

# The general position number of integer lattices

Sandi Klavžar <sup>a,b,c</sup>

Gregor Rus <sup>c,d</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, University of Ljubljana, Slovenia

<sup>b</sup> Faculty of Natural Sciences and Mathematics, University of Maribor, Slovenia

<sup>c</sup> Institute of Mathematics, Physics and Mechanics, Ljubljana, Slovenia

<sup>d</sup> Faculty of Organizational Sciences, University of Maribor, Slovenia

## Abstract

Given a connected graph  $G$ , the general position number  $\text{gp}(G)$  of  $G$  is the cardinality of a largest set  $S$  of vertices such that no three pairwise distinct vertices from  $S$  lie on a common geodesic. The  $n$ -dimensional grid graph  $P_\infty^n$  is the Cartesian product of  $n$  copies of the two-way infinite path  $P_\infty$ . It is proved that if  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\text{gp}(P_\infty^n) = 2^{2^{n-1}}$ . The result was earlier known only for  $n \in \{1, 2\}$  and partially for  $n = 3$ .

**E-mails:** sandi.klavzar@fmf.uni-lj.si, gregor.rus4@um.si

**Key words:** general position problem; Cartesian product of graphs; integer lattice; Erdős-Szekeres theorem

**AMS Subj. Class.:** 05C12, 05C76, 11B75

## 1 Introduction and preliminaries

Let  $G$  be a connected graph. A set  $S \subseteq V(G)$  is a *general position set* if  $d_G(u, v) \neq d_G(u, w) + d_G(w, v)$  holds for every  $\{u, v, w\} \in \binom{S}{3}$ , where  $d_G(x, y)$  denotes the shortest-path distance between  $x$  and  $y$  in  $G$ . The *general position number*  $\text{gp}(G)$  of  $G$  is the cardinality of a largest general position set in  $G$ . This concept and terminology was introduced in [10], in part motivated by the century old Dudeney's No-three-in-line problem [3]. A couple of years earlier and in different terminology, the problem was also considered in [13]. Moreover, in the special case of hypercubes, the general position problem has been studied back in 1995 by Körner [9]. Following these seminal papers, the general position problem has been studied from different perspectives in several subsequent papers [1, 5, 7, 8, 11, 12].

The *Cartesian product*  $G \square H$  of graphs  $G$  and  $H$  is the graph with the vertex set  $V(G) \times V(H)$ , vertices  $(g, h)$  and  $(g', h')$  being adjacent if either  $g = g'$  and  $hh' \in E(H)$ , or  $h = h'$  and  $gg' \in E(G)$ . The Cartesian product  $G_1 \square \cdots \square G_n$ , where each factor  $G_i$  is isomorphic to  $G$ , will be shortly denoted by  $G^n$ . If  $P_\infty$  denotes the two-way infinite path, then one of the main results from [11] asserts that  $\text{gp}(P_\infty^2) = 4$ . In the same paper it was also proved that  $10 \leq \text{gp}(P_\infty^3) \leq 16$ . The lower bound 10 was improved to 14 in [8]. In this note we round these investigations by proving the following result.

**Theorem 1** *If  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\text{gp}(P_\infty^n) = 2^{2^{n-1}}$ .*

In the rest of the section we list some further notation and preliminary results. In the next section we prove the theorem. In the concluding section we give a couple of consequences of the theorem and pose an open problem.

For a positive integer  $k$  we will use the notation  $[k] = \{1, \dots, k\}$ . Throughout we will set  $V(P_\infty) = \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $u, v \in V(P_\infty)$  are adjacent if and only if  $|u - v| = 1$ . With this convention we have  $V(P_\infty^n) = \mathbb{Z}^n$ . If  $u \in V(P_\infty^n)$ , then for the coordinates of  $u$  we will use the notation  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$ . If a vertex from  $V(P_\infty^n)$  will be indexed, say  $u_i \in V(P_\infty^n)$ , then this notation will be extended as  $u_i = (u_{i,1}, \dots, u_{i,n})$ . From the Distance Lemma [6, Lemma 12.2] it follows that

$$d_{P_\infty^n}(u, v) = \sum_{i=1}^n |u_i - v_i|. \quad (1)$$

From here it is not difficult to deduce that a vertex  $w \in V(P_\infty^n)$  lies on a shortest  $u, v$ -path in  $P_\infty^n$  if and only if  $\min\{u_i, v_i\} \leq w_i \leq \max\{u_i, v_i\}$  holds for every  $i \in [n]$ .

