Calculating the hyper–Wiener index of benzenoid hydrocarbons

Petra Žigert[1], Sandi Klavžar[1] and Ivan Gutman[2]

[1]*Department of Mathematics, PEF, University of Maribor, Koroška 160, 2000 Maribor, Slovenia*

[2]*Faculty of Science, University of Kragujevac, P. O. Box 60, YU–34000 Kragujevac, Yugoslavia*

Received November , 1999

A method for the calculation of the hyper–Wiener index (WW) of a benzenoid system B is described, based on its elementary cuts. A pair of elementary cuts partitions the vertices of B into four fragments, possessing n_{rs} , $r, s = 1, 2$ vertices. WW is equal to the sum of terms of the form $n_{11}\, n_{22} + n_{12}\, n_{21}$. The applicability of the method is illustrated by deducing a general expression for WW of the coronene/circumcoronene series.

Introduction

The hyper–Wiener index WW is one of the newly conceived topological indices. It was proposed by by Randić [1] in 1993 and is currently in the focus of interest of scholars involved in QSPR and QSAR studies [2]–[17].

The nowadays accepted definition of the hyper–Wiener index, applicable to both acyclic and cycle–containing (molecular) graphs, was proposed by Klein et al. [6]:

$$WW(G) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x<y} d(x, y; G)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x<y} d(x, y; G) \qquad (1)$$

with $d(x, y; G)$ denoting the distance between the vertices x and y in the graph G.

The calculation of WW directly from Eq. (1) is not easy, especially in the case of large polycyclic molecules, such as benzenoid hydrocarbons. Some time ago, however,

a formula was designed [17] by which these difficulties are overcome. This formula is based on the concept of elementary cuts, a graph–theoretical technique described in due detail in our earlier papers [18]–[20].

Denote by B a benzenoid system and by n the number of its vertices. An *elementary cut* of B is a straight line segment, passing through the centers of some edges of B, being orthogonal to these edges, and intersecting the perimeter of B exactly two times, so that at least one hexagon lies between these two intersection points.

An elementary cut C divides B into two fragments, say $B_1(C)$ and $B_2(C)$. Let $n_1 = n_1(C)$ and $n_2 = n_2(C)$ be the number of vertices of $B_1(C)$ and $B_2(C)$, respectively, where, of course, $n_1 + n_2 = n$. Then the Wiener index of B can be calculated by means of the formula[20]

$$W(B) = \sum_i n_1(C_i)\, n_2(C_i)$$

in which the summation goes over all elementary cuts of B.

For more details on elementary cuts of benzenoid systems the readers are referred to [18]–[20], were also examples and a more extensive bibliography can be found.

An elementary–cut–based formula for the calculation of the hyper–Wiener index

Consider two distinct elementary cuts C_i and C_j of B. In the general case they divide the vertices of B into four fragments, say $B_{11}(C_i, C_j)$, $B_{12}(C_i, C_j)$, $B_{21}(C_i, C_j)$ and $B_{22}(C_i, C_j)$. The numbers of vertices in these fragments are denoted by $n_{rs} = n_{rs}(C_i, C_j)$, $r, s = 1, 2$. Clearly, $n_{11} + n_{12} + n_{21} + n_{22} = n$. In the general case some of the vertex counts n_{rs} may be equal to zero.

The above specified fragments will be labeled such that

$$\begin{aligned} B_{11}(C_i, C_j) \cup B_{12}(C_i, C_j) &= B_1(C_i) \\ B_{21}(C_i, C_j) \cup B_{22}(C_i, C_j) &= B_2(C_i) \\ B_{11}(C_i, C_j) \cup B_{21}(C_i, C_j) &= B_1(C_j) \\ B_{12}(C_i, C_j) \cup B_{22}(C_i, C_j) &= B_2(C_j) \end{aligned}$$

in which case the hyper–Wiener index of the benzenoid system B obeys the formula [17]:

$$WW(B) = \sum_i n_1(C_i)\, n_2(C_i) + WW^\star(B)$$

or

$$WW(B) = W(B) + WW^\star(B) \tag{2}$$

with $WW^\star(B)$ being the abbreviation for

$$\sum_{i<j} [n_{11}(C_i, C_j)\, n_{22}(C_i, C_j) + n_{12}(C_i, C_j)\, n_{21}(C_i, C_j)\,] \tag{3}$$

in which the summation goes over all pairs of (mutually distinct) elementary cuts of B.

