On the irregularity of π -permutation graphs, Fibonacci cubes, and trees

Yaser Alizadeh ^a Emeric Deutsch ^b Sandi Klavžar ^{c,d,e}
April 26, 2019

- ^a Department of Mathematics, Hakim Sabzevari University, Sabzevar, Iran e-mail: y.alizadeh@hsu.ac.ir
 - b Polytechnic Institute of New York University, United States e-mail: EmericDeutsch@msn.com
 - ^c Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, University of Ljubljana, Slovenia e-mail: sandi.klavzar@fmf.uni-lj.si
- d Faculty of Natural Sciences and Mathematics, University of Maribor, Slovenia
 - e Institute of Mathematics, Physics and Mechanics, Ljubljana, Slovenia

Abstract

The irregularity of a graph G is the sum of $|\deg(u) - \deg(v)|$ over all edges uv of G. In this paper, this invariant is considered on π -permutation graphs, Fibonacci cubes, and trees. An upper bound on the irregularity of π -permutations graphs is given and π -permutation graphs that attain the equality are characterized. The concept of the irregularity is extended to arbitrary edge subsets and applied to permutation edges of π -permutation graphs. An exact formula for the irregularity of Fibonacci cubes is proved. An upper bound on the irregularity of trees in terms of the diameter is given and trees that attain the equality are characterized.

Key words: irregularity of graph; π -permutation graph; Fibonacci cube; tree AMS Subj. Class: 05C07; 05C35

1 Introduction

The degree of a vertex v of a graph G = (V(G), E(G)) is denoted by $\deg_G(v)$. Graphs in which all vertices have the same degree, that is, regular graphs, are in

the center of interest of the graph theory community. If G is not regular, then it is called *irregular*, cf. [5], and one is interested in how irregular it is. For this sake let the *imbalance* imb_G(e) of an edge $e = uv \in E(G)$ be defined by

$$\operatorname{imb}_{G}(e) = |\deg_{G}(u) - \deg_{G}(v)|.$$

The imbalance of an edge is thus a local measure of non-regularity of a given graph, cf. [7], where Ramsey problems with repeated degrees were investigated. To measure graph's global non-regularity, different approaches have been proposed; they are nicely presented in two recent papers [4,29]. One of the most natural such measures is the irregularity irr(G) of G (lately also called the $Albertson\ index$) defined as [6]:

$$\operatorname{irr}(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} |\operatorname{deg}_G(u) - \operatorname{deg}_G(v)| = \sum_{e \in E(G)} \operatorname{imb}_G(e).$$

Let us explicitly mention some of the papers in which the irregularity has been studied. In [27] related extremal problems are proved; the paper [35] reports several bounds on irregularity; the paper [14] gives a spectral bound for graph irregularity that improves a bound from [35]; in [19] bipartite graphs having maximum possible irregularity are determined; the irregularity of some graph families that are important in chemistry is reported in [3]; see also [28] for the role of irregularity indices used as molecular descriptors. We also point out that graphs in which $\mathrm{imb}_G(e) = 1$ holds for all edges have been recently investigated in [17] and named stepwise irregular graphs.

In this paper we focus on the irregularity of three classes of graphs: π -permutation graphs, Fibonacci cubes, and trees. In the next section we give an upper bound on the irregularity of π -permutation graphs which is, roughly speaking, stronger by a factor of 4 than the corresponding bound for general graphs. We also characterize the π -permutation graphs that attain the equality. In Section 3 we extend the concept of the irregularity to arbitrary edge subsets and prove a related upper bound for the irregularity of permutation edges in π -permutation graphs. In Section 4 we prove an exact formula for the irregularity of Fibonacci cubes. In the final section we prove an upper bound for the irregularity of trees in terms of the diameter and characterize the graphs that attain the equality. We also give bounds for the irregularity of π -permutation graphs over trees.

In the rest of the introduction we give some further, basic definitions used in this paper. All graphs in this paper are simple and connected. The order (= number of vertices) and the size (= number of edges) of a graph G = (V(G), E(G)) are denoted with n(G) and m(G), respectively. If $W \subseteq V(G)$, then $\langle W \rangle$ denotes the subgraph of G induced by W. The minimum and the maximum degree of vertices from G are denoted by $\delta(G)$ and $\delta(G)$, respectively. A vertex $v \in V(G)$ with

 $\deg_G(v) = \Delta(G) - 1$ is a universal vertex of G. The distance $d_G(u, v)$ between vertices u and v of a graph G is the number of edges on a u, v-geodesic. The diameter $\operatorname{diam}(G)$ of G is the length of a longest geodesic in G. For a positive integer n we will denote the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with [n].

2 π -permutation graphs

Let G' and G'' be disjoint copies of a graph G and let $\pi: V(G') \to V(G'')$ be a bijection, in other words, π is a permutation on V(G). The π -permutation graph G^{π} of G has the vertex set $V(G^{\pi}) = V(G') \cup V(G'')$ and the edge set $E(G^{\pi}) = E(G') \cup E(G'') \cup E_{G}^{\pi}$, where

$$E_G^{\pi} = \{uv : u \in V(G'), v \in V(G''), v = \pi(u)\}.$$

Hence, a π -permutation graph is obtained from two disjoint copies of a given graph by adding a matching between them. This concept was introduced half a century ago in [10] and further investigated in a series of papers including [9, 13, 16, 18, 34]. We point out that the term "permutation graph" is also frequently used for intersection graphs of the lines representing a permutation; see, for example [12]. In this paper we are only interested in the former variation which will be emphasized by speaking of π -permutation graphs and by the notation G^{π} .