A sequence of real numbers is *monotone* if it is monotonically increasing or monotonically decreasing. The celebrated Erdős-Szekeres result on monotone sequences read as follows (cf. also [2, Theorem 1.1]).

**Theorem 2** [4] *For every  $n \geq 2$ , every sequence  $(a_1, \dots, a_N)$  of real numbers with  $N \geq (n-1)^2 + 1$  elements contains a monotone subsequence of length  $n$ .*

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1

Theorem is obviously true for  $n = 1$  and was proved for  $n = 2$  in [11, Corollary 3.2].

Let now  $n \geq 3$  and let  $U^{(1)} = \{u_1, \dots, u_{2^{2^{n-1}}+1}\}$  be a set of vertices of  $P_\infty^n$  of cardinality  $2^{2^{n-1}} + 1$ . We may without loss of generality assume that the first coordinates of the vertices from  $U^{(1)}$  are ordered, that is,  $u_{1,1} \leq u_{2,1} \leq$

$\dots \leq u_{2^{2^{n-1}}+1,1}$ . By Theorem 2, there exists a subset  $U^{(2)}$  of  $U^{(1)}$  of cardinality  $2^{2^{n-2}} + 1$ , such that the second coordinates of the vertices from  $U^{(2)}$  form a monotone (sub)sequence. Inductively applying this argument we arrive at a set  $U^{(n)} \subset U^{(n-1)}$  of cardinality  $2^{2^{n-n}} + 1 = 3$ , in which the  $n^{\text{th}}$  coordinates of the three vertices form a monotone (sub)sequence. As  $U^{(n)} \subset U^{(n-1)} \subset \dots \subset U^{(1)}$ , the induction argument yields that for every  $i \in [n-1]$ , the  $i^{\text{th}}$  coordinates of the vertices from  $U^{(n)}$  likewise form a monotone (sub)sequence. if  $U^{(n)} = \{u, v, w\}$ , where  $u_1 \leq v_1 \leq w_1$ , this implies (having (1) in mind) that  $v$  lies on a shortest  $u, w$ -path. We conclude that  $\text{gp}(P_\infty^n) \leq 2^{2^{n-1}}$ .

To prove the other inequality we are going to inductively construct a general position set  $X^{(n)} = \{x_1^{(n)}, \dots, x_{2^{2^{n-1}}}^{(n)}\}$  for  $n \geq 2$  as follows. Set  $X^{(2)} = \{(1, 2), (2, 1), (3, 4), (4, 3)\}$ , where  $x_1^{(2)} = (1, 2)$ ,  $x_2^{(2)} = (2, 1)$ ,  $x_3^{(2)} = (3, 4)$ , and  $x_4^{(2)} = (4, 3)$ . Suppose now that  $X^{(n-1)}$  is defined for some  $n \geq 3$ , and construct  $X^{(n)}$  as follows. Set first

- $x_{i,1}^{(n)} = i$ ,  $i \in [2^{2^{n-1}}]$ .

Next, write each  $i \in [2^{2^{n-1}}]$  as  $i = p \cdot 2^{2^{n-2}} + r$ , where  $0 \leq p < 2^{2^{n-2}}$  and  $r \in [2^{2^{n-2}}]$ , and set

- $x_{i,j}^{(n)} = (x_{(p+1),j}^{(n-1)} - 1) \cdot 2^{2^{n-2}} + x_{r,j}^{(n-1)}$  for each  $j \in \{2, \dots, n-1\}$ , and
- $x_{i,n}^{(n)} = x_{(p+1)2^{2^{n-2}}-r+1,n-1}^{(n)}$ .

