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#### Abstract

The $\Theta$-graph $\Theta(G)$ of a partial cube $G$ is the intersection graph of the equivalence classes of the Djoković-Winkler relation. $\Theta$-graphs that are 2-connected, trees, or complete graphs are characterized. In particular, $\Theta(G)$ is complete if and only if $G$ can be obtained from $K_{1}$ by a sequence of (newly introduced) dense expansions. $\Theta$-graphs are also compared with familiar concepts of crossing graphs and $\tau$-graphs.
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## 1. Introduction

Motivated by the conjecture from [8] that the strong chromatic index $s^{\prime}(G)$ of a bipartite graph $G$ is at most $\Delta^{2}(G)$, Brešar [1] introduced the $\Theta$-graph $\Theta(G)$ of a partial cube $G$ as the intersection graph of the equivalence classes of the relation $\Theta$. More precisely, the vertex set of $\Theta(G)$ consists of the $\Theta$-classes of $G$, two $\Theta$-classes $E$ and $F$ being adjacent whenever there exist adjacent edges $e \in E$ and $f \in F$. Note that the $\Theta$-graph of a tree $T$ is the line graph $L(T)$ of $T$.

Median graphs are among most important examples of partial cubes. In [1] a problem was posed whether $\chi(\Theta(G))=\Delta(G)$ holds for any median graph $G$. An example is given in [4] demonstrating that this is not true in general. Nevertheless, the concept of the $\Theta$-graph showed to be useful to obtain good upper bounds for the strong chromatic index of special families of partial cubes. For instance, $s^{\prime}(G) \leq 2 \chi(\Theta(G))$ holds for all tree-like partial cubes $G$. See [2] for definition and results on tree-like partial cubes.

Two concepts similar to the $\Theta$-graph have been previously studied. The first one is the crossing graph of a partial cube $[12,3]$ and the second the $\tau$-graph of a partial cube [17, 11]. The purpose of this note is to study $\Theta$ graphs and compare them with the crossing graphs and the $\tau$-graphs. The latter two concepts are defined in the last section.

In the rest of this section we define necessary concepts. In Section 2 we characterize partial cubes for which $\Theta$-graphs are 2-connected and follow with characterizations of two extreme cases with respect to connectivity: partial cubes whose $\Theta$-graphs are trees and partial cubes with complete graphs as $\Theta$-graphs. In the last section we compare $\Theta$-graphs with crossing graphs and $\tau$-graphs. We characterize median graphs $G$ for which $\Theta(G)$ equals the $\tau$-graph of $G$, and median graphs $G$ for which $\Theta(G)$ equals the crossing graph of $G$. We also give several examples showing that the equality problem is more difficult in the general case and observe that one can build new examples with desired property using the Cartesian product of graphs.

For $u, v \in V(G)$, let $d_{G}(u, v)$, or $d(u, v)$ for short, denote the length of a shortest path in $G$ from $u$ to $v$. The interval $I(u, v)$ between two vertices $u$ and $v$ in $G$ is the set of all vertices on shortest paths between $u$ and $v$. A subgraph $H$ of a graph $G$ is isometric if $d_{H}(u, v)=d_{G}(u, v)$ for all $u, v \in V(H)$ and convex if $I(u, v) \subseteq V(H)$ for any $u, v \in V(H)$. A connected graph $G$ is a median graph if for every triple $u, v, w$ of its vertices $|I(u, v) \cap I(u, w) \cap I(v, w)|=1$.

The vertex set of the $n$-cube $Q_{n}$ consists of all $n$-tuples $b_{1} b_{2} \ldots b_{n}$ with $b_{i} \in\{0,1\}$, two vertices being adjacent if the corresponding tuples differ in precisely one place. A graph $G$ is a partial cube if $G$ is an isometric subgraph of some $Q_{n}$. Partial cubes, being subgraphs of hypercubes, are of course bipartite. It is well known that median graphs are partial cubes [15].

Edges $x y$ and $u v$ of a graph $G$ are in the Djoković-Winkler relation $\Theta$ if $d(x, u)+d(y, v) \neq d(x, v)+d(y, u)$. For bipartite graphs $G$, the definition of $\Theta$ can be simplified as follows: $e=x y$ and $f=u v$ are in relation $\Theta$ if $d(x, u)=d(y, v)$ and $d(x, v)=d(y, u)$, cf $[6,10]$. Relation $\Theta$ is reflexive and symmetric. In general $\Theta$ is not an equivalence relation. Winkler [18] proved that among bipartite graphs $\Theta$ is transitive precisely for partial cubes.