Let G^{π} be a π -permutation and G' and G'' be two isomorphic copies of G in G^{π} . If $u \in V(G)$, then the vertices corresponding to u in G' and G'' will be denoted respectively by u' and u''. We begin with the following simple result.

Proposition 2.1 If G is a graph and π is a permutation on V(G), then

$$\operatorname{irr}(G^{\pi}) = 2 \cdot \operatorname{irr}(G) + \sum_{uv \in V(G)} |\operatorname{deg}_{G}(u) - \operatorname{deg}_{G}(\pi(u))|.$$

In particular, if π induces an automorphism of G, then $\operatorname{irr}(G^{\pi}) = 2 \cdot \operatorname{irr}(G)$.

Proof. Let G' and G'' be the isomorphic copies of G in G^{π} . If $u \in V(G)$, then $\deg_{G^{\pi}}(u') = \deg_{G^{\pi}}(u'') = \deg_{G}(u) + 1$, and, consequently, E(G') and E(G'') each contribute $\operatorname{irr}(G)$ to $\operatorname{irr}(G^{\pi})$. For the same reason, each matching edge $u'\pi(u')$ contributes $|\deg_{G}(u) - \deg_{G}(\pi(u))|$ to $\operatorname{irr}(G^{\pi})$, and, consequently, the first assertion follows. The second assertion then follows because automorphisms preserve degrees. \square

In [2,32] it was proved in two different ways that if G is a graph of order n = n(G), then

$$\operatorname{irr}(G) \le \left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor \left\lceil \frac{2n}{3} \right\rceil \left(\left\lceil \frac{2n}{3} \right\rceil - 1 \right) = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor \left(n - \left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor \right) \left(n - \left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor - 1 \right). \tag{1}$$

Moreover, let $KS_{p,q}$, $p, q \ge 1$, be the *clique-star graph*, that is, the join of a complete graph K_p and an edge-less graph \bar{K}_q . (The *join* of graphs G and H is the graph obtained from the disjoint union of G and H by adding all possible edges between vertices of G and vertices of H.) Then the equality in (1) is attained if and only if G is $KS_{\lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil, \lceil \frac{2n}{3} \rceil}$ or, if $n \equiv 2 \mod 3$, G is $KS_{\lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil, \lceil \frac{2n}{3} \rceil}$.

Below we prove a result analogous to (1) for π -permutation graphs, for which we first need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2 If G is a graph of maximum irregularity among all graphs of order n, then G has at most $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ universal vertices.

Proof. Suppose on the contrary that G is a graph with maximal irregularity among all graphs of order n such that G contains $q = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$ universal vertices. Clearly, G is not complete, so that q < n.

Let U be the set of universal vertices of G and let $u \in Q$. Let in addition $W = V(G) \setminus U$ and let w be a vertex (from W) with $\deg_G(w) = \delta(G)$. Removing the edge uw from G, the contribution to the irregularity of the edges between the sets $U \setminus \{u\}$ and $\{u, w\}$ increases by 1. On the other hand, the contribution of the edges between $\{u, w\}$ and W decreases by 1. Therefore,

$$irr(G - uw) - irr(G) = q - 1 + \deg_{\langle W \rangle}(w) - (n - q - 1)$$

= $2q - n + \deg_{\langle W \rangle}(w) > 0$,

a contradiction. \Box

Theorem 2.3 If G is a graph of order n = n(G) and π is a permutation on V(G), then

$$\operatorname{irr}(G^{\pi}) \le 2 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor \left(\left\lceil \frac{2n}{3} \right\rceil^2 - 1 \right).$$

Moreover, the equality holds if and only if $G = KS_{\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor, \lceil \frac{2n}{3} \rceil}$.

Proof. Let a graph G and a permutation π of V(G) be selected such that the graph G^{π} has the maximum irregularity among all permutation graphs over graphs of order n. Let $V(G) = U \cup W$, where $U = \{u_1, \ldots, u_s\}$ is the set of universal vertices of G and $W = V(G) \setminus S = \{w_1, \ldots, w_{n-s}\}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\deg_G(w_1) \leq \cdots \leq \deg(w_{n-s})$. Under these assumptions and with Lemma 2.2 in mind, we may assume without loss of generality that

$$\pi(u_i') = w_i'', \pi(w_i') = u_i'', i \in [s], \text{ and } \pi(w_{s+i}') = w_{n-i+1}, i \in [n-s].$$
 (2)

Let G' be the spanning subgraph of G obtained from G by removing all the edges of $\langle W \rangle$. If $e = uw \in E(G)$, where $u \in U$ and $w \in W$, then

$$imb_{G'}(e) = imb_{G}(e) + \deg_{\langle W \rangle}(w).$$
(3)

If $e \in E(\langle W \rangle)$, then $\mathrm{imb}_G(e) \leq n - s - 3$ and consequently

$$\sum_{e \in E(\langle W \rangle)} imb_G(e) \le \frac{1}{2} \sum_{w \in W} \deg_{\langle W \rangle}(w)(n - s - 3). \tag{4}$$