An advanced example: hyper–Wiener index of circumcoronenes

In order to illustrate the way in which Eqs. (2) and (3 work, as well as their power, we solve a difficult problem: we determine the general expression for the hyper–Wiener index of the k-th member H_k of the coronene/circumcoronene homologous series (H_1 = benzene, H_2 = coronene, H_3 = circumcoronene, H_4 = circumcircumcoronene, etc), see Fig. 1. These highly symmetric benzenoid systems attract for a long time the attention of both theoretical and experimental chemists. For a recent survey on their theoretical–chemical properties see [21]. Needless to say that a formula for $WW(H_k)$ was not known so far.

In what follows we refer to any of the benzenoid systems H_k just as coronene.

For a pair of elementary cuts of H_h we distinguish two different arrangements as schematically depicted in Fig. 2.

Type A consists of parallel elementary cuts and type B contains pairs of intersected elementary cuts. Because of symmetry, both types of appear three times and so we can write

$$WW^\star(H_h) = 3(WW^\star(A) + WW^\star(B))$$



Figure 1: The first three members of the coronene/circumcoronene series: H_1=benzene, H_2=coronene, H_3=circumcoronene



(a) type A (b) type B

Figure 2: Two types of pairs of elementary cuts

where $WW^\star(A)$ and $WW^\star(B)$ denote the contributions to $WW^\star(H_h)$ of parallel and intersected elementary cuts, respectively. In what follows we consider these two quantities separately. In the below calculations, for technical reasons we use indices $h = k - 1$ for $h = 0, 1, \ldots$.

Computing $WW^\star(A)$

In this case for any two parallel elementary cuts either n_{12} or n_{21} is equal to zero. We divide parallel elementary cuts of type A into two groups, named type A_1 and type A_2 (see Figs. 3 and 4). The elementary cut numbered $h + 1$ in Fig. 3 divides the coronene H_h into two congruent fragments. We call them the *upper* and the *lower* fragment.

a) $WW^\star(A_1)$

In this case we calculate $n_{11}(C_i, C_j)$ and $n_{22}(C_i, C_j)$, where C_i is the elementary cut from the upper fragment or the middle elementary cut and C_j is parallel to C_i . We



Figure 3: Pairs of elementary cuts of type A_1

notice, that $i = 1, 2, \ldots, h + 1$ and the elementary cut C_j must lie below C_i.

Let C_i denote any of these elementary cuts, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, h + 1$. Then for any elementary cut C_j parallel to C_i we have:

$$\begin{aligned} n_{11}(C_i, C_j) &= (2h + 3) + (2h + 5) + \ldots + (2h + (2i + 1)) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{i} (2h + 2n + 1) \\ &= 2hi + \sum_{n=1}^{i} (2n + 1) \end{aligned}$$

There are $2h + 2i + 1$ vertices above the elementary cut C_i, and we have to consider vertices above i elementary cuts, hence we sum from 1 to i.

In order to obtain $n_{22}(C_i, C_j)$, we have to consider h elementary cuts from the lower fragment, the middle elementary cut and $h - i$ elementary cuts from the upper fragment. We denote the elementary cuts from the upper fragment by $C_{j'}$, where $j' = 1, 2, \ldots, h - i$ and the rest $C_{j''}$, where $j'' = 1, 2, \ldots, h + 1$. For the elementary cut $C_{j'}$ (see Fig. 3), $n_{22}(C_i, C_{j'})$ is the sum of all vertices from the lower fragment, that is $3(h+1)^2$ vertices, and vertices between the elementary cut C'_j and the middle elementary cut C_{h+1}.