For a connected graph $G=(V, E)$ and an edge $a b$ of $G$ set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{a b}=\{w \in V \mid d(a, w)<d(b, w)\}, \\
& U_{a b}=\left\{w \in W_{a b} \mid w \text { has a neighbor in } W_{b a}\right\} \text { and } \\
& F_{a b}=\left\{e \in E \mid e \text { is an edge between } W_{a b} \text { and } W_{b a}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We will also use $W_{a b}$ and $U_{a b}$ to denote the graphs induced by $W_{a b}$ and $U_{a b}$, respectively. Note that if $G$ is bipartite then $V=W_{a b} \cup W_{b a}$. By the Djoković theorem from [7], a connected graph $G$ is a partial cube if and only if $G$ is bipartite and has convex $W_{a b}$ 's. Moreover, $F_{a b}$ 's coincide with the $\Theta$-classes of $G$. In addition, the set $F_{a b}$ forms a matching between $U_{a b}$ and $U_{b a}$ that induces an isomorphism between the subgraphs induced by $U_{a b}$ and $U_{b a}$.

## 2. $\Theta$-Graphs that are 2 -Connected, Trees, or Complete Graphs

Let $G$ be a partial cube with at least two edges. Since $G$ is connected so is $\Theta(G)$. In this section we first characterize partial cubes $G$ for which $\Theta(G)$ is 2-connected. Then we consider two extreme cases with respect to connectivity: partial cubes whose $\Theta$-graphs are trees and partial cubes with complete graphs as $\Theta$-graphs.

For our first result we recall the following facts about $\Theta$, see [10] for proofs.

Lemma 2.1. Let $G$ be a partial cube.
(i) Let $P$ be a shortest path in $G$. Then no two different edges of $P$ are in relation $\Theta$.
(ii) Suppose $P$ is a walk connecting the endvertices of an edge $e$. Then $P$ contains an edge $f$ with $e \Theta f$.
(iii) If $e=u v$ is a bridge then no edge from $W_{u v}$ is in relation $\Theta$ with an edge from $W_{v u}$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $G$ be a partial cube with at least five edges. Then $\Theta(G)$ is 2 -connected if and only if any bridge of $G$ has an endvertex of degree 1 .

Proof. Suppose that $a b$ is a bridge of $G$ such that both $a$ and $b$ are of degree at least 2 . Since $a b$ is a bridge, edges $e$ and $f$ from different components of $G \backslash a b$ are not in relation $\Theta$ by Lemma 2.1 (iii). Then for any neighbor $c$ of $a, c \neq b$, and any neighbor $d$ of $b, d \neq a$, any $F_{a c}, F_{b d}$-path in $\Theta(G)$ contains $F_{a b}$. It follows that $F_{a b}$ is a cut vertex of $\Theta(G)$.

Conversely, suppose that for every $\Theta$-class $E$ with only one edge, at least one of the endvertices of this edge is of degree 1 . We need to show that $\Theta(G)$ has no cut vertices.

Let $F_{a b}$ be an arbitrary $\Theta$-class of $G$ and assume first that $\left|F_{a b}\right|>1$. Let $a^{\prime} b^{\prime}$ be another edge of $F_{a b}$. Let $F_{u v}$ and $F_{x y}$ be two $\Theta$-classes such that $u v$ and $x y$ both belong to the subgraph induced by $W_{a b}$. We may without loss of generality assume that $d(u, x)<d(u, y)$. As $W_{a b}$ is convex, there exists a shortest $u, x$-path in $W_{a b}$. Therefore there exists an $F_{u v}, F_{x y}$-path in $\Theta(G)$ that does not contain $F_{a b}$. Suppose next $F_{u v}$ lies completely in $W_{a b}$ and $F_{x y}$ completely in $W_{b a}$. Let $P_{a}$ be a shortest $a, a^{\prime}$-path and $P_{b}$ a shortest $b, b^{\prime}$-path. By the Djoković theorem, $P_{a}$ lies in $W_{a b}$ and $P_{b}$ in $W_{b a}$. Moreover, Lemma 2.1 (i) and (ii) implies that for every $e \in P_{a}$ there exists $e^{\prime} \in P_{b}$ such that $e \Theta e^{\prime}$. It is now straightforward to find an $F_{u v}, F_{x y}$-path in $\Theta(G)$ that does not contain $F_{a b}$. We conclude that $F_{a b}$ is not a cut vertex of $\Theta(G)$ if $\left|F_{a b}\right|>1$.

