geq 5$. Also if $g=4$ and $\delta(G)$ is the minimum degree of $G$, then Corollaries 3.3 and 3.5 together with a simple calculation yield the validity of Conjecture 3.6 for graphs $G$ with $\delta(G)>\sqrt{|V(G)|+1}$.
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