By means of Fig. 3 we determine the number of vertices below the elementary cuts C_i and C'_j (we count only the vertices which are directly below the elementary cut).

Since j' goes from 1 to $h-i$, the number of vertices below $C_{j'}$ is $2h+2(i+j')+3$. The number of vertices below the next elementary cut is $2h+2(i+j')+5, \ldots$. So, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} n_{22}(C_i, C_{j'}) &= 3(h+1)^2 + (2(h+i+j')+3) + (2(h+i+j')+5) + \ldots + \\ &\quad (2(h+i+j') + 2(h+1-i-j')+1) \\ &= 3(h+1)^2 + 2(h+i+j')(h+1-i-j') + \sum_{n=1}^{h+1-j'-i} (2n+1) \end{aligned}$$

where $j' = 1, \ldots, h-i$.

Next we have to calculate $n_{22}(C_i, C_{j''})$. We number the elementary cuts from the bottom to the middle, as in Fig. 4. It is not difficult to see, that

$$\begin{aligned} n_{22}(C_i, C_{j''}) &= (2h+3) + (2h+5) + \ldots + (2h+2j''+1) \\ &= 2hj'' + \sum_{n=1}^{j''} (2n+1) \end{aligned}$$

where $j'' = 1, \ldots, h+1$. Now we can write $WW^\star(A_1)$ as:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{C_i} \sum_{C_{j'}} n_{11}(C_i, C_{j'}) \cdot n_{22}(C_i, C_{j'}) + \sum_{C_i} \sum_{C_{j''}} n_{11}(C_i, C_{j''}) \cdot n_{22}(C_i, C_{j''}) \\ = & \sum_{i=1}^{h+1} \sum_{j'=1}^{h-i} n_{11}(C_i, C_{j'}) \cdot n_{22}(C_i, C_{j'}) + \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \sum_{j''=1}^{h+1} n_{11}(C_i, C_{j''}) \cdot n_{22}(C_i, C_{j''}) \\ = & \sum_{i=1}^{h+1} [[2hi + \sum_{n=1}^{i} (2n+1)] \cdot \\ & [\sum_{j'=1}^{h-i} [3(h+1)^2 + 2(h+i+j')(h+1-i-j') + \sum_{n=1}^{h+1-j'-i} (2n+1)] + \\ & \sum_{j''=1}^{h+1} [2hj'' + \sum_{n=1}^{j''} (2n+1)]]] \\ = & \frac{61}{18}h^6 + \frac{98}{5}h^5 + \frac{3151}{72}h^4 + \frac{571}{12}h^3 + \frac{1825}{72}h^2 + \frac{319}{60}h \end{aligned}$$

b) $WW^\star(A_2)$

In this case we calculate $n_{11}(C_i, C_j)$ and $n_{22}(C_i, C_j)$, where C_i and C_j are parallel elementary cuts from the lower fragment of H_h. As we see in Fig. 4, elementary cuts C_i are numbered from the bottom to the middle of coronene and $i = 1, \ldots, h$.



Figure 4: Pairs of elementary cuts of type A_2

We have exactly the same situation as in the case of type A_1 , when we considered elementary cuts denoted by $C_{j'}$. Bearing in mind the previous result, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} WW^\star(A_2) &= \sum_{C_i}\sum_{C_j} n_{11}(C_i, C_j)\cdot n_{22}(C_i, C_j) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{h}\sum_{j=1}^{h-i} n_{11}(C_i, C_j)\cdot n_{22}(C_i, C_j) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{h}[[2hi + \sum_{n=1}^{i}(2n+1)]\cdot \\ &\quad [\sum_{j=1}^{h-i}[3(h+1)^2 + 2(h+i+j)(h+1-i-j)]] \\ &= \frac{29}{18}h^6 + \frac{74}{15}h^5 + \frac{245}{72}h^4 - \frac{13}{4}h^3 - \frac{361}{72}h^2 - \frac{101}{61}h \end{aligned}$$