Setting

$$X = \sum_{e \in E_{\sigma}^{\pi}} \operatorname{imb}_{(G')^{\pi}}(e) - \operatorname{imb}_{G^{\pi}}(e),$$

and having in mind that $E_G^{\pi} = E_{G'}^{\pi}$, we can estimate as follows:

$$X = 2\sum_{i=1}^{s} \left(\operatorname{imb}_{(G')^{\pi}}(u'_{i}w''_{i}) - \operatorname{imb}_{G^{\pi}}(u'_{i}w''_{i}) \right)$$

$$+ 2\sum_{i=1}^{n-s} \left| \operatorname{imb}_{(G')^{\pi}}(w'_{s+i}w''_{n-i+1}) - \operatorname{imb}_{G^{\pi}}(w'_{s+i}w''_{n-i+1}) \right|$$

$$\geq 2\sum_{i=1}^{s} \deg_{\langle W \rangle}(w_{i}) - 2\sum_{i=1}^{n-s} \left| \deg_{\langle W \rangle}(w_{s+i} - \deg_{\langle W \rangle}(w_{n-i+1}) \right|$$

$$\geq 2\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s} \deg_{\langle W \rangle}(w_{i}) - \sum_{i=s+1}^{n-s} \deg_{\langle W \rangle}(w_{i}) \right)$$
(5)

From (3)-(5) we get

$$\operatorname{irr}((G')^{\pi}) - \operatorname{irr}(G^{\pi}) \geq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-s} \deg_{\langle W \rangle}(w_i) s - \sum_{i=1}^{n-s} \deg_{\langle W \rangle}(w_i) (n-s-3)$$

$$+ 2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{s} \deg_{\langle W \rangle}(w_i) - \sum_{i=s+1}^{n-s} \deg_{\langle W \rangle}(w_i) \right)$$

$$\geq 2 \left(s - \frac{1}{2} (n-s-3) - 1 \right) \sum_{i=1}^{n-s} \deg_{\langle W \rangle}(w_i)$$

$$= (3s-n+1) \sum_{i=1}^{n-s} \deg_{\langle W \rangle}(w_i) .$$

Since G^{π} has maximum irregularity and the expression 3s - n + 1 is positive for $s \geq \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$, we infer that $\sum_{i=1}^{n-s} \deg_{\langle W \rangle}(w_i) = 0$. Hence G is a clique-star graph $KS_{s,n-s}$. For a fixed value of s we have

$$\max\{\operatorname{irr}(KS_{s,n-s}^{\pi}): \pi \text{ is a permutation}\} = 2s((n-s)^2 - 1).$$

If $f(s) = 2s((n-s)^2 - 1)$, then f(s) is maximized at $s = \lfloor \frac{n+1}{3} \rfloor$. Therefore we conclude that

$$\operatorname{irr}(G^{\pi}) \le 2 \left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{3} \right\rfloor \left(\left\lceil \frac{2n-1}{3} \right\rceil^2 - 1 \right).$$

Note that if n = n(G), then $n(G^{\pi}) = 2n$. Hence the upper bound of Theorem 2.3 bounds $\operatorname{irr}(G^{\pi})$ from the above with, roughly, $\frac{1}{27}n(G^{\pi})^3$. On the other hand, the general bound (1) yields, roughly, $\frac{4}{27}n(G^{\pi})^3$. Hence Theorem 2.3 improves the general upper bound in the case of π -permutation graphs by, roughly speaking, again a factor of 4.

3 Irregularity of edge subsets and π -permutation graphs

As a variant of the irregularity measure, Abdo, Brandt, and Dimitrov [1] suggested to consider the imbalance over all pairs of vertices, in this way introducing the *total* irregularity $\operatorname{irr}_t(G)$ of a graph G with

$$\operatorname{irr}_t(G) = \sum_{\{u,v\} \subseteq V(G)} |\deg_G(u) - \deg_G(v)|.$$

The total irregularity has been compared with the irregularity in [11]. For our purposes, however, it is useful to extend the concept of irregularity from the sum of the imbalances of all the edges of a graph to arbitrary edge subsets. More precisely, if $F \subseteq E(G)$, then let

$$\operatorname{irr}_G(F) = \sum_{f \in F} \operatorname{imb}(f).$$

Note that with this notation $irr(G) = irr_G(E(G))$ and that Proposition 2.1 reads as:

$$\operatorname{irr}(G^{\pi}) = 2 \cdot \operatorname{irr}(G) + \operatorname{irr}_{G^{\pi}}(E_G^{\pi}).$$

Hence, $\operatorname{irr}_{G^{\pi}}(E_G^{\pi})$ is of special interest and in our next result we give a sharp upper bound for it.

Theorem 3.1 If G is a graph of order n and π a permutation on V(G), then

$$\operatorname{irr}_{G^{\pi}}(E_G^{\pi}) \leq 2 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil.$$

Moreover, equality holds if and only if $G = KS_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor, \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}$.