Suppose now that $\left|F_{a b}\right|=1$, that is, $a b$ is a bridge. Then we may assume that $a$ is of degree 1 while $b$ is of degree at least 2 . Then the (open) neighborhood of $F_{a b}$ in $\Theta(G)$ induces a complete graph. Hence also in this case $F_{a b}$ is not a cut vertex, and we conclude that $\Theta(G)$ is 2-connected.

Crossing graphs and $\tau$-graphs are universal in the sense that every graph is a crossing graph of some partial cube [12] and that every graph is a $\tau$-graph
of some partial cube [11]. This property is not shared with $\Theta$-graphs. For instance, among trees, only paths can be represented as $\Theta$-graphs as the next result asserts.

Proposition 2.3. Let $G$ be partial cube. Then $\Theta(G)$ is a tree if and only if $G=C_{4}$ or $G=P_{n}, n \geq 2$. In these cases, $\Theta(G)$ is a path.

Proof. Suppose first that a partial cube $G$ is not a tree and let $C=C_{2 k}$ be a shortest cycle of $G$. Then $C$ is isometric. If $k \geq 3$, then $\Theta(G)$ contains $C_{k}$. Let $k=2$ and assume that $G \neq C_{4}$. Then considering an arbitrary edge adjacent to a 4-cycle of $G$ we infer that $\Theta(G)$ contains a triangle. So if $G$ contains a cycle and if $\Theta(G)$ is a tree, $G$ is the 4-cycle. Suppose next that $G$ is a tree. Then, if $G$ contains a vertex of degree at least three, $\Theta(G)$ contains a triangle again. If follows that $G$ must be a path $P_{n}$, and in that case $\Theta\left(P_{n}\right)=P_{n-1}$.

In the last result of this section we characterize partial cubes whose $\Theta$-graphs are complete graph. For this sake some preparation is needed.

Let $H$ be a connected graph. An isometric cover $H_{0}, H_{1}$ consists of two isometric subgraphs $H_{0}$ and $H_{1}$ of $H$ such that $H=H_{0} \cup H_{1}$ and $H_{0} \cap H_{1} \neq \emptyset$. For $i=0,1$ let $H_{0 i}$ be an isomorphic copy of $H_{i}$ and for any vertex $u \in H_{i}$, let $u_{i}$ be the corresponding vertex in $H_{0 i}$. The expansion of $H$ with respect to $H_{0}, H_{1}$ is the graph $G$ obtained from the disjoint union $H_{00} \cup H_{01}$, where for each $u \in H_{0} \cap H_{1}$ the vertices $u_{0}$ and $u_{1}$ are joined by an edge. A contraction is the reverse operation to the expansion.

Chepoi [5] proved that a graph is a partial cube if and only if it can be obtained from $K_{1}$ by a sequence of expansions. He followed the approach of Mulder [14, 15] who previously proved an analogous result for median graphs.

Let us call the expansion of a partial cube $H$ a dense expansion if any $\Theta$ class $E$ contains an edge with an endvertex in $H_{0} \cap H_{1}$ and for any different $\Theta$-classes $E$ and $F$ there exist adjacent edges $e \in E$ and $f \in F$ such that $e$ and $f$ both lie in $H_{0}$ or both belong to $H_{1}$. Then we have:

Theorem 2.4. Let $G$ be a partial cube. Then $\Theta(G)$ is a complete graph if and only if $G$ can be obtained from $K_{1}$ by a sequence of dense expansions.

Proof. Suppose $G$ is a partial cube obtained from $K_{1}$ by a sequence of dense expansions. Then, by the definition of the dense expansion, the new
$\Theta$-class of $G$ obtained by the expansion is adjacent in $\Theta(G)$ to any other $\Theta$-class of $G$. Moreover, any two other different $\Theta$-classes remain adjacent in $\Theta(G)$.