In order to compute $WW^\star(A)$ we have to add up both previous results:

$$\begin{aligned} WW^\star(A) &= WW^\star(A_1) + WW^\star(A_2) \\ &= 5h^6 + \frac{368}{15}h^5 + \frac{283}{6}h^4 + \frac{133}{3}h^3 + \frac{61}{3}h^2 + \frac{109}{30}h \end{aligned}$$

b) $WW^\star(B)$

Our aim is to deduce an expression for $WW^\star(B)$, where type B consists of intersected pairs of elementary cuts, depicted in Fig. 2(b). We can divide the pairs of elementary

cuts of type B into two groups, denoted as type B_1 and type B_2 (see Figs. 5 and 6). Then $WW^\star(B)$ is equal to the sum $WW^\star(B_1) + WW^\star(B_2)$.

a) $WW^\star(B_1)$



Figure 5: Pairs of elementary cuts of type B_1

We have to have a look at all pairs of elementary cuts (C_i, C_j), $i = 1, \ldots, h+1$, and to divide elementary cuts C_j into two classes. The elementary cuts of the first and second class are denoted by $C_{j'}$ and $C_{j''}$, respectively. We consider these two classes separately.

In the first subcase we are interested in all pairs $(C_i, C_{j'})$, where $i = 1, \ldots, h+1$ and $j' = 1, \ldots, h+1$. We see this type of intersections in Fig. 5. The following abbreviation will be employed: $n_{11}(C_i, C_{j'}) = n'_{11}$, $n_{12}(C_i, C_{j'}) = n'_{12}$, $n_{21}(C_i, C_{j'}) = n'_{21}$ and $n_{22}(C_i, C_{j'}) = n'_{22}$. Assume that we fixed some C_i, for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, h+1$. We investigate the quantities n'_{rs}, $r, s = 1, 2$, where j' goes from 1 to $h+1$. The following table gives us the arrangement of quantities n_{rs}:

j'	n_{11}	n_{12}
	n_{21}	n_{22}

We can determine n_{rs} from Fig. 5, but we do not need to calculate n_{22}, because we already have the relation $6(h+1)^2 = n'_{11} + n'_{12} + n'_{21} + n'_{22}$.

$j'=1$	$2i$	$(2h+1)+(2h+3)+\ldots+(2h+2i-1)=$ $i\cdot 2h+\sum_{n=1}^{i}(2n-1)$
	$2h+3-2i$	n'_{22}
$j'=2$	$2\cdot 2i$	$(2h-1)+(2h+1)+\ldots+(2h+2i-3)=$ $i\cdot 2h+\sum_{n=1}^{i}(2n-3)$
	$2h+3+2h+5-2\cdot 2i$	n'_{22}
$j'=3$	$3\cdot 2i$	$(2h-3)+(2h-1)+\ldots+(2h+2i-5)=$ $i\cdot 2h+\sum_{n=1}^{i}(2n-5)$
	$2h+3+2h+5+2h+7-3\cdot 2i$	n'_{22}
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
j'	$2ij'$	$2ih+\sum_{n=1}^{i}(2n-2j'+1)$
	$2j'(h-i)+\sum_{n=1}^{j'}(2n+1)$	n'_{22}

As already mentioned, n'_{22} can be calculated from the other three quantities, therefore

$$\begin{aligned} n'_{22} &= 6(h+1)^2-(n'_{11}+n'_{12}+n_{22})' \\ &= 6(h+1)^2-(2h(i+j')+\sum_{n=1}^{i}(2n-2j'+1)+\sum_{n=1}^{j'}(2n)) \end{aligned}$$

The expressions for n''_{rs}, $r,s=1,2$, are simplified as

$$\begin{aligned} n'_{11} &= 2ij' \\ n'_{12} &= 2hi+i^2+2i(1-j') \\ n'_{21} &= 2hj'-j'(2i-j'-2) \\ n'_{22} &= 6h^2-2h((i+j'-6)-i^2+2i(j'-1)-j'^2-2j'+6 \end{aligned}$$

where $j'=1,\ldots,h+1$.