Proof. Let G^{π} be a permutation graph that has maximum $\operatorname{irr}_{G^{\pi}}(E_{G}^{\pi})$ among all G of order n and all permutations on V(G). Moreover, among such graphs assume that G has maximum number of universal vertices. Let $U = \{u_1, \ldots, u_s\}$ and $W = \{w_1, \ldots, w_{n-s}\}$ be the sets of its universal and its non-universal vertices, respectively, defined just as in the proof of Theorem 2.3. Then (2) applies also to π .

We claim that $\deg(w_i) = 0$ for $i \in [n-s]$. On the contrary, suppose that $\deg(w_{n-s}) \geq 1$. Let w_p and w_q be two adjacent vertices in W, where w_{n-s} is adjacent to w_p and non-adjacent to w_q . Consider the following transformation: remove the edge $w_p w_q$, then add an edge between w_{n-s} and w_q . Let H be the newly obtained graph. Then we have $\deg_H(w_{n-s}) = \deg_G(w_{n-s}) + 1$ and $\deg_H(w_q) = \deg_G(w_q) - 1$. Moreover, the degrees of the other vertices remain the same. If $\pi(w'_{n-s}) = w''_q$, then $\mathrm{imb}_H(w'_{n-s}\pi(w'_{n-s})) = \mathrm{imb}_G(w'_{n-s}\pi(w'_{n-s})) + 2$ otherwise $\mathrm{imb}_H(w'_{n-s}\pi(w'_{n-s})) = \mathrm{imb}_G(w'_{n-s}\pi(w'_{n-s})) + 1$. Also for w_q , we have $\mathrm{imb}_H(w'_q\pi(w'_q)) \geq \mathrm{imb}_G(w'_q\pi(w'_q)) - 1$. Therefore $\mathrm{irr}_{G'}(E^\pi_{G'}) \geq \mathrm{irr}_G(E^\pi_{G})$. So we apply the above transformation until w_{n-s} is adjacent to all vertices of W. As we have assumed that G has the largest possible number of universal vertices, we have a contradiction.

Hence $\deg(w_{n-s}) = 0$ and consequently $\deg(w_i) = 0$, $i \in [n-s]$). This implies that $\operatorname{irr}_{G^{\pi}}(E_G^{\pi}) = \sum_{i=1}^s \operatorname{imb}(u_i w_i) = ns$. By Lemma 2.2, $s \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. Hence $\operatorname{irr}_{G^{\pi}}(E_G^{\pi})$ is maximized when $s = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ and then $G = KS_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor, \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}$.

We close this section with a certain subadditivity result on $\operatorname{irr}_{G^{\pi}}(E_G^{\pi})$, where $\pi\alpha$ denotes the composition of the permutations π and α .

Theorem 3.2 If π and α are permutations on V(G), then

$$\operatorname{irr}_{G^{\pi\alpha}}(E_G^{\pi\alpha}) \leq \operatorname{irr}_{G^{\pi}}(E_G^{\pi}) + \operatorname{irr}_{G^{\alpha}}(E_G^{\alpha}).$$

Proof. Set $\beta = \pi \alpha$. Then, having in mind that the degree of each vertex of G^{β} (as well as of G^{π} and G^{α}) is by 1 larger than the degree of its corresponding vertex in

G, we can estimate as follows:

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{irr}_{G^{\beta}}(E_G^{\beta}) &= \sum_{v \in V(G)} \operatorname{imb}_{G^{\beta}}(v'\beta(v')) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} |\operatorname{deg}_{G^{\beta}}(\beta(v')) - \operatorname{deg}_{G^{\beta}}(v')| \\ &= \sum_{v \in V(G)} |\operatorname{deg}_{G}(\beta(v)) - \operatorname{deg}_{G}(v)| \\ &= \sum_{v \in V(G)} |\operatorname{deg}_{G}(\beta(v)) - \operatorname{deg}_{G}(\alpha(v)) + \operatorname{deg}_{G}(\alpha(v)) - \operatorname{deg}_{G}(v)| \\ &\leq \sum_{v \in V(G)} (|\operatorname{deg}_{G}(\beta(v)) - \operatorname{deg}_{G}(\alpha(v))| + |\operatorname{deg}_{G}(\alpha(v)) - \operatorname{deg}_{G}(v)|) \\ &= \sum_{v \in V(G)} |\operatorname{deg}_{G^{\pi}}(\pi(v)) - \operatorname{deg}_{G^{\pi}}(v)| \\ &+ \sum_{v \in V(G)} |\operatorname{deg}_{G^{\alpha}}(\alpha(v)) - \operatorname{deg}_{G^{\alpha}}(v)| \\ &= \operatorname{irr}_{G^{\pi}}(E_G^{\pi}) + \operatorname{irr}_{G^{\alpha}}(E_G^{\alpha}) \end{split}$$

and we are done. \Box

4 Fibonacci cubes

Fibonacci cubes were introduced by Hsu [20] as an interconnection network model. Afterwards they have been studied from different perspectives; the developments until 2013 are summarized in the survey article [22]. Among the subsequent developments on Fibonacci cubes we point out the studies of the structure of their induced hypercubes [15,25,31] and to investigations of their domination invariants [8,21,30]. Moreover, Fibonacci cubes can be recognized in linear time [33]. In this section we add to the literature on the Fibonacci cubes their irregularity.