Conversely, suppose $\Theta(G)=K_{n}$. Let $E_{G}$ be an arbitrary fixed $\Theta$-class of $G$ and let $H$ be the contraction of $G$ with respect to $E$. Let $H_{0}, H_{1}$ be the corresponding isometric cover of $H$. Note first that $\Theta(H)=K_{n-1}$. Let $F_{H}$ be a $\Theta$-class of $H$. Then $F_{H}$ contains an edge with an endvertex in $H_{0} \cap H_{1}$, for otherwise the corresponding $\Theta$-class $F_{G}$ would not be adjacent to $E$ in $\Theta(G)$. Let next $F_{H}$ and $F_{H}^{\prime}$ be two different $\Theta$-classes of $H$. Then we infer that there must exist adjacent edges $f \in F_{H}$ and $f^{\prime} \in F_{H}^{\prime}$ such that $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ both lie in $H_{0}$ or both in $H_{1}$, for otherwise $F_{G}$ and $F_{G}^{\prime}$ would not be adjacent in $\Theta(G)$. We conclude that $G$ is obtained from $H$ by a dense expansion. Induction completes the proof.

The above theorem is of similar nature as [12, Proposition 4.4], where partial cubes with complete crossing graphs are characterized using the so called all-color expansion. We close the section with the following:

Problem 2.5. Characterize graphs that can be represented as $\Theta$-graphs. More precisely, for which graphs $X$ there exists a partial cube $G$ such that $X=\Theta(G)$ ?

## 3. $\Theta$-Graphs Versus Crossing Graphs and $\tau$-Graphs

In this section we compare $\Theta$-graphs of partial cubes with their crossing graphs and $\tau$-graphs. We first define the latter two concepts. Let $G$ be a partial cube. Then both, its crossing graph $G^{\#}$, and its $\tau$-graph $G^{\tau}$, have $\Theta$-classes of $G$ as vertices. In $G^{\#}$ two $\Theta$-classes are adjacent if edges from both classes appear on a common isometric cycle, while in $G^{\tau}$ two $\Theta$-classes $E$ and $F$ are adjacent whenever there exist edges $e \in E$ and $f \in F$ which induce a convex path on three vertices.

For a subgraph $X$ of a graph $G$ let $\partial X$ be the set of edges with one endvertex in $X$ and the other in $G \backslash X$. For the proof of the next proposition we need to recall two lemmata.

Lemma 3.1 (Convexity Lemma). An induced connected subgraph $H$ of a bipartite graph $G$ is convex if and only if no edge of $\partial H$ is in relation $\Theta$ to an edge in $H$.

Lemma 3.2. Let $G$ be a median graph. Then $\Theta$-classes $E$ and $F$ are adjacent in $G^{\tau}$ if and only if there exist adjacent edges $e \in E$ and $f \in F$ and there exist no two edges $e^{\prime} \in E$ and $f^{\prime} \in F$ that lie in a common $C_{4}$.

Lemmata 3.1 and 3.2 are from [9] and [11], respectively. Using them we arrive at the main theorem of this section.

Proposition 3.3. Let $G$ be a median graph. Then
(i) $G^{\tau} \subseteq \Theta(G)$, where the equality holds if and only if $G$ is a tree.
(ii) $G^{\#} \subseteq \Theta(G)$, where the equality holds if and only if $G$ is a hypercube.

Proof. (i) Clearly, if $G$ is a tree then $G^{\tau}=\Theta(G)=L(T)$. Suppose that $G$ is not a tree. Then $G$ contains a cycle and since $G$ is median, it contains a 4-cycle uvwz. Then $F_{u v} F_{v w} \in E(\Theta(G))$ but $F_{u v} F_{v w} \notin E\left(G^{\tau}\right)$ by Lemma 3.2.
(ii) Suppose that $F_{a b} F_{u v} \in E\left(G^{\#}\right)$. Then $\Theta$-classes $F_{a b}$ and $F_{u v}$ appear on a common 4-cycle. This implies that $F_{a b} F_{u v} \in E(\Theta(G))$, hence $G^{\#} \subseteq$ $\Theta(G)$.