We still have to determine the quantities $n_{rs}(C_i,C_{j''})$, $r,s=1,2$, for $i=1,2,\ldots,h$ and $j''=1,\ldots,h$, as shown in Fig. 5. Similarly as before, we use the abbreviation $n_{rs}(C_i,C_{j''}):=n''_{rs}$, $r,s=1,2$.

We first notice that if $j''\geq i$ then $n''_{12}=0$. This means, that we have to treat elementary cuts $C_{j''}$ when $j''=1,2,\ldots,i-1$, separately from those, when $j''=i,i+1,\ldots,h$.

Let C_i be the elementary cut as in the previous case and $C_{j''}$ as in Fig. 6(a) and $j'' = 1, \ldots, i-1$. Then we can make the table:

$j''=1$	$(2h+3)+(i-1)(2h+4)$	$1+3+\ldots+(2(i-1)-1)$
	$3(h+1)^2+4h+3-$ $(2h+3+(i-1)(2h+4))$	n''_{22}
$j''=2$	$(2h+3)+(2h+5)+(i-2)(2h+6)$	$1+3+\ldots+(2(i-2)+1)$
	$3(h+1)^2+4h+3+4h+1-$ $(2h+3+2h+5+(i-2)(2h+6))$	n''_{22}
$j''=3$	$(2h+3)+(2h+5)+(2h+7)+(i-3)(2h+8)$	$1+3+\ldots+(2(i-3)+1)$
	$3(h+1)^2+4h+3+4h+1+4h-1-$ $(2h+3+2h+5+2h+7-(i-3)(2h+8))$	n''_{22}
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
j''	$j''\cdot 2h+\sum_{n=1}^{j''}(2n+1)+(i-j'')(2h+2j''+2)$	$\sum_{n=1}^{i-j''}(2n-1)$
	$3(h+1)^2+j''\cdot 4h+\sum_{n=1}^{j''}(5-2n)-$ $(j''\cdot 2h+\sum_{n=1}^{j''}(2n+1)+(i-j'')(2h+2j''+2)$	n''_{22}

As in the previous case, n''_{22} can be calculated from the other three quantities:

$$\begin{aligned} n''_{22} &= 6(h+1)^2-(n''_{11}+n''_{12}+n''_{22}) \\ &= 6(h+1)^2-(\sum_{n=1}^{i-j''}(2m+1)+3(h+1)^2+j''\cdot 4h+\sum_{n=1}^{j''}(5-2n)) \end{aligned}$$

We can simplify the expressions for n''_{rs}, $r,s=1,2$ as

$$\begin{aligned} n''_{11} &= 2hi+2i(j''+1)-j''^2 \\ n''_{12} &= (i-j'')^2 \\ n''_{21} &= 3h^2-2h(i-2j''-3)-2i(j''+1)+4j''+3 \\ n''_{22} &= 3h^2+2h(3-2j'')-i^2+2ij'-4j''+3 \end{aligned}$$

where $j''=1,\ldots,i-1$.

The same procedure has to be repeated for pairs of elementary cuts (C_i, C''_j), where $j''=i,\ldots,h$ and C_i is an arbitrary cut, $i=1,2,\ldots,h+1$. Since for such pairs

of elementary cuts either n''_{12} or n''_{21} is zero, we only have to calculate n''_{11} and n''_{22}. We collect the respective results in the following table:

$j'' = i\,, \quad i \le j'' \le h$
$n''_{11} = (2h+3) + (2h+5) + \ldots + (2h+(2i+1)) = i \cdot 2h + \sum_{n=1}^{i}(2n+1)$
$n''_{22} = 3(h+1)^2 - (4h+3+\ldots+4h+(5-2j'')) = 3(h+1)^2 - j'' \cdot 4h - \sum_{n=1}^{j''}(5-2n)$

Calculating the respective sums we get:

$$\begin{aligned} n''_{11} &= 2hi + i^2 + 2i \\ n''_{22} &= 3h^2 + 2h(3-2j'') + j''^2 - 4j'' + 3 \end{aligned}$$

where $j'' = i, \ldots, h$.