A Fibonacci string of length n is a binary string $b_1
ldots b_n$ with $b_i \cdot b_{i+1} = 0$ for $1 \le i < n$, that is, a binary string that contains no consecutive 1s. The Fibonacci cube Γ_n , $n \ge 1$, is the graph whose vertices are all Fibonacci strings of length n, two vertices being adjacent if they differ in a single coordinate. It is well-known that $|V(\Gamma_n)| = F_{n+2}$, where $F_0 = 0$, $F_1 = 1$, and $F_{n+2} = F_{n+1} + F_n$, $n \ge 0$, are the Fibonacci numbers.

Theorem 4.1 If $n \geq 1$, then

$$\operatorname{irr}(\Gamma_n) = \frac{2}{5} \left((n-1)F_n + 2nF_{n-1} \right).$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on n. By a direct computation we see that $\operatorname{irr}(\Gamma_1) = 0$, $\operatorname{irr}(\Gamma_2) = 2$, $\operatorname{irr}(\Gamma_3) = 4$, $\operatorname{irr}(\Gamma_4) = 10$, and $\operatorname{irr}(\Gamma_5) = 20$, hence the stated formula holds for $n \leq 5$. From now on assume that $n \geq 6$.

Define the following subsets of vertices of Γ_n :

$$A_n = \{00b_3 \dots b_n : b_3, \dots, b_n \in \{0, 1\}\},$$

$$B_n = \{10b_3 \dots b_n : b_3, \dots, b_n \in \{0, 1\}\},$$

$$C_n = \{010b_4 \dots b_n : b_4, \dots, b_n \in \{0, 1\}\}.$$

The sets A_n , B_n , and C_n are disjoint and $V(\Gamma_n) = A_n \cup B_n \cup C_n$. In addition, the subgraphs $\langle A_n \rangle$, $\langle B_n \rangle$, and $\langle C_n \rangle$ are isomorphic to Γ_{n-2} , Γ_{n-2} , and Γ_{n-3} , respectively. Since each vertex from B_n has exactly one neighbor outside B_n (more precisely in A_n), we see that

•
$$\operatorname{irr}_{\Gamma_n}(E(\langle B_n \rangle)) = \operatorname{irr}(\Gamma_{n-2}).$$

Similarly, since each vertex from C_n has exactly one neighbor outside C_n (more precisely in A_n), we get

•
$$\operatorname{irr}_{\Gamma_n}(E(\langle C_n \rangle)) = \operatorname{irr}(\Gamma_{n-3}).$$

Consider now the edges uv from $G[A_n]$, where $u = 00u_3 \dots u_n$ and $v = 00v_3 \dots v_n$. If $u_3 = v_3$, then u and v have the same number of neighbors outside A_n , Hence the irregularity of uv in Γ_n is equal to the irregularity of the corresponding edge in $G[A_n] = \Gamma_{n-2}$. Suppose now that $u = 000u_4 \dots u_n$ and $v = 001v_4 \dots v_n$. In this case $v_4 = 0$ and hence also $u_4 = 0$, so that $u = 0000u_5 \dots u_n$ and $v = 0010v_5 \dots v_n$. The irregularity of such an edge in Γ_n is by 1 larger than the irregularity of the corresponding edge in Γ_{n-2} . Since there are precisely F_{n-2} such edges, we get

•
$$\operatorname{irr}_{\Gamma_n}(E(\langle A_n \rangle)) = \operatorname{irr}(\Gamma_{n-2}) + F_{n-2}.$$

We still need to consider the edges between A_n and B_n and between A_n and C_n . (Note that there are no edges between B_n and C_n .)

Consider first the edges uv between A_n and B_n , in which case $u = 00u_3 \dots u_n$ and $v = 10u_3 \dots u_n$. Among them, the edges where $u = 0000u_5 \dots u_n$ contribute F_{n-2} , the edges where $u = 0010u_5 \dots u_n$ contribute nothing, and the edges where $u = 00010u_6 \dots u_n$ contribute F_{n-3} . Hence

• the contribution of the edges between A_n and B_n is $F_{n-2} + F_{n-3}$.

Consider next the edges uv between A_n and C_n , in which case $u = 000u_4 \dots u_n$ and $v = 010u_3 \dots u_n$. Among them, the edges where $u = 0000u_5 \dots u_n$ contribute $2F_{n-2}$ and the edges where $u = 00010u_6 \dots u_n$ contribute F_{n-3} . Hence

• the contribution of the edges between A_n and C_n is $2F_{n-2} + F_{n-3}$.

We have thus considered all the edges of Γ_n . Putting together the above itemized contributions, we infer that

$$\operatorname{irr}(\Gamma_n) = 2 \cdot \operatorname{irr}(\Gamma_{n-2}) + \operatorname{irr}(\Gamma_{n-3}) + 4F_{n-2} + 2F_{n-3}$$

= $2 \cdot \operatorname{irr}(\Gamma_{n-2}) + \operatorname{irr}(\Gamma_{n-3}) + 2F_n$.

Using the induction assumption we thus get

$$\operatorname{irr}(\Gamma_n) = \frac{4}{5} \Big((n-3)F_{n-2} + 2(n-2)F_{n-3} \Big) + \frac{2}{5} \Big((n-4)F_{n-3} + 2(n-3)F_{n-4} \Big) + 2F_n$$
$$= \frac{2}{5} \Big((n-1)F_n + 2nF_{n-1} \Big),$$

where the last equality follows by a lengthy but straightforward computation using the definition of the Fibonacci numbers. \Box

In [26] it is proved that $m(\Gamma_n) = (nF_{n+1} + 2(n+1)F_n)/5$. Hence, Theorem 4.1 has the following interesting consequence.