Let $G=Q_{n}$. Then $G^{\#}=\Theta(G)=K_{n}$. Suppose next that $G$ contains $n \Theta$-classes but $G^{\#} \neq K_{n}$. Since the crossing graph of a median graph $G$ is $K_{n}$ if and only if $G=Q_{n}$, see [13, Theorem 11] (or [12, Proposition 4.1]), we infer that $G \neq Q_{n}$. In addition, using [10, Lemma 2.41], there exists an edge $u v$ of $G$, such that $W_{u v} \backslash U_{u v} \neq \emptyset$. So there exists an edge $a b \in W_{u v}$ such that $a \in W_{u v} \backslash U_{u v}$ and $b \in U_{u v}$. Then $F_{a b} F_{u v} \in E(\Theta(G))$. On the other hand, Lemma 3.1 implies that $F_{a b} F_{u v} \notin E\left(G^{\#}\right)$. So $G^{\#} \neq \Theta(G)$ if $G$ is not a hypercube.
We note that Proposition 3.3 (ii) is of similar nature as a characterization of hypercubes among median graphs as the graphs for which the union of two non-empty disjoint half-spaces equals $G$, see [16, Further Topics 6.35.3].

In the class of all partial cubes the problem of when the $\Theta$-graph is equal to the crossing graph or to the $\tau$-graph seems to be rather difficult. We first compare $\Theta$-graphs with $\tau$-graphs. It is easily seen that also in this case $G^{\tau} \subseteq \Theta(G)$ holds. But now we have more graphs than trees for which equality holds. Clearly, $G^{\tau}=\Theta(G)$ holds for any partial cube with no 4cycles. However, the same is also true for $Q_{3}^{-}$, the vertex deleted 3 -cube, as well as the graph obtained from $Q_{3}^{-}$by expanding its isometric 6 -cycle (in this case the derived graphs are $K_{4}$ ).

Comparing $G^{\#}$ with $\Theta(G)$ we find that none of possible inclusions hold. For instance, $C_{8}^{\#}=K_{4}$ and $\Theta\left(C_{8}\right)=C_{4}$, so $\Theta\left(C_{8}\right) \subset C_{8}^{\#}$. Recall that for
any tree $T$ on at least three vertices we have $T^{\#} \subset \Theta(T)$. The graphs $C_{6}$, $Q_{3}^{-}$and $Q_{3}^{-}$expanded as above are graphs for which the equality holds.

To obtain more graphs $G$ with $G^{\#}=\Theta(G)$ from known graphs with this property we first observe the following lemma. The Cartesian product $H$ of graphs $G$ and $H$ is the graph with vertex set $V(G) \times V(H)$ and $(a, x)(b, y) \in E(G \square H)$ whenever either $a b \in E(G)$ and $x=y$, or $a=b$ and $x y \in E(H)$. Let $G \oplus H$ denote the join of graphs $G$ and $H$. Then:

Lemma 3.4. Let $G$ and $H$ be partial cubes. Then $\Theta(G \square H)=\Theta(G) \oplus$ $\Theta(H)$.

Proof. The $\Theta$-classes of $G \square H$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the union of the $\Theta$-classes of $G$ and the $\Theta$-classes of $H$, cf. [10, Lemma 4.3]. In other words, $V(\Theta(G \square H))=V(\Theta(G)) \cup V(\Theta(H))$. By the definition of the Cartesian product, the $\Theta$-class of $G \square H$ corresponding to a $\Theta$-class of $G$ and the $\Theta$-class of $G \square H$ corresponding to a $\Theta$-classes of $H$ both appear on a common 4-cycle, hence the proposition follows.

Proposition 3.5. Let $G$ and $H$ be partial cubes with $G^{\#}=\Theta(G)$ and $H^{\#}=$ $\Theta(H)$. Then $(G \square H)^{\#}=\Theta(G \square H)$.

Proof. Apply Lemma 3.4 and the fact that $(G \square H)^{\#}=G^{\#} \oplus H^{\#}$, see [12, Proposition 6.1].
To conclude the paper we note that for trees $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ Lemma 3.4 implies that $L\left(T_{1} \square T_{2}\right)=L\left(T_{1}\right) \oplus L\left(T_{2}\right)$.
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