Now everything has been prepared to compute $WW^\star(B_1)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{C_i}\sum_{C_{j'}}[n'_{11}\cdot n'_{22} + n'_{12}\cdot n'_{21}] + \sum_{C_i}\sum_{C_{j''}}[n''_{11}\cdot n''_{22} + n''_{12}\cdot n''_{21}] \\ = \; & \sum_{i=1}^{h+1}\sum_{j'=1}^{h+1}[2j'i\cdot(6h^2 - 2h((i+j'-6) - i^2 + 2i(j'-1) - j'^2 - 2j' + 6) + \\ & (2hi + i^2 + 2i(1-j')\cdot(2hj - j'(2i-j'-2))] + \\ & \sum_{i=1}^{h+1}[\sum_{j''=1}^{i-1}[(2hi + 2i(j''+1) - j''^2)\cdot \\ & (3h^2 + 2h(3-2j'') - i^2 + 2ij' - 4j'' + 3) + (i-j'')^2\cdot \\ & (3h^2 - 2h(i-2j''-3) - 2i(j''+1) + 4j'' + 3)] + \\ & \sum_{j''=i}^{h}[(2hi + i^2 + 2i)\cdot(3h^2 + 2h(3-2j'') + j''^2 - 4j'' + 3)]] \\ = \; & \frac{323}{90}h^6 + \frac{368}{15}h^5 + \frac{608}{9}h^4 + \frac{577}{6}h^3 + \frac{6737}{90}h^2 + \frac{303}{10}h + 5 \end{aligned}$$

b) $WW^\star(B_2)$

In Fig. 6 are shown the intersected pairs of elementary cuts of the type B_2. We observe that $WW^\star(B_2)$ can be calculated in a way similar as $WW^\star(B_1)$. The only difference is that if (C_i, C_j) is a pair of intersected elementary cuts of type B_1, then i only goes from 1 to h and j remains the same. This means, we have to eliminate the middle cut, numbered C_{h+1}.



Figure 6: Pairs of elementary cuts of type B_2

So, we obtain the following expression for $WW^\star(B_2)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{C_i}\sum_{C_{j'}}[n'_{11}\cdot n'_{22}+n'_{12}\cdot 21']+\sum_{C_i}\sum_{C_{j''}}[n''_{11}\cdot n''_{22}+n''_{12}\cdot n''_{21}] \\
= \;& \sum_{i=1}^{h}\sum_{j'=1}^{h+1}[2j'i\cdot(6h^2-2h((i+j'-6)-i^2+2i(j'-1)-j'^2-2j'+6)+ \\
& (2hi+i^2+2i(1-j')\cdot(2hj-j'(2i-j'-2))]+ \\
& \sum_{i=1}^{h}[\sum_{j''=1}^{i-1}[(2hi+2i(j''+1)-j''^2)\cdot \\
& (3h^2+2h(3-2j'')-i^2+2ij'-4j''+3)+(i-j'')^2\cdot \\
& (3h^2-2h(i-2j''-3)-2i(j''+1)+4j''+3)]+ \\
& \sum_{j''=i}^{h}[(2hi+i^2+2i)\cdot(3h^2+2h(3-2j'')+j''^2-4j''+3)]] \\
= \;& \frac{323}{90}h^6+\frac{278}{15}h^5+\frac{338}{9}h^4+\frac{223}{6}h^3+\frac{1607}{90}h^2+\frac{33}{10}h
\end{aligned}$$

We thus arrive at the expression for $WW^\star(B)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
WW^\star(B) &= WW^\star(B_1)+WW^\star(B_2) \\
&= \frac{323}{45}h^6+\frac{646}{15}h^5+\frac{946}{9}h^4+\frac{400}{3}h^3+\frac{4172}{45}h^2+\frac{168}{5}h+5
\end{aligned}$$

For coronene H_h, type A and type B embrace all possible pairs of elementary cuts. Because of symmetry, both of them appear three times. Taking this fact into

account and using the results obtained above we get:

$$\begin{aligned} WW^{\star}(H_h) &= 3(WW^{\star}(A) + WW^{\star}(B)) \\ &= \frac{548}{15}h^6 + \frac{1014}{5}h^5 + \frac{2741}{6}h^4 + 533h^3 + \frac{5087}{15}h^2 + \frac{1117}{10}h + 15 \end{aligned}$$