Corollary 4.2 If $n \geq 2$, then

$$\operatorname{irr}(\Gamma_n) = 2 \cdot m(\Gamma_{n-1}).$$

5 Trees

The irregularity of trees has been already investigated. In [24] the irregularity of trees (and of unicyclic graphs) with given matching number was studied, while in [23] trees with minimum/maximum irregularity among the trees with given degree sequence and among the trees with given branching number were investigated. In the main result of this section we add to these studies the irregularity of trees of a given diameter and characterize the trees that attain the equality. Connecting the present section with Sections 2 and 3 we also find lower and upper bounds for the irregularity of the π -permutation graph of an arbitrary tree.

Let $T_i(n,d)$, $2 \le i \le d$, $n \ge 2$, $d \le n-1$, denote the tree obtained from the path P_{d+1} by attaching n-d-1 leaves to the i^{th} vertex of P_{d+1} . Note that $n(T_i(n,d)) = n$ and that $\operatorname{diam}(T_i(n,d)) = d$. Observe also that if d = n-1, then for every i the graph $T_i(n,d) = T_i(n,n-1)$ is the path on n vertices. Recall also that a tree is called a *caterpillar* if, after its leaves are removed, a path graph remains. In other words, a caterpillar is obtained from a path graph by attaching some leaves to its vertices. Thus, the trees $T_i(n,d)$ belong to the class of caterpillars.

Theorem 5.1 If T is a tree of order $n \geq 2$ and with diam(T) = d, then

$$\operatorname{irr}(T) \le (n-d)(n-d+1) \dots$$

where the equality holds if and only if $T \in \{T_2(n,d), \ldots, T_d(n,d)\}.$

Proof. Let T be a tree of order $n \geq 2$ and diameter d such that irr(T) is the largest possible.

We claim first that T is a caterpillar and assume on the contrary that it is not. Let P be a diametrical path of T. Since T is not a caterpillar and the path P is diametrical, P contains an inner vertex y such that the rooted tree T_y , defined as the maximal subtree of T with $V(T_y) \cap V(P) = \{y\}$, is of depth $r \geq 2$. Clearly, $n(T_y) \geq 3$.

Consider the following transformation. Let $u \in V(T_y)$ be a vertex with $d_{T_y}(y, u) = r - 2$. (If r = 2, then u = y.) Let $A = \{w_1, \ldots, w_k\}$ be the set of down-neighbors of u in the rooted tree T_y and $B = N_T(u) - A$. Let $S_i = N_T(w_i) - \{u\}$, $i \in [k]$, and $S = \bigcup_{i=1}^k S_i$. Set s = |S| and note that $s = \sum_{i=1}^k (\deg_T(w_i) - 1)$. Let now T' be the tree obtained from T by removing the edges between w_i and the vertices of S_i , $i \in [k]$, and then added edges between vertices of S_i and u.

In T' the vertices w_i , $i \in [k]$, as well as all the vertices from S are leaves. The contribution to the irregularity in T and T' differ only for the edges incident with u and w_i . Therefore, setting $D = \operatorname{irr}(T') - \operatorname{irr}(T)$, we have

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\mathrm{imb}_{T'}(uw_i) - \mathrm{imb}_{T}(uw_i)) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{x \in S_i} (\mathrm{imb}_{T'}(ux) - \mathrm{imb}_{T}(w_ix))$$
$$+ \sum_{z \in B} (\mathrm{imb}_{T'}(uz) - \mathrm{imb}_{T}(uz))$$
$$= F_1 + F_2 + F_3,$$

where

$$F_{1} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left[\left((\deg_{T}(u) + s) - 1 \right) - \left| \deg_{T}(u) - \deg_{T}(w_{i}) \right| \right],$$

$$F_{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{x \in S_{i}} \left[\left(\deg_{T}(u) + s - 1 \right) - \left(\deg_{T}(w_{i}) - 1 \right) \right],$$

$$F_{3} = \sum_{z \in B} \left[\left(\deg_{T}(u) + s - 1 \right) - \left| \deg_{T}(u) - \deg_{T}(z) \right| \right].$$

Now we have

$$\begin{split} F_1 & \geq & \sum_{i=1}^k 2 \cdot \min\{\deg_T(u) - 1, \deg_T(w_i) - 1\} > 0, \\ F_2 & = & \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{x \in S_i} \left[(\deg_T(u) + s - 1) - (\deg_T(w_i) - 1) \right] \\ & = & s \cdot \deg_T(u) + s^2 - \sum_{i=1}^k \deg_T(w_i) (\deg_T(w_i) - 1) \\ & = & s \cdot \deg_T(u) + s^2 - \sum_{i=1}^k (\deg_T(w_i) - 1)^2 - s \\ & = & s \cdot (\deg_T(u) - 1) + s^2 - \sum_{i=1}^k (\deg(w_i) - 1)^2, \\ F_3 & = & \sum_{z \in B} \left[(\deg_T(u) + s - 1) - |\deg_T(u) - \deg_T(z)| \geq -|B| \cdot s \right]. \end{split}$$

Note that $|B| \in [2]$. If |B| = 1, then

$$F_2 + F_3 \ge (s + s^2 - \sum_{i=1}^k (\deg_T(w_i) - 1)^2) - s \ge 0.$$

If |B| = 2, then u = y and $\deg_T(u) \ge 3$. Therefore,

$$F_2 + F_3 \ge (2s + s^2 - \sum_{i=1}^k (\deg(w_i) - 1)^2) - 2s) \ge 0.$$

Hence if $S \neq \emptyset$, then D > 0. Applying iteratively the above transformation as many times as required, we arrive at a caterpillar.