Roughly speaking, for the  $j^{\text{th}}$  coordinate, where  $j \in \{2, \dots, n-1\}$ , we partition the sequence  $(x_{i,j}^{(n)})_{i=1}^{2^{2^{n-1}}}$  into  $2^{2^{n-2}}$  blocks each of  $2^{2^{n-2}}$  values and sort the blocks as well as the values inside the blocks according to the values  $(x_{i,j}^{(n-1)})_{i=1}^{2^{2^{n-2}}}$ . The values of the  $n^{\text{th}}$  coordinate is then obtained from the values of the  $(n-1)^{\text{th}}$  coordinate by reversion the sequence in each of the  $2^{2^{n-2}}$  blocks, while keeping the sequence of the blocks. For example, the coordinates of the vertices from  $X^{(3)}$  are shown in Table 1.

$i$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
$x_{i,1}^{(3)}$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
$x_{i,2}^{(3)}$	6	5	8	7	2	1	4	3	14	13	16	15	10	9	12	11
$x_{i,3}^{(3)}$	7	8	5	6	3	4	1	2	15	16	13	14	11	12	9	10

Table 1: Coordinates of the vertices from  $X^{(3)}$ .

To complete the proof it suffices to show that for each  $n \geq 2$ , the set  $X^{(n)}$  forms a general position set of  $P_\infty^n$ . We proceed by induction on  $n$ , the base

case  $n = 2$  being clear. Suppose now that  $X^{(n-1)}$  is a general position set of  $P_\infty^{n-1}$  and consider  $X^{(n)}$ . Partition the set  $[2^{2^{n-1}}]$  into  $2^{2^{n-2}}$  blocks  $\{1, \dots, 2^{2^{n-2}}\}, \{2^{2^{n-2}} + 1, \dots, 2^{2^{n-2}+1}\}, \dots$ . Let  $x_i^{(n)}, x_j^{(n)}$ , and  $x_k^{(n)}$  be pairwise different vertices from  $X^{(n)}$  and consider the following three cases, where again write each number  $m \in [2^{2^{n-1}}]$  as  $m = p_m \cdot 2^{2^{n-2}} + r_m$ , where  $0 \leq p_m < 2^{2^{n-2}}$  and  $r_m \in [2^{2^{n-2}}]$ .

If  $i, j, k$  belong to the same block, that is, if  $p_i = p_j = p_k$ , then by the induction hypothesis  $n - 1$  coordinates assure that  $x_i^{(n)}, x_j^{(n)}$ , and  $x_k^{(n)}$  do not lie on a common geodesic. If  $p_i, p_j$ , and  $p_k$  are pairwise different, then we can consider their blocks. Considering the whole blocks as single contracted vertices, the induction hypothesis assures that these contracted vertices do not lie on a common geodesic, which in turn implies then also  $x_i^{(n)}, x_j^{(n)}$ , and  $x_k^{(n)}$  do not lie on a common geodesic. In the last case assume without loss of generality that  $p_i = p_j < p_k$ . Further assuming without loss of generality that  $r_i < r_j$ , we have  $x_{i,1}^{(n)} < x_{j,1}^{(n)} < x_{k,1}^{(n)}$ . Hence if  $x_i^{(n)}, x_j^{(n)}$ , and  $x_k^{(n)}$  lie on a common geodesic, then necessarily  $x_j^{(n)}$  lies between  $x_i^{(n)}$  and  $x_k^{(n)}$ . If  $x_{i,n-1}^{(n)} < x_{j,n-1}^{(n)}$ , then  $x_{i,n}^{(n)} > x_{j,n}^{(n)}$ , so  $x_i^{(n)}, x_j^{(n)}$ , and  $x_k^{(n)}$  do not lie on a common geodesic. Analogously we see that the same conclusion follows if  $x_{i,n-1}^{(n)} > x_{j,n-1}^{(n)}$ . Hence in each case the vertices  $x_i^{(n)}, x_j^{(n)}$ , and  $x_k^{(n)}$  do not lie on a common geodesic and we are done.