Recall that we have introduced $h := k-1$ because of technical reasons. Returning back to k we find:

$$\begin{aligned} WW^{\star}(H_k) &= \frac{548}{15}(k-1)^6 + \frac{1014}{5}(k-1)^5 + \frac{2741}{6}(k-1)^4 + \\ & \quad 533(k-1)^3 + \frac{5087}{15}(k-1)^2 + \frac{1117}{10}(k-1) + 15 \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$WW^{\star}(H_k) = \frac{548}{15}k^6 - \frac{82}{5}k^5 - \frac{55}{6}k^4 + 3k^3 + \frac{17}{15}k^2 + \frac{1}{10}k$$

In order to get the final result, we need also the expression for $W(H_k)$, cf. Eqs. (2). This expression has been determined earlier [18] and reads:

$$W(H_k) = \frac{164}{5}k^5 - 6k^3 + \frac{1}{5}k$$

Bearing this in mind we arrive at the required formula for the hyper–Wiener index of the k-th member of the coronene/circumcoronene family:

$$\begin{aligned} WW(H_k) &= W(H_k) + WW^{\star}(H_k) \\ &= \frac{548}{15}k^6 + \frac{82}{5}k^5 - \frac{55}{6}k^4 - 3k^3 + \frac{17}{15}k^2 + \frac{1}{10}k \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgement. The work of one author (S.K.) was supported in part by the Ministry of Science and Technology of Slovenia under the grant J1-0498-0101.

References

[1] Randić, M.: *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, **211**, 478 (1993)

[2] Randić, M., Guo, X., Oxley, T., Krishnapriyan, H.: *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.*, **33**, 709 (1993)

[3] Randić, M., Guo, X., Oxley, T., Krishnapriyan, H., Naylor, L.: *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.*, **34**, 361 (1994)

[4] Lukovits, I., Linert, W.: *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.*, **34**, 899 (1994)

[5] Lukovits, I.: *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.*, **34**, 1079 (1994)

[6] Klein, D. J., Lukovits, I., Gutman, I.: *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.*, **35**, 50 (1995)

[7] Linert, W., Kleestorfer, K., Renz, F., Lukovits, I.: *J. Mol. Struct. (Theochem)*, **337**, 121 (1995)

[8] Linert, W., Renz, F., Kleestorfer, K., Lukovits, I.: *Comput. Chem.*, **19**, 395 (1995)

[9] Lukovits, I.: *Comput. Chem.*, **19**, 27 (1995)

[10] Zhu, H. Y., Klein, D. J., Lukovits, I.: *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.*, **36**, 420 (1996)

[11] Diudea, M. V.: *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.*, **36**, 833 (1996)

[12] Lukovits, I.: *Kem. Közlem.*, **82**, 107, (1996)

[13] Linert, W., Lukovits, I.: *Commun. Math. Chem. (MATCH)*, **35**, 65 (1997)

[14] Gutman, I., Linert, W., Lukovits, I., Dobrynin A. A.: *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.*, **37**, 420 (1997)

[15] Gutman, I.: *Indian J. Chem.*, **36A**, 128 (1997)

[16] Diudea, M. V., Gutman, I.: *Croat. Chem. Acta*, **71**, 21 (1998)

[17] Klavžar, S.: *DIMACS Ser. Discr. Math. Theor. Comput. Sci.* in press.

[18] Gutman, I., Klavžar, S.: *ACH Models in Chem.*, **133**, 389 (1996)

[19] Gutman, I.: *ACH Models in Chem.*, **134**, 477 (1997)

[20] Gutman, I., Klavžar, S.: *ACH Models in Chem.*, **135**, 45 (1998)

[21] I.Gutman, I., Cyvin, S. J., Ivanov–Petrović, V.: *Z. Naturforsch.*, **58a**, 699 (1998)