Let now T be a caterpillar of diameter d and let P be its diametrical path. Suppose that $T \notin \{T_2(n,d), \ldots, T_d(n,d)\}$. Let v_1 and v_2 be vertices with the first and the second largest degree among the vertices of P, respectively. Note that $\deg(v_1) \geq \deg(v_2) \geq 3$. Let $S_i = N(v_i) - V(P)$, $i \in [2]$. Remove the edges between v_2 and S_2 , add edges between the vertices of S_2 and v_1 , and denote the obtained tree with T'. The contribution of the edges incident by vertices v_1 and v_2 is changed in T' compared with T, while the contribution of every other edge to the irregularity is the same. Therefore, setting $D = \operatorname{irr}(T') - \operatorname{irr}(T)$, we have

$$D = \sum_{w \in S_1} (\mathrm{imb}_{T'}(v_1 w) - \mathrm{imb}_{T}(v_1 w)) + \sum_{w \in S_2} (\mathrm{imb}_{T'}(v_1 w) - \mathrm{imb}_{T}(v_2 w))$$

$$+ \sum_{w \in N(v_1) \cap V(P)} (\mathrm{imb}_{T'}(v_1 w) - \mathrm{imb}_{T}(v_1 w))$$

$$+ \sum_{w \in N(v_2) \cap V(P)} (\mathrm{imb}_{T'}(v_2 w) - \mathrm{imb}_{T}(v_2 w))$$

$$= |S_1| (\deg_T(v_2) - 2) + (|S_2| ((\deg_T(v_1) - 2) - (\deg_T(v_2) - 1))$$

$$+ 2(\deg_T(v_2) - 2) + \sum_{w \in N(v_2) \cap V(P)} (\mathrm{imb}_{T'}(v_2 w) - \mathrm{imb}_{T}(v_2 w))$$

$$\geq |S_1| (\deg_T(v_2) - 2) + |S_2| (\deg_T(v_1) - \deg_T(v_2) - 1)$$

$$+ 2(\deg_T(v_2) - 2) - 2(\deg_T(v_2) - 2)$$

$$= (\deg_T(v_2) - 2)(2 \deg_T(v_1) + \deg_T(v_2) - 3) > 0.$$

This proves the theorem.

To conclude the paper we find bounds for the irregularity of the π -permutation graphs of tree.

Theorem 5.2 If T is a tree of order $n \geq 3$ and π a permutation on V(T), then

$$4 \le \operatorname{irr}(T^{\pi}) \le 2n(n-2).$$

Moreover, the left equality holds if and only if $T = P_n$ and $\pi = id$, and the right equality holds if and only if $T = S_n$ and π is a permutation that maps the center of S_n into a leaf.

Proof. From the fact that path P_n has the minimum possible irregularity among the trees of order $n \geq 3$, that is, irregularity 2, and by Proposition 2.1, we infer that $\operatorname{irr}(T^{\pi}) \geq \operatorname{irr}(P_n^{\pi})$ with equality holding if and only if $T = P_n$ and $\pi = \operatorname{id}$.

Let π be an arbitrary permutation on V(T). Then we have

$$\operatorname{irr}(T^{\pi}) = 2 \cdot \operatorname{irr}(T) + \sum_{v \in V(G)} |\operatorname{deg}_{T^{\pi}}(v') - \operatorname{deg}_{T^{\pi}}(\pi(v'))| \\
\leq 2 \cdot \operatorname{irr}(T) + 2 \sum_{v \in V(G)} |\operatorname{deg}_{T}(v) - \delta_{T}(T)| \\
= 2 \cdot \operatorname{irr}(T) + 2(2m(T) - n(T)\delta(T)) \\
\leq 2 \cdot \operatorname{irr}(S_{n}) + 2(n - 2) \\
= \operatorname{irr}(S_{n}^{\pi'}).$$

In the last equality π' is a permutation on $V(S_n)$ that maps the center of S_n to a leaf. Since S_n is the only tree with maximum irregularity, the right equality holds if and only if $T = S_n$ and π is a permutation as just described.

Acknowledgments

Sandi Klavžar acknowledges the financial support from the Slovenian Research Agency (research core funding P1-0297 and projects J1-9109, N1-0095).