### 3 Concluding remarks

Recall that a subgraph  $H$  of a graph  $G$  is *isometric* if  $d_H(u, v) = d_G(u, v)$  holds for each pair of vertices  $u, v \in V(H)$ . Since  $P_{i_1} \square \dots \square P_{i_n}$  is an isometric subgraph of  $P_\infty^n$ , Theorem 1 immediately implies:

**Corollary 3** *If  $n \geq 2$ , and  $i_1, \dots, i_n \geq 2^{2^{n-1}}$ , then  $\text{gp}(P_{i_1} \square \dots \square P_{i_n}) = 2^{2^{n-1}}$ .*

More generally, if a graph  $G$  contains an isometric grid  $P_{i_1} \square \dots \square P_{i_n}$ , where each  $i_j \geq 2^{2^{n-1}}$ , then  $\text{gp}(G) \geq 2^{2^{n-1}}$ . For instance:

**Corollary 4** *If  $n \geq 2$ , and  $i_1, \dots, i_n \geq 2^{2^{n-1}+1}$ , then  $\text{gp}(C_{i_1} \square \dots \square C_{i_n}) \geq 2^{2^{n-1}}$ .*

From [5] we know that  $\text{gp}(G \square H) \geq \text{gp}(G) + \text{gp}(H) - 2$  holds for finite, connected graphs  $G$  and  $H$ . Since the general position number of a path is 2, Corollary 3 demonstrates that the difference in the inequality can be arbitrary large.

In [8] a formula for the number of general position sets of cardinality 4 in  $P_r \square P_s$  (that is, of largest general position sets) is determined for each  $r, s \geq 2$ . Because of this result and Corollary 3, an interesting and intriguing problem is to determine number of largest general position sets in  $P_{i_1} \square \dots \square P_{i_n}$ , where  $n \geq 3$  and  $i_1, \dots, i_n \geq 2^{2^{n-1}}$ .

# Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the financial support from the Slovenian Research Agency (research core funding No. P1-0297 and projects J1-9109, J1-1693, N1-0095, N1-0108).

# References

- [1] B.S. Anand, S.V. Ullas Chandran, M. Changat, S. Klavžar, E.J. Thomas, Characterization of general position sets and its applications to cographs and bipartite graphs, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 359 (2019) 84–89.
- [2] B. Bukh, J. Matoušek, Erdős-Szekeres-type statements: Ramsey function and decidability in dimension 1, *Duke Math. J.* 163 (2014) 2243–2270.
- [3] H.E. Dudeney, *Amusements in Mathematics*, Nelson, Edinburgh, 1917.
- [4] P. Erdős, G. Szekeres, A combinatorial problem in geometry, *Compositio Math.* 2 (1935) 463–470.
- [5] M. Ghorbani, S. Klavžar, H.R. Maimani, M. Momeni, F. Rahimi-Mahid, G. Rus, The general position problem on Kneser graphs and on some graph operations, *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory* (2019) doi:10.7151/dmgt.2269.
- [6] W. Imrich, S. Klavžar, D.F. Rall, *Topics in Graph Theory: Graphs and Their Cartesian Product*, A K Peters, Wellesley, MA, 2008.
- [7] S. Klavžar, I.G. Yero, The general position problem and strong resolving graphs, *Open Math.* 17 (2019) 1126–1135.
- [8] S. Klavžar, B. Patkós, G. Rus, I.G. Yero, On general position sets in Cartesian grids, *arXiv:1907.04535 [math.CO]* (July 25, 2019).
- [9] J. Körner, On the extremal combinatorics of the Hamming space, *J. Combin. Theory Ser A* 71 (1995) 112–126.
- [10] P. Manuel, S. Klavžar, A general position problem in graph theory, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* 98 (2018) 177–187.
- [11] P. Manuel, S. Klavžar, The graph theory general position problem on some interconnection networks, *Fund. Inform.* 163 (2018) 339–350.
- [12] B. Patkós, On the general position problem on Kneser graphs, *arXiv:1903.08056 [math.CO]* (19 Mar 2019), also: *Ars Math. Contemp.*, to appear.
- [13] S. V. Ullas Chandran, G. Jaya Parthasarathy, The geodesic irredundant sets in graphs, *Int. J. Math. Combin.* 4 (2016) 135–143.