References

- [1] H. Abdo, S. Brandt, D. Dimitrov, The total irregularity of a graph, Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci. 16 (2014) 201–206.
- [2] H. Abdo, N. Cohen, D. Dimitrov, Graphs with maximal irregularity, Filomat 28 (2014) 1315–1322.
- [3] H. Abdo, D. Dimitrov, W. Gao, On the irregularity of some molecular structures, Canad. J. Chem. 95 (2017) 174–183.
- [4] H. Abdo, D. Dimitrov, I. Gutman, Graphs with maximal σ irregularity, Discrete Appl. Math. 250 (2018) 57–64.
- [5] Y. Alavi, G. Chartrand, F. R. K. Chung, P. Erdős, R. L. Graham, O. R. Oeller-mann, Highly irregular graphs, J. Graph Theory 11 (1987) 235–249.
- [6] M. O. Albertson, The irregularity of a graph, Ars Combin. 46 (1997) 219–225.
- [7] M. O. Albertson, D. Berman, Ramsey graphs without repeated degrees, Congr. Numer. 83 (1991) 91–96.
- [8] A.R. Ashrafi, J. Azarija, A. Babai, K. Fathalikhani, S. Klavžar, The (non-)existence of perfect codes in Fibonacci cubes, Inform. Process. Lett. 116 (2016) 387–390.
- [9] C. Balbuena, D. González-Moreno, X. Marcote, On the 3-restricted edge connectivity of permutation graphs, Discrete Appl. Math. 157 (2009) 1586–1591.
- [10] G. Chartrand, F. Harary, Planar permutation graphs, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Sect. B (N.S.) 3 (1967) 433–438.

- [11] D. Dimitrov, R. Škrekovski, Comparing the irregularity and the total irregularity of graphs, Ars Math. Contemp. 9 (2015) 45–50.
- [12] F. Foucaud, G. B. Mertzios, R. Naserasr, A. Parreau, P. Valicov, Identification, location-domination and metric dimension on interval and permutation graphs. II. Algorithms and complexity, Algorithmica 78 (2017) 914–944.
- [13] W. Goddard, M. E. Raines, P. J. Slater, Distance and connectivity measures in permutation graphs, Discrete Math. 271 (2003) 61–70.
- [14] F. Goldberg, A spectral bound for graph irregularity, Czechoslovak Math. J. 65(140) (2015) 375–379.
- [15] S. Gravier, M. Mollard, S. Špacapan, S.S. Zemljič, On disjoint hypercubes in Fibonacci cubes, Discrete Appl. Math. 190/191 (2015) 50–55.
- [16] W. Gu, On diameter of permutation graphs, Networks 33 (1999) 161–166.
- [17] I. Gutman, Stepwise irregular graphs, Appl. Math. Comput. 325 (2018) 234–238.
- [18] M. Hallaway, C. X. Kang, Y. Yi, On metric dimension of permutation graphs, J. Comb. Optim. 28 (2014) 814–826.
- [19] M. A. Henning, D. Rautenbach, On the irregularity of bipartite graphs, Discrete Math. 307 (2007) 1467–1472.
- [20] W.-J. Hsu, Fibonacci cubes—a new interconnection topology, IEEE Trans. Parallel Distrib. Syst. 4 (1993) 3–12.
- [21] A. Ilić, M. Milošević, The parameters of Fibonacci and Lucas cubes, Ars Math. Contemp. 12 (2017) 25–29.
- [22] S. Klavžar, Structure of Fibonacci cubes: a survey, J. Comb. Optim. 25 (2013) 505–522.
- [23] J. Li, Y. Liu, W. C. Shiu, The irregularity of two types of trees, Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci. 17 (2016) 203–216. 05C07 (05C78)
- [24] W. Luo, B. Zhou, On the irregularity of trees and unicyclic graphs with given matching number, Util. Math. 83 (2010) 141–147.
- [25] M. Mollard, Non covered vertices in Fibonacci cubes by a maximum set of disjoint hypercubes, Discrete Appl. Math. 219 (2017) 219–221.

- [26] E. Munarini, C. Perelli Cippo, N. Zagaglia Salvi, On the Lucas cubes, Fibonacci Quart. 39 (2001) 12–21.
- [27] D. Rautenbach, I. Schiermeyer, Extremal problems for imbalanced edges, Graphs Combin. 22 (2006) 103–111.
- [28] T. Réti, R. Sharafdini, A. Drégelyi-Kiss, H. Haghbin, Graph irregularity indices used as molecular descriptors in QSPR studies, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 79 (2018) 509–524.
- [29] T. Réti, On some properties of graph irregularity indices with a particular regard to the σ -index, Appl. Math. Comput. 344–345 (2019) 107–115.
- [30] E. Saygi, On the domination number and the total domination number of Fibonacci cubes, Ars Math. Contemp. 16 (2019) 245–255.
- [31] E. Saygı, Ö. Eğecioğlu, Counting disjoint hypercubes in Fibonacci cubes, Discrete Appl. Math. 215 (2016) 231–237.
- [32] M. Tavakoli, F. Rahbarnia, M. Mirzavaziri, A. R. Ashrafi, I. Gutman, Extremely irregular graphs, Kragujevac J. Math. 37 (2013) 135–139.
- [33] A. Vesel, Linear recognition and embedding of Fibonacci cubes, Algorithmica 71 (2015) 1021–1034.
- [34] E. Yi, The fractional metric dimension of permutation graphs, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) 31 (2015) 367–382.
- [35] B. Zhou, W. Luo, On irregularity of graphs, Ars Combin. 88 (2008) 